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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SURVEY BACKGROUND
The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey provided residents the opportunity to indicate what they like best about living in The Woodlands, what issues face The Woodlands, the importance of and their satisfaction with local government services, as well as indicating their perspectives on covenants and deed restrictions. The survey also permitted residents to share their priorities for the community’s future.

Each selected household was contacted three times over the course of data collection. First, a postcard was mailed notifying residents that they had been chosen to participate in the survey. A survey packet followed in the mail one week after the postcard and a second survey packet was sent about one week after the first packet. Out of 4,500 total households that received the survey, there were 1,020 respondents to the mailed questionnaire, yielding a response rate of 24%.

The 2018 survey was the second time a survey of residents has been conducted by National Research Center, Inc. on behalf of the Township (the first was in 2016). The Woodlands Township has also administered other resident surveys in the past, so comparisons could be made between the 2016 and 2018 responses and those from prior years, when available. The 2018 results also were compared to those of other communities across the nation, in Texas, in the west south central region of the nation and with populations of 75,000 to 150,000, made possible through NRC's benchmark database. This database contains resident perspectives gathered in citizen surveys from more than 500 jurisdictions across the U.S., including cities and counties.

HIGHLIGHTS
The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey contained a series of questions that reflected either directly or indirectly on the Township’s progress within several themes. The report of results and the highlights below are loosely organized around themes also outlined on the survey instrument.

GOVERNANCE
- Residents of The Woodlands were pleased with entities that are considered part of the local government and several of these ratings improved since 2016.
  Nearly all residents gave very good or good ratings to the school system. Further, about 9 in 10 positively rated The Woodlands Township government, their County (Montgomery or Harris) government and their Municipal Utility District and these ratings increased from 2016 to 2018. Ratings of the school system were similar to the national benchmark comparisons.
- About three-quarters of survey participants were very satisfied or satisfied with the amount of influence and input they had in local Township decision-making, an increase since 2016.
  About one-quarter indicated that they were not satisfied with their amount of influence.
- About 9 in 10 respondents rated the services the Township provides TODAY in terms of value for the money as good or very good.
  This rating remained stable from 2016 to 2018.
- As in 2016, the issues most frequently mentioned as the most important ones facing The Woodlands were related to traffic, mobility and public transportation.
  When asked to write in their own words what they felt was the most important issue facing residents of The Woodlands, about 3 in 10 respondents made a comment related to traffic, mobility and public transportation, about 2 in 10 remarked about City incorporation/annexation by Houston and about 1 in 10 mentioned too much development/overpopulation, about 1 in 10 named taxes/affordability or and approximately 1 in 10 answered with comments related to public safety.
COMMUNITY

- The aspect of living in The Woodlands most appreciated by residents was again its appearance and the beauty of the area/trees/greenery. As in 2016, residents were asked to indicate what they liked best about living in The Woodlands and could choose as many items as they wished from a list of 12 items. Nearly all residents (88%) liked The Woodlands’ appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery, about 8 in 10 liked the parks/woods/pathways/open space and about 7 in 10 chose the quality of life/lifestyle, “it’s safe” or appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean. Six in ten residents most appreciated that The Woodlands is quiet/peaceful or the shopping and restaurants. About half of residents selected each of the following: schools/quality of education, family atmosphere, or nice/friendly neighbors/people, while 4 in 10 chose conveniently located. About 4% of respondents wrote in an “other” response.

- When asked to write in their own words how they would like for The Woodlands to improve in the future, one-quarter of residents wrote a comment related to improving mobility and traffic flow, which reflects a slight increase since 2016. About 1 in 10 mentioned controlling/slowing down growth and development, preserving and enhancing natural areas or reforming local government/lowering taxes. Fewer than 1 in 10 remarked about some other potential area of improvement.

TOWNSHIP SERVICES

- Of 17 services evaluated, eight were considered “very good” or “good” by 90% or more of respondents. These included the fire department, emergency medical services, parks & recreational facilities, recreation programs, garbage collection, attracting businesses to create jobs, crime prevention and recycling collection. All but two of the 17 services (improving mobility and reducing congestion as well as swimming pools) were rated as very good or good by at least 7 in 10 residents. Compared to 2016, ratings improved in 2018 for neighborhood watch and recreation programs; no service ratings declined.

- As might be expected, public safety services were considered important by nearly all respondents. Crime prevention, emergency medical services and the fire department were considered important by 99% of respondents. Services considered important by about 7 in 10 residents or less included influencing growth in and around The Woodlands, recreation programs, attracting business to create jobs and swimming pools. Residents in 2018 rated sustaining our trees and natural environment, planning for the future and recycling collection as more important than in 2016.

- Four services on which The Woodlands may wish to focus improvements were improving mobility and reducing congestion, sustaining trees and natural environment, planning for the future and maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas. These services were considered important by 88% or more respondents (the average proportion of respondents rating the 17 services listed as important) but for which quality evaluation was considered very good or good by less than 88% of respondents (the average proportion of respondents rating each service as good or very good).

- As in 2016, where benchmark comparisons could be made, most services had ratings similar to the national benchmarks. Fire department, emergency medical services, garbage collection, recycling collection, covenants/deed restrictions enforcement, recreation programs and crime prevention were similar to the national benchmark comparisons. The rating for speed limit and traffic signal enforcement was lower than the national benchmark comparisons.
LAW ENFORCEMENT / SAFETY

• When describing their current feelings of safety in The Woodlands in comparison to their feelings of safety two years ago, 15% of residents felt safer, 3 in 10 felt less safe and slightly more than half felt the same level of safety. An open-ended follow-up question asked about the factors affecting their answer; about one-third of residents wrote in a comment related to hearing about or experiencing more crime recently, and 15% remarked on population increase/development leading to more or a similar amount of crime or not experiencing crime/hearing about fewer crimes. One in 10 commented that the police had done a good job/noticed more police presence or that crime levels seemed stable.

• When asked in their own words about their suggestions for improving Law Enforcement, 32% of respondents commented on the need to increase law enforcement visibility/have more patrols. Thirteen percent felt that traffic enforcement needed to be improved, and twelve percent suggested expanding the police/sheriff force. Fifteen percent felt that no improvements to Law Enforcement were needed.

COVENANTS / DEED RESTRICTIONS

• About 9 in 10 survey respondents said covenants and deed restrictions had been very or somewhat important to them when deciding to live in The Woodlands. This level was similar to what was reported in 2016.

• About 9 in 10 residents felt that the enforcement of covenants and deed restrictions had been very or somewhat effective in achieving the desired standards. This level remained stable since the previous survey.

• When asked about the effectiveness of covenant and deed restrictions enforcement, about 9 in 10 thought they were enforced very or somewhat effectively. This proportion was also the same as in 2016.

COMMUNICATIONS

• When presented with a list of 16 possible information sources and asked to mark all of the items they used as primary sources of information about their neighborhood or village, 6 in 10 respondents indicated they used The Woodlands Community Magazine, half used Community Impact Magazine and 4 in 10 used The Villager or word of mouth – friends/neighbors as primary information sources.

• As in 2016, slightly less than half of residents had communicated with a member of Township staff in the two years prior to the survey, while slightly more than half had not. Prior to 2016, contact with Township staff had increased steadily each year since 2010.

• When asked to rate their overall communications with the Township division or divisions with whom they had had contact, about 9 in 10 residents gave very good or good ratings to the Fire Department, Law Enforcement, Garbage Collection/Environmental, Parks and Recreation and Accounting.

• At least 8 in 10 respondents gave very good or good ratings to communications from Neighborhood Watch and Covenants and Deed Restrictions. Further, ratings for Neighborhood Watch, Finance and Accounting and Covenants and Deed Restrictions increased since 2016. Some residents wrote in a division other than those listed or did not know with which division they had had contact; these also received very good or good ratings from about 8 in 10 respondents and improved since the previous survey iteration.
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

- When providing their opinion of development activity in The Woodlands, slightly less than half of residents thought the Township was overdeveloped. About 4 in 10 felt it was just right. Only about 3% felt it was underdeveloped and 7% had no opinion. The proportion of residents who felt that the Township was overdeveloped decreased from 2016 to 2018, while the proportion of those who thought it was just right increased.

- About 8 in 10 survey participants indicated that continued economic development in The Woodlands was very or somewhat important to them. This was a slight increase since 2016.

- In response to an open-ended question that asked residents about other types of entertainment venues that they would like to have in The Woodlands, the most frequently mentioned type of venue was for arts and performances, representing 20% of responses. About 1 in 10 wanted more sports/recreational facilities, trails or open space or more restaurants. Other types of venues were mentioned by about 3 in 10 respondents. About one-quarter indicated that they did not want additional types of venues.

TRANSPORTATION & MOBILITY

- When asked, in their own words, what could be done to improve transportation and mobility, most frequently mentioned by respondents was improving or creating more public transportation (mentioned by about 3 in 10 respondents). This was a similar proportion as in 2016. The next most frequent comments were related to improving traffic signal timing (15%) or widening or building more roads/overpasses (12%).

- Those completing the survey were asked to rate the importance of 11 different mobility improvements. The three improvements rated as most important by residents (at least 83% very or somewhat important) were providing safer ways to ride bikes, reducing congestion in Town Center, and improving pathways. The next most important improvements were improving signalization, improving intersection design or reducing congestion outside The Woodlands, considered very or somewhat important by 80-81% of respondents. Between 69% to 78% of respondents considered it very or somewhat important to reduce congestion in residential areas in The Woodlands, to provide other alternate mobility options, to provide more North-South connections in addition to I-45 and to expand transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations. Sixty-four percent thought it was very or somewhat important to provide more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands. More residents in 2018 thought it was important to provide safer ways to ride bikes than in 2016; most other importance ratings remained stable over time.

- Among respondents who were employed, 37% worked in The Woodlands, 27% worked in the Houston area, 3% in Conroe and 10% somewhere else. About 6 in 10 respondent households had one or more members who worked full- or part-time in The Woodlands, while about half of households had one or more member who worked outside The Woodlands.

- About 6 in 10 survey respondents reported using a personal vehicle for their work commute. Twenty percent said that they carpool, 5% use The Woodlands Express Park and Ride, 2% bike, 1% bike and 4% do something else. Sixteen percent of respondents indicated that they did not work. (These percents add to more than 100%, as respondents could indicate more than one mode for their commute.)
SURVEY BACKGROUND

SURVEY PURPOSES

The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey provided residents the opportunity to indicate what they like best about living in The Woodlands, what issues face The Woodlands, the importance of and their satisfaction with services, as well as indicating their perspectives on covenants and deed restrictions. The survey also permitted residents to share their priorities for the community’s future.

The five-page survey was developed by Township staff and the Township Board of Directors. The 2018 survey represents the second time that National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) has conducted a survey of residents on behalf of the Township; the first was in 2016. Few changes were made to the survey instrument between 2016 and 2018 so that trends over time could be provided.

Because The Woodlands Township has administered other resident surveys in the past, comparisons were made between the 2018 responses and those from prior years, when available in Appendix C: Over Time Comparisons. The 2018 results also were compared to those of other jurisdictions across the nation, in Texas, in the west south central region of the nation and with populations of 75,000 to 150,000, made possible through NRC’s benchmark database. This database contains resident perspectives gathered in citizen surveys from more than 500 jurisdictions across the U.S., including cities and counties. These benchmarks can be found in Appendix D: Benchmark Comparisons.

SURVEY METHODS

Each selected household was contacted three times over the course of about three weeks. First, a postcard was mailed to 4,500 households in The Woodlands, selected at random, notifying residents that they had been chosen to participate in the survey. A survey packet followed in the mail one week after the postcard and a second survey packet was sent about one week after the first packet. There were 1,020 respondents to the mailed questionnaire, yielding a response rate of 24%. Multiple contacts with survey recipients is a survey research best practice and help to increase response rates.

Survey results were weighted so that respondents’ age was represented in the proportions reflective of the entire community (42% 44 and under, 58% over 44). (For more information see the detailed survey methodology in Appendix G: Survey Methodology.)

HOW THE RESULTS ARE REPORTED

For the most part, frequency distributions (the percent of respondents giving each possible response to a particular question) are presented in the body of the report. The full set of frequencies can be found in Appendix A: Complete Set of Survey Frequencies.

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents gave an answer of “don’t know.” The proportion of respondents giving this reply is always shown in the appendices. However, “don’t know” responses have generally been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report, unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the majority of the tables and graphs in the body of the report display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

For some questions, respondents were permitted to select multiple responses. When the total exceeds 100% in a table for a multiple response question, it is because some respondents are counted in more than one category. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the convention of rounding percentages to the nearest whole number.
**PRECISION OF ESTIMATES**

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a “level of confidence” (or margin of error). The 95 percent confidence interval for this survey is generally no greater than plus or minus 2.5% around any given percent reported for the entire sample (1,553).

**COMPARING SURVEY RESULTS BY GEOGRAPHIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC SUBGROUPS**

Select survey results were compared by village and demographic characteristics of respondents. Tables displaying the comparisons by the villages and respondent demographic characteristics are presented in Appendix B: Survey Results Compared by Respondent Characteristics.

Where comparisons are made between subgroups, the margins of error are less precise than the margin of error for the whole sample. Generally the 95% confidence interval ranges from plus or minus five percentage points for samples of about 400 to plus or minus 10 percentage points for samples as small as 100. For smaller sample sizes (e.g., 50), the margin of error rises to 14%.

**COMPARING SURVEY RESULTS OVER TIME**

Data from prior years is displayed in Appendix C: Over Time Comparisons for questions that were similar over time. Differences that surfaced may or may not be meaningful, as wording changes between survey versions and changes in methodology may account, at least in part, for any shift in ratings. Changes between the 2016 and 2018 ratings are regarded as significant if the difference in ratings between years is greater than four percentage points; for comparisons to previous years, changes in ratings are significant if they are greater than ten percentage points.

**COMPARING SURVEY RESULTS TO OTHER COMMUNITIES**

NRC’s database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 600 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The communities in the database represent a wide geographic and population range. The Woodlands has comparisons made to communities across the nation, in Texas, in the west south central region of the nation and with populations of 75,000 to 150,000.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, The Woodlands’ results were noted as being “higher” than the benchmark, “lower” than the benchmark or “similar” to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by city residents is statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. More extreme differences are noted as “much higher” or “much lower.” More information on benchmark comparisons can be found in Appendix D: Benchmark Comparisons.
Survey Results

The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey contained a series of questions that reflected either directly or indirectly on the Township’s progress within several themes. The report of results is loosely organized around themes also outlined on the survey instrument:

- Governance
- Community
- Law Enforcement/Safety
- Covenants/Deed Restrictions
- Communications
- Economic Development
- Transportation and Mobility

Governance

**Figure 1: Quality of Local Government**
Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.

- School System
  - 2018: 96%
  - 2016: 96%
- Municipal Utility District (MUDs)
  - 2018: 89%
  - 2016: 86%
- County (Montgomery or Harris)
  - 2018: 92%
  - 2016: 89%
- The Woodlands Township
  - 2018: 95%
  - 2016: 91%

**Figure 2: Resident Input in Township Decision-making Process**
What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?

- 2018: 77%
- 2016: 68%

Percent very good or good
FIGURE 3: VALUE OF SERVICES FOR TAXES PAID

How would you rate the services the Township provides TODAY in terms of value for the money in 2014, this question was "Thinking about the services you receive from the Township, how would you rate your tax rate overall in terms of value for the money?" and response options were excellent value, very good value, good value, fair value or poor value. For comparative purposes, excellent value, very good value, and good value were compared to very good value and good value in 2016 and 2018.

FIGURE 4: MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE

What do you feel is the most important issue facing the residents of The Woodlands?

- Traffic, mobility and public transportation: 30%
- Incorporation as a City/annexation by Houston: 18%
- Too much development/overpopulation: 12%
- Public safety: 11%
- Taxes/affordability: 7%
- Flooding: 5%
- Township Infrastructure and utilities (e.g., roads, storm drainage, water utilities, etc.): 3%
- Preservation of natural areas: 2%
- Maintaining community character: 2%
- Other: 9%
- Nothing/Don't know: 1%

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
What do you like best about living in The Woodlands?

- Appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery: 88%
- Parks/woods/pathways/open space: 78%
- Quality of life/lifestyle: 73%
- It's safe: 71%
- Appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean: 70%
- Quiet/peaceful: 60%
- Shopping/restaurants/clean: 57%
- Schools/quality of education: 55%
- Family atmosphere: 53%
- Nice/friendly neighbors/people: 48%
- Conveniently located: 41%
- Other: 4%

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.


**FIGURE 6: FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE WOODLANDS**

How would you like The Woodlands to improve in the future?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility and traffic flow</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/slow down growth and development</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure improvements/code enforcement</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve and enhance natural areas</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform local government/lower taxes</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage more local business, economic development and affordability</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve public safety</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain community character</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve recreation services, senior services and community events</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/keep things the same</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
### Townships Services

**Figure 7: Importance and Quality of Services, 2014-2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These items were not included on the 2014 survey*
FIGURE 8: QUALITY AND IMPORTANCE MATRIX OF SERVICES, 2018

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know).
**LAW ENFORCEMENT/SAFETY**

**Figure 9: Level of Safety**

How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:

- **Safer**
- **Same level of safety**
- **Less safe**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Safer</th>
<th>Same level of safety</th>
<th>Less safe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 10: Reason for Level of Safety**

What factors affected your answer?

- I've heard about or experienced more crime recently: 36%
- Population increase/development has led to more or similar amount of crime: 15%
- I have not experienced crime/I have heard about fewer crimes: 15%
- Police have done a good job/I notice more police presence: 11%
- Crime levels seem stable: 8%
- Don't know, N/A, I have not lived here for 2 years: 6%
- Not enough police presence: 2%
- Criminals are coming in from surrounding communities: 1%
- Other: 6%

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
FIGURE 11: LAW ENFORCEMENT IMPROVEMENTS

What improvements should be made to Law Enforcement?

- Increase law enforcement visibility/have more patrols: 32%
- None/law enforcement already does a great job: 15%
- Improve traffic enforcement: 13%
- Expand police/sheriff force: 12%
- Treat all residents fairly/improve law enforcement & community relations: 3%
- Focus more on drugs, violent crime & property crime (not on traffic enforcement): 2%
- Other: 15%
- Don't know: 7%

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
COVENANTS/DEED RESTRICTIONS

**Figure 12: Importance of Covenants and Deed Restrictions**

The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?

- **2018**: 89%
- **2016**: 92%
- **2014**: 96%

*Percent very important or somewhat important*

**Figure 13: Effectiveness of Achieving Covenants and Deed Standards**

How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?

- **2018**: 91%
- **2016**: 90%
- **2014**: 83%

*Percent very effective or somewhat effective*

**Figure 14: Effectiveness of Covenants and Deed Enforcement**

To what extent do you feel that the covenants/deed restrictions are enforced?

- **2018**: 87%
- **2016**: 89%

*Percent very effective or somewhat effective*
**COMMUNICATIONS**

**FIGURE 15: INFORMATION SOURCES**

How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Community Magazine</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Magazine</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Villager</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth – Friends / Neighbors</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township website (<a href="http://www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov">www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov</a>)</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Facebook Page</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Chronicle Woodlands Section</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township News Releases</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Associations</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TV News Channels</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk of the Township Weekly eNewsletter</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe Courier</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Twitter Page</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Transit Twitter Page</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Department Blogs or Newsletters</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.
FIGURE 16: CONTACT WITH TOWNSHIP STAFF

Over the last two years, have you communicated with a member of the Township staff?

- 2018: 46%
- 2016: 45%
- 2014: 37%
- 2012: 29%
- 2010: 22%

Respondents had the opportunity to write in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.

FIGURE 17: QUALITY OF COMMUNICATIONS WITH TOWNSHIP

How would you rate your overall communications with the Township? Please respond regarding the division or divisions you contacted or who contacted you.

- Finance and Accounting: 2018 - 88%, 2016 - 76%
- Garbage Collection/Environmental: 2018 - 91%, 2016 - 91%
- Law Enforcement: 2018 - 92%, 2016 - 89%
- Neighborhood Watch: 2018 - 86%, 2016 - 80%
- Fire Department: 2018 - 97%, 2016 - 93%
- Parks & Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas: 2018 - 91%, 2016 - 88%
- Covenants & Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC & DSC: 2018 - 82%, 2016 - 76%
- Other: 2018 - 82%, 2016 - 74%
- Don’t know: 2018 - 94%, 2016 - 77%

Percent very good or good
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

FIGURE 18: DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY
Which of the following best describes your opinion of development activity in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 19: IMPORTANCE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?

How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?

Percent very important or somewhat important

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important or somewhat important</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 20: ADDITIONAL ENTERTAINMENT VENUES

What other types of additional entertainment venues would you like to have in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts/performance venues</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More sports/recreational facilities, trails and open space</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More restaurants</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum, libraries or zoos</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for specific age groups (kids, teens and seniors)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know/NA</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie theater</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
TRANSPORTATION & MOBILITY

FIGURE 21: TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What can be done to improve transportation and mobility?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve and/or create more public transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic signal timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening or building more roads/overpasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure for bicyclists and pedestrians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit further development of The Woodlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/limit the number of major throughways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and enhance existing roads and bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance/promote alternatives to car travel (like carpooling, taxis, Uber, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
### FIGURE 22: IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS

In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Please choose Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not at all Important or Don’t Know.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pathways</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing other alternate mobility options</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving intersection design</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving signalization</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Town Center</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
FIGURE 23: PLACE OF WORK

Where do you work?

- Conroe: 3%
- Houston area: 27%
- Retired: 23%
- The Woodlands: 37%
- Other: 10%

FIGURE 24: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS WORKING IN THE WOODLANDS

How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?

- One: 37%
- Two: 19%
- Three: 2%
- None: 41%
- Other: 1%

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.

FIGURE 25: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS WORKING OUTSIDE THE WOODLANDS

How many members of your household work outside The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?

- One: 41%
- Two: 10%
- Three: 1%
- None: 47%
- Other: 0%

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
FIGURE 26: MILES FOR DAILY COMMUTE

For the household members who work outside The Woodlands, how many miles is the daily round-trip commute?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Member #1 (miles per day, round-trip)</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 miles or less</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 100 miles</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 miles</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Member #2 (miles per day, round-trip)</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 miles or less</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 100 miles</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 miles</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Member #3 (miles per day, round-trip)</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 miles or less</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 100 miles</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 miles</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 27: MODE OF TRANSPORTATION FOR COMMUTE

How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?

- Personal Vehicle: 62%
- Carpool: 19%
- Do not work: 16%
- The Woodlands Express Park and Ride: 5%
- Bike: 2%
- Walk: 1%
- Metro: 0%
- Taxi: 0%
- Other: 4%

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Report of Results
### APPENDIX A: COMPLETE SET OF SURVEY FREQUENCIES

**Survey Responses Excluding “Don’t Know”**

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, excluding the “don’t know” responses.

#### Table 1: Question 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you currently reside in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=1003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2: Question 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In which village do you currently reside?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran’s Crossing</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan’s Mill</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3: Question 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Township</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County (Montgomery or Harris)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Utility District (MUDs)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4: Question 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>N=451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5: Question 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A very good value for the money</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>N=229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A good value for the money</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>N=601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A poor value for the money</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Question 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic, mobility and public transportation</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much development/overpopulation</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation as a City/annexation by Houston</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes/affordability</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining community character</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of natural areas</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Infrastructure and utilities (e.g., roads, storm drainage, water utilities, etc.)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 7: Question 7 - Importance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of Results
### Table 8: Question 7 - Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes,</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parks and other common areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9: Question 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Fire Department</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D: Garbage Collection</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: Recycling Collection</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>N=156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H: Swimming Pools</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: Recreation Programs</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J: Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K: Crime Prevention</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L: Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M: Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>N=222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O: Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>N=141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P: Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: Planning for the future</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 10: QUESTION 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which FOUR municipal services from the list above are most important to your household? (Please write in the letters corresponding to your 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th choices. Select none if none are important to you or your household).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Emergency Medical Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Neighborhood Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Garbage Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E - Recycling Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G - Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H - Swimming Pools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - Recreation Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J - Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K - Crime Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L - Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N - Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O - Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - Attracting business to create jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - Planning for the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 11: QUESTION 9 - TOP FOUR CHOICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipal Service</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>N=474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>N=242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>N=203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>N=584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>N=268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items selected as 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th choice. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*

### TABLE 12: QUESTION 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you like best about living in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>N=879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's safe</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>N=713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life/lifestyle</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>N=725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/quality of education</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>N=549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet/peaceful</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>N=601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveniently located</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family atmosphere</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks/woods/pathways/open space</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>N=781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>N=703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping/restaurants/clean</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>N=569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice/friendly neighbors/people</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>N=476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*
### Table 13: Question 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you like The Woodlands to improve in the future?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve public safety</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility and traffic flow</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>N=177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/slow down growth and development</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve and enhance natural areas</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform local government/lower taxes</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain community character</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve recreation services, senior services and community events</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage more local business, economic development and affordability</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure improvements/code enforcement</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/keep things the same</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.

### Table 14: Question 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safer</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less safe</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>N=298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some level of safety</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>N=535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 15: Question 12b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What factors affected your answer?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime levels seem stable</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've heard about or experienced more crime recently</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>N=203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase/development has led to more or similar amount of crime</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police have done a good job /I notice more police presence</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminals are coming in from surrounding communities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough police presence</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have not experienced crime/I have heard about fewer crimes</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
### Table 16: Question 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What improvements should be made to Law Enforcement?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase law enforcement visibility/have more patrols</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>N=183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat all residents fairly/improve law enforcement &amp; community relations</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic enforcement</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand police/sheriff force</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus more on drugs, violent crime &amp; property crime (not on traffic enforcement)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/law enforcement already does a great job</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 17: Question 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>N=546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>N=310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 18: Question 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>N=337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 19: Question 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To what extent do you feel that the covenants/deed restrictions are enforced?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 20: QUESTION 17

How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Township website (<a href="http://www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov">www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov</a>)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>N=281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Community Magazine</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>N=596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township News Releases</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk of the Township Weekly eNewsletter</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Facebook Page</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Twitter Page</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Transit Twitter Page</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Department Blogs or Newsletters</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Villager</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>N=428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Magazine</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Chronicle Woodlands Section</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe Courier</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth – Friends / Neighbors</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TV News Channels</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Associations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

TABLE 21: QUESTION 18

Over the last two years, have you communicated with a member of the Township staff?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>N=431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>N=511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 22: QUESTION 19

How would you rate your overall communications with the Township? Please respond regarding the division or divisions you contacted or who contacted you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covenants &amp; Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC &amp; DSC</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=147</td>
<td>N=241</td>
<td>N=87</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=235</td>
<td>N=186</td>
<td>N=40</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=214</td>
<td>N=114</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=126</td>
<td>N=140</td>
<td>N=42</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=216</td>
<td>N=175</td>
<td>N=33</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection/Environmental</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=263</td>
<td>N=215</td>
<td>N=45</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Accounting</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=70</td>
<td>N=86</td>
<td>N=21</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=29</td>
<td>N=21</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=28</td>
<td>N=18</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 23: Question 20

Which of the following best describes your opinion of development activity in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>N=442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 24: Question 21

How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>N=295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>N=473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 25: Question 22

What other types of additional entertainment venues would you like to have in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts/performance venues</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More sports/recreational facilities, trails and open space</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More restaurants</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie theater</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum, libraries or zoos</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for specific age groups (kids, teens and seniors)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>N=105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 26: Question 23

Where do you work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where do you work?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Houston area</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>N=257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>N=371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 27: Question 24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>N=349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 28: Question 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many members of your household work outside The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>N=461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 29: Question 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the household members who work outside The Woodlands, how many miles is the daily round-trip commute?</th>
<th>50 miles or less</th>
<th>51 to 100 miles</th>
<th>More than 100 miles</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #1 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #2 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #3 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 30: Question 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you get to your job?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Vehicle</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>N=573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Express Park and Ride</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not work</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*

Report of Results
### Table 31: Question 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What can be done to improve transportation and mobility?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve and/or create more public transportation</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic signal timing</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening or building more roads/overpasses</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit further development of The Woodlands</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure for bicyclists and pedestrians</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance/promote alternatives to car travel (like carpooling, taxis, Uber, etc.)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and enhance existing roads and bridges</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/limit the number of major throughways</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 32: Question 29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Please choose Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not at all Important or Don’t Know.</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Town Center</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving signalization</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving intersection design</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing other alternate mobility options</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pathways</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 33: Question 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you currently:</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>N=786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/Divorced</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 34: Question 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 35: Question 32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many children under the age of 18 currently reside in your household?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>N=164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>N=215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 36: Question 33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are the ages of the children in your household?</th>
<th>Under 5</th>
<th>5 – 9</th>
<th>10 – 14</th>
<th>15 – 17</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child 1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=89</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=115</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=101</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=12</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 37: Question 34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following best describes your age?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>N=317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 38: Question 35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you currently own or rent your residence in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>N=839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 39: Question 36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How long have you lived in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than a year</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7 years</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 25 years</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 40: Question 37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following categories best describes your current total household income?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>N=237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>N=247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 41: Question 38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What other comments or suggestions would you like to provide to the Township?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to improve public safety</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions about government and/or tax reforms</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create policies to slow development</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change enforcement of covenants/deeds</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on community character and/or beauty (litter pick-up, landscaping, etc.)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility (roads, traffic signal timing, public transit, etc.)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve communication between Township and residents</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having noise problems or flooding problems</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want to see changes to the number of community events and/or amenities</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/Township already does a great job</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>N=88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
SURVEY RESPONSES INCLUDING “DON’T KNOW”

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, including the “don’t know” responses. The number and percent of respondents for each response option for each question are included in each table.

**Table 42: Question 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you currently reside in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=1003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 43: Question 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In which village do you currently reside?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran’s Crossing</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan’s Mill</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 44: Question 3**

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Township</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County (Montgomery or Harris)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Utility District (MUDs)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 45: Question 4**

What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>N=451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 46: Question 5**

How would you rate the services the Township provides TODAY in terms of value for the money?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A very good value for the money</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A good value for the money</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>N=601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A poor value for the money</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 47: QUESTION 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you feel is the most important issue facing the residents of The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic, mobility and public transportation</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much development/overpopulation</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation as a City/annexation by Houston</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes/affordability</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of natural areas</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining community character</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Infrastructure and utilities (e.g., roads, storm drainage, water utilities, etc.)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Important (%)</th>
<th>Not important (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 49: Question 7 - Quality

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 50: QUESTION 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Fire Department</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D: Garbage Collection</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: Recycling Collection</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>N=156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H: Swimming Pools</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: Recreation Programs</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J: Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K: Crime Prevention</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L: Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M: Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>N=222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O: Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>N=141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P: Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: Planning for the future</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.
### Table 51: Question 9

Which FOUR municipal services from the list above are most important to your household? (Please write in the letters corresponding to your 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th choices. Select none if none are important to you or your household).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>1st choice</th>
<th>2nd choice</th>
<th>3rd choice</th>
<th>4th choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - Fire Department</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=192</td>
<td>N=173</td>
<td>N=77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=135</td>
<td>N=166</td>
<td>N=79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>N=23</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Garbage Collection</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=52</td>
<td>N=31</td>
<td>N=89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E - Recycling Collection</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>N=40</td>
<td>N=41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G - Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=39</td>
<td>N=46</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H - Swimming Pools</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>N=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - Recreation Programs</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J - Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes,</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parks and other common areas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=33</td>
<td>N=55</td>
<td>N=90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K - Crime Prevention</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=256</td>
<td>N=105</td>
<td>N=130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L - Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=23</td>
<td>N=43</td>
<td>N=25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N - Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=46</td>
<td>N=59</td>
<td>N=90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O - Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=51</td>
<td>N=63</td>
<td>N=67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>N=14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - Planning for the future</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>N=47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>N=924</td>
<td>N=921</td>
<td>N=909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 52: Question 9 - Top Four Choices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>N=474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>N=242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>N=203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>N=584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>N=268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items selected as 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th choice. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*
TABLE 53: QUESTION 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you like best about living in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>N=879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's safe</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>N=713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life/lifestyle</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>N=725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/quality of education</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>N=549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet/peaceful</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>N=601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveniently located</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family atmosphere</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks/woods/pathways/open space</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>N=781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>N=703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping/restaurants/clean</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>N=569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice/friendly neighbors/people</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>N=476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

TABLE 54: QUESTION 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you like The Woodlands to improve in the future?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve public safety</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility and traffic flow</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>N=177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/slow down growth and development</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve and enhance natural areas</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform local government/lower taxes</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain community character</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve recreation services, senior services and community events</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage more local business, economic development and affordability</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure improvements/code enforcement</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/keep things the same</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
**Table 55: Question 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safer</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less safe</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>N=298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some level of safety</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>N=535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 56: Question 12b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What factors affected your answer?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime levels seem stable</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve heard about or experienced more crime recently</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>N=203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase/development has led to more or similar amount of crime</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police have done a good job /I notice more police presence</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminals are coming in from surrounding communities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough police presence</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have not experienced crime/I have heard about fewer crimes</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know, N/A, I have not lived here for 2 years</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
### Table 57: Question 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What improvements should be made to Law Enforcement?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase law enforcement visibility/have more patrols</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>N=183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat all residents fairly/improve law enforcement &amp; community relations</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic enforcement</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand police/sheriff force</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus more on drugs, violent crime &amp; property crime (not on traffic enforcement)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/law enforcement already does a great job</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 58: Question 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>N=546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>N=310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 59: Question 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>N=477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 60: Question 16

Report of Results
To what extent do you feel that the covenants/deed restrictions are enforced?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>N=456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 61: Question 17

How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Township website (<a href="http://www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov">www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov</a>)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>N=281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Community Magazine</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>N=596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township News Releases</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk of the Township Weekly eNewsletter</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Facebook Page</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Twitter Page</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Transit Twitter Page</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Department Blogs or Newsletters</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Villager</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>N=428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Magazine</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>N=512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Chronicle Woodlands Section</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe Courier</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth – Friends / Neighbors</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TV News Channels</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Associations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>N=75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N=111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*
### Table 62: Question 18

Over the last two years, have you communicated with a member of the Township staff?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>N=431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>N=511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know/Unsure</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 63: Question 19

How would you rate your overall communications with the Township? Please respond regarding the division or divisions you contacted or who contacted you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covenants &amp; Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC &amp; DSC</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection/Environmental</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Accounting</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 64: Question 20

Which of the following best describes your opinion of development activity in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>N=427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>N=442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 65: Question 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>N=295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>N=473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 66: Question 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What other types of additional entertainment venues would you like to have in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts/performance venues</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>N=82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More sports/recreational facilities, trails and open space</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More restaurants</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie theater</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum, libraries or zoos</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for specific age groups (kids, teens and seniors)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>N=105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know/NA</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 67: Question 23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where do you work?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Houston area</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>N=257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>N=371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 68: Question 24
How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>N=349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>N=210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.

**Table 69: Question 25**

How many members of your household work outside The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>N=404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>N=461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.

**Table 70: Question 26**

For the household members who work outside The Woodlands, how many miles is the daily round-trip commute?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50 miles or less</th>
<th>51 to 100 miles</th>
<th>More than 100 miles</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #1 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>60% N=306</td>
<td>38% N=191</td>
<td>2% N=10</td>
<td>100% N=507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #2 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>71% N=86</td>
<td>25% N=30</td>
<td>5% N=6</td>
<td>100% N=121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #3 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>87% N=15</td>
<td>13% N=2</td>
<td>0% N=0</td>
<td>100% N=17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 71: Question 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you get to your job?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Vehicle</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>N=573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Express Park and Ride</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not work</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>N=146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an “other” response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

### Table 72: Question 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What can be done to improve transportation and mobility?</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve and/or create more public transportation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>N=159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic signal timing</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening or building more roads/overpasses</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N=70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit further development of The Woodlands</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure for bicyclists and pedestrians</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance/promote alternatives to car travel (like carpooling, taxis, Uber, etc.)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and enhance existing roads and bridges</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/limit the number of major throughways</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/don’t know</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
### Table 73: Question 29

In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Please choose Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not at all Important or Don’t Know.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobility Improvement</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Town Center</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving signalization</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving intersection design</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing other alternate mobility options</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pathways</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 74: Question 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>marital_status</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>N=786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/Divorced</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 75: Question 31

Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>N=331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N=72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 76: Question 32

How many children under the age of 18 currently reside in your household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>N=164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>N=215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>N=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 77: Question 33

What are the ages of the children in your household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 5</th>
<th>5 – 9</th>
<th>10 – 14</th>
<th>15 – 17</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child 1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=89</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=115</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=71</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=101</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=36</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=12</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 78: Question 34**

Which of the following best describes your age?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>N=317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 79: Question 35**

Do you currently own or rent your residence in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>N=839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 80: Question 36**

How long have you lived in The Woodlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than a year</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7 years</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>N=190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N=149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N=86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 25 years</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>N=141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 81: Question 37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 – $100,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N=150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 – $150,000</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>N=200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,001 – $250,000</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>N=237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>N=247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 82: Question 38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to improve public safety</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions about government and/or tax reforms</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create policies to slow development</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change enforcement of covenants/deeds</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on community character and/or beauty (litter pick-up, landscaping, etc.)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N=34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility (roads, traffic signal timing, public transit, etc.)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve communication between Township and residents</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having noise problems or flooding problems</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N=8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want to see changes to the number of community events and/or amenities</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/Township already does a great job</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>N=86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>N=88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N=4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N=357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
APPENDIX B: SURVEY RESULTS COMPARED BY RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS

SELECT SURVEY RESPONSES COMPARED BY DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Survey responses to selected survey questions have been compared by respondent demographics. ANOVA and chi-square tests of significance were applied to these comparisons of survey questions. A \( p \)-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed are “real.” Cells shaded grey indicate statistically significant differences (\( p < .05 \)) between at least two of the subgroups.

**Table 83: Question 3 Compared by Respondent Demographics**

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government. Percent rating “very good” or “good”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>The Woodlands Township</th>
<th>County (Montgomery or Harris)</th>
<th>Municipal Utility District (MUDs)</th>
<th>School System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years or less</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-20 years</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $100,000</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 84: Question 4 Compared by Respondent Demographics**

Percent rating "very satisfied" or "satisfied"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years or less</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-20 years</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $100,000</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 85: Question 5 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very good&quot; or &quot;good&quot; value for the money</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you rate the services the Township provides TODAY in terms of value for the money?</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 86: Question 7 - Importance Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don't know). Percent rating &quot;important&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of Results
How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating “important”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 87: Question 7 - Quality Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes,</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parks and other common areas</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of Results
How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating “very good” or “good”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under $100,000</td>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 88: Question 12 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under $100,000</td>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less safe</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some level of safety</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 89: Question 14 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very important&quot; or &quot;somewhat important&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 90: Question 15 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very effective&quot; or &quot;somewhat effective&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 91: Question 16 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very effective&quot; or &quot;somewhat effective&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you feel that the covenants/deed restrictions are enforced?</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 92: Question 18 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent &quot;yes&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over the last two years, have you communicated with a member of the Township staff?</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 93: Question 19 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you rate your overall communications with the Township? Please respond regarding the division or divisions you contacted or who contacted you. Percent rating &quot;very good&quot; or &quot;good&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants &amp; Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC &amp; DSC</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection/Environmental</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Accounting</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 94: Question 20 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Activity</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 95: Question 21 Compared by Respondent Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Development Importance</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How important is continued</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic development in The</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 96: Question 29 Compared by Respondent Demographics

In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very important or somewhat important&quot;</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
<th>Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 and under</td>
<td>Over 44</td>
<td>Own</td>
<td>Rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Town Center</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving signalization</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving intersection design</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing other alternate mobility options</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pathways</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SELECT SURVEY RESPONSES COMPARED BY VILLAGE OF RESIDENCE**

Survey responses to selected survey questions have been compared by area of residence (i.e., school district). ANOVA and chi-square tests of significance were applied to these comparisons of survey questions. A “p-value” of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed are “real.” Cells shaded grey indicate statistically significant differences (p < .05) between at least two of the subgroups.

### Table 97: Question 3 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government. Percent rating &quot;very good&quot; or &quot;good&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Township</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County (Montgomery or Harris)</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Utility District (MUDs)</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 98: Question 4 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very satisfied&quot; or &quot;satisfied&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 99: Question 5 Compared by Village**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent rating &quot;very good&quot; or &quot;good&quot; value for the money</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How would you rate the services the Township provides TODAY in terms of value for the money?

**Table 100: Question 7 - Importance Compared by Village**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating &quot;important&quot;</td>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating “important”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 101: Question 7 - Quality Compared by Village

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating “very good” or “good”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know). Percent rating “very good” or “good”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 102: Question 12 Compared by Village

**How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran's Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan's Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safer</strong></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less safe</strong></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Some level of safety</strong></td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 103: Question 14 Compared by Village

**Percent rating "very important" or "somewhat important"**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran's Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan's Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 104: Question 15 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very effective&quot; or &quot;somewhat effective&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran's Crossing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan's Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 105: Question 16 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very effective&quot; or &quot;somewhat effective&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran's Crossing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan's Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 106: Question 18 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent &quot;yes&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran's Crossing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan's Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of Results
### Table 107: Question 19 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenant &amp; Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC &amp; DSC</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection/Environmental</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Accounting</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>.%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 108: Question 20 Compared by Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran’s Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan’s Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 109: QUESTION 21 COMPARED BY VILLAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent rating &quot;very important&quot; or &quot;somewhat important&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran's Crossing</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan's Mill</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?**

**TABLE 110: QUESTION 29 COMPARED BY VILLAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Percent rating &quot;very important or &quot;somewhat important&quot;</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran's Crossing</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan's Mill</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45**

- 68% Alden Bridge
- 83% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 81% Creekside Park
- 55% Cochran's Crossing
- 84% College Park
- 71% Grogan's Mill
- 71% Indian Springs
- 68% Panther Creek
- 76% Sterling Ridge
- 100% Town Center / East Shore
- 71% Overall

**Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands**

- 61% Alden Bridge
- 70% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 74% Creekside Park
- 57% Cochran's Crossing
- 82% College Park
- 62% Grogan's Mill
- 45% Indian Springs
- 66% Panther Creek
- 65% Sterling Ridge
- 55% Town Center / East Shore
- 64% Overall

**Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands**

- 81% Alden Bridge
- 88% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 82% Creekside Park
- 76% Cochran's Crossing
- 88% College Park
- 77% Grogan's Mill
- 83% Indian Springs
- 75% Panther Creek
- 82% Sterling Ridge
- 90% Town Center / East Shore
- 80% Overall

**Reducing congestion in Town Center**

- 84% Alden Bridge
- 100% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 91% Creekside Park
- 76% Cochran's Crossing
- 88% College Park
- 79% Grogan's Mill
- 85% Indian Springs
- 82% Panther Creek
- 81% Sterling Ridge
- 82% Town Center / East Shore
- 83% Overall

**Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands**

- 75% Alden Bridge
- 72% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 76% Creekside Park
- 67% Cochran's Crossing
- 77% College Park
- 64% Grogan's Mill
- 79% Indian Springs
- 74% Panther Creek
- 70% Sterling Ridge
- 43% Town Center / East Shore
- 72% Overall

**Improving signalization**

- 83% Alden Bridge
- 83% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 79% Creekside Park
- 79% Cochran's Crossing
- 87% College Park
- 74% Grogan's Mill
- 79% Indian Springs
- 82% Panther Creek
- 82% Sterling Ridge
- 95% Town Center / East Shore
- 81% Overall

**Improving intersection design**

- 85% Alden Bridge
- 84% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 76% Creekside Park
- 74% Cochran's Crossing
- 89% College Park
- 69% Grogan's Mill
- 84% Indian Springs
- 84% Panther Creek
- 82% Sterling Ridge
- 72% Town Center / East Shore
- 80% Overall

**Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations**

- 74% Alden Bridge
- 48% Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside
- 69% Creekside Park
- 65% Cochran's Crossing
- 78% College Park
- 63% Grogan's Mill
- 70% Indian Springs
- 69% Panther Creek
- 67% Sterling Ridge
- 74% Town Center / East Shore
- 69% Overall

Report of Results
In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Percent rating "very important or "somewhat important"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Providing other alternate mobility options</th>
<th>Alden Bridge</th>
<th>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</th>
<th>Creekside Park</th>
<th>Cochran's Crossing</th>
<th>College Park</th>
<th>Grogan's Mill</th>
<th>Indian Springs</th>
<th>Panther Creek</th>
<th>Sterling Ridge</th>
<th>Town Center / East Shore</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C: OVER TIME COMPARISONS

Data from prior years is displayed in the following tables alongside 2018 data for questions that had similar wording over time. Differences that surfaced may or may not be meaningful, as wording changes between survey versions and changes in methodology may account, at least in part, for any shift in ratings. Changes over time are regarded as significant if the difference in ratings between years is greater than ten percentage points.

Table 111: Village of Residency – Question 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In which village do you currently reside?</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran’s Crossing</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan’s Mill</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 112: Top Four Choices – Question 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which FOUR municipal services from the list above are most important to your household?</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items selected as 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th choice. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*
### Table 113: Like Best about The Woodlands - Question 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's safe</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life/lifestyle</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/quality of education</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet/peaceful</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveniently located</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family atmosphere</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks/woods/pathways/open space</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping/restaurants/clean</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice/friendly neighbors/people</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

### Table 114: Information Sources - Question 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Township website (<a href="http://www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov">www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov</a>)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Community Magazine</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township News Releases</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk of the Township Weekly eNewsletter</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Facebook Page</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Twitter Page</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Transit Twitter Page</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Department Blogs or Newsletters</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Villager</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Magazine</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Chronicle Woodlands Section</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe Courier</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth – Friends / Neighbors</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TV News Channels</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Associations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.
## Table 115: Mode of Transportation – Question 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you get to your job?</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Express Park and Ride</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not work</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.*
APPENDIX D: BENCHMARK COMPARISONS

Comparison Data

Communities in NRC’s benchmark database are distributed geographically across the country and range from small to large in population size. Comparisons may be made to all communities in the database or to subsets (i.e., Texas communities, communities in the west south central region and communities with populations between 75,000 and 150,000), as in this report. Despite the differences in characteristics across communities, all are in the business of providing services to residents. Though individual community circumstances, resources and practices vary, the objective in every community is to provide services that are so timely, tailored and effective that residents conclude the services are of the highest quality. High ratings in any community, like SAT scores in any teen household, bring pride and a sense of accomplishment.

NRC’s database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in citizen surveys from approximately 500 communities whose residents evaluated local government services and gave their opinion about the quality of community life. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each jurisdiction; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. Comparisons have been made to all communities in the database and to three subsets: Texas communities, communities in the west south central region and communities with populations between 75,000 and 150,000.

Putting Evaluations onto the 100-Point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a three-point scale with 1 representing the best rating and 3 the worst, the benchmarks are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. The margin of error around an average score on the 100-point scale is no greater than plus or minus 1.5 points based on all respondents.

The 100-point scale is not a percent. It is a conversion of responses to an average rating. Each response option is assigned a value that is used in calculating the average score. For example, “very good”=100, “good”=50 and “poor”=0. If everyone reported “very good,” then the average rating would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a “poor” rating, the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. An example of how to convert survey frequencies into an average rating appears below.

Example of Converting Responses to the 100-point Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response option</th>
<th>Total with “don’t know”</th>
<th>Step1: Remove “don’t know” responses</th>
<th>Total without “don’t know”</th>
<th>Step 2: Assign scale values</th>
<th>Step 3: Multiply % by scale value</th>
<th>Step 4: Sum to calculate average rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>=15÷(100-2)=</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>=15.3% x 100 =</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>=53÷(100-2)=</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>=54.1% x 50 =</td>
<td>27.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>=30÷(100-2)=</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>=30.6% x 0 =</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do you rate the school system?

30% Poor

54% Good

15% Very good
INTERPRETING THE RESULTS

Average ratings are compared when similar questions are included in NRC’s database, and there are at least five communities in which the question was asked. Where comparisons are available, four numbers are provided in the table. The first column is The Woodlands “percent positive” rating (e.g., “very good” or “good”) and the second column shows the average rating. The third column is the rank assigned to The Woodlands rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The fourth column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. In that final column, The Woodlands’ results are noted as being “higher” than the benchmark, “lower” than the benchmark or “similar” to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by The Woodlands’ residents is statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. More extreme differences are noted as “much higher” or “much lower.”
NATIONAL BENCHMARK COMPARISONS

Table 116: Question 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 117: Question 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Benchmarks are for the quality/satisfaction ratings, not importance ratings*
# Communities Included in the National Comparisons

The communities included in the national comparisons are listed below, along with the 2010 Census population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams County, CO</td>
<td>441,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway Heights city, WA</td>
<td>6,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany city, OR</td>
<td>50,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albermarle County, VA</td>
<td>98,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Lea city, MN</td>
<td>18,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria city, VA</td>
<td>139,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algonquin village, IL</td>
<td>30,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliso Viejo city, CA</td>
<td>47,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Canyon city, CA</td>
<td>19,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames city, IA</td>
<td>58,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankeny city, IA</td>
<td>45,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor city, MI</td>
<td>113,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apache Junction city, AZ</td>
<td>35,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arapahoe County, CO</td>
<td>572,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas City, AR</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington city, TX</td>
<td>365,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvada city, CO</td>
<td>106,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asheville city, NC</td>
<td>83,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland city, OR</td>
<td>20,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland town, MA</td>
<td>16,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland town, VA</td>
<td>7,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen city, CO</td>
<td>6,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athens-Clarke County, GA</td>
<td>115,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn city, AL</td>
<td>53,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta CCD, GA</td>
<td>134,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurora city, CO</td>
<td>325,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin city, TX</td>
<td>790,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon town, CO</td>
<td>6,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon town, IN</td>
<td>12,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avondale city, AZ</td>
<td>76,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azusa city, CA</td>
<td>46,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bainbridge Island city, WA</td>
<td>23,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore city, MD</td>
<td>620,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore County, MD</td>
<td>805,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonville town, TX</td>
<td>1,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek city, MI</td>
<td>52,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay City, MI</td>
<td>34,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Village city, OH</td>
<td>15,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baytown city, TX</td>
<td>71,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford city, TX</td>
<td>46,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford town, MA</td>
<td>13,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue city, WA</td>
<td>122,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellingham city, WA</td>
<td>80,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benbrook city, TX</td>
<td>21,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bend city, OR</td>
<td>76,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem township, PA</td>
<td>23,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bettendorf city, IA</td>
<td>33,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings city, MT</td>
<td>104,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomington city, IN</td>
<td>80,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomington city, MN</td>
<td>82,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Springs city, MO</td>
<td>52,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise City, ID</td>
<td>205,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonner Springs city, KS</td>
<td>7,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone County, KY</td>
<td>118,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder city, CO</td>
<td>97,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Green city, KY</td>
<td>58,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bozeman city, MT</td>
<td>37,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brentwood city, MO</td>
<td>8,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brentwood city, TN</td>
<td>57,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton city, CO</td>
<td>33,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton city, MI</td>
<td>7,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol city, TN</td>
<td>26,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken Arrow city, OK</td>
<td>98,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookline CDP, MA</td>
<td>58,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn Center city, MN</td>
<td>30,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn city, OH</td>
<td>11,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomfield city, CO</td>
<td>55,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownsburg town, IN</td>
<td>21,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo Grove village, IL</td>
<td>41,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame city, CA</td>
<td>28,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabarrus County, NC</td>
<td>178,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge city, MA</td>
<td>105,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canandaigua city, NY</td>
<td>10,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon Beach city, OR</td>
<td>1,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cañon City, CO</td>
<td>16,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canton city, SD</td>
<td>3,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Coral city, FL</td>
<td>154,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlisle borough, PA</td>
<td>18,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad city, CA</td>
<td>105,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll city, IA</td>
<td>10,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartersville city, GA</td>
<td>19,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cary town, NC</td>
<td>135,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castine town, ME</td>
<td>1,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle Rock town, CO</td>
<td>48,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Hill city, TX</td>
<td>45,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Rapids city, IA</td>
<td>126,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celina city, TX</td>
<td>6,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial city, CO</td>
<td>100,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler city, AZ</td>
<td>236,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler city, TX</td>
<td>2,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chanhassen city, MN</td>
<td>22,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapel Hill town, NC</td>
<td>57,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chardon city, OH</td>
<td>5,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles County, MD</td>
<td>146,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte city, NC</td>
<td>731,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte County, FL</td>
<td>159,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotteville city, VA</td>
<td>43,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattanooga city, TN</td>
<td>167,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chautauqua town, NY</td>
<td>4,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesterfield County, VA</td>
<td>316,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas County, OR</td>
<td>375,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarendon Hills village, IL</td>
<td>8,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton city, MO</td>
<td>15,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater city, FL</td>
<td>107,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Heights city, OH</td>
<td>46,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton city, SC</td>
<td>8,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clive city, IA</td>
<td>15,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis city, CA</td>
<td>95,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park city, MD</td>
<td>30,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Station city, TX</td>
<td>93,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleyville city, TX</td>
<td>22,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia city, MO</td>
<td>108,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia city, SC</td>
<td>129,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Falls city, MT</td>
<td>4,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce City, CO</td>
<td>45,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord city, CA</td>
<td>122,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord town, MA</td>
<td>17,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conshohocken borough, PA</td>
<td>7,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolidge city, AZ</td>
<td>11,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coon Rapids city, MN</td>
<td>61,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copperas Cove city, TX</td>
<td>32,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Springs city, FL</td>
<td>121,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronado city, CA</td>
<td>18,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corvallis city, OR</td>
<td>54,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonwood Heights city, UT</td>
<td>33,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creve Coeur city, MO</td>
<td>17,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupertino city, CA</td>
<td>58,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacotina city, CO</td>
<td>4,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota County, MN</td>
<td>398,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas city, OR</td>
<td>14,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas city, TX</td>
<td>1,197,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville city, KY</td>
<td>16,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dardenne Prairie city, MO</td>
<td>11,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darien city, IL</td>
<td>22,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport city, FL</td>
<td>2,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson town, NC</td>
<td>10,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton city, OH</td>
<td>141,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton town, WY</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn city, MI</td>
<td>98,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur city, GA</td>
<td>19,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Mar city, CA</td>
<td>4,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeLand city, FL</td>
<td>27,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware city, OH</td>
<td>34,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denison city, TX</td>
<td>22,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton city, TX</td>
<td>113,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver city, CO</td>
<td>600,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines city, IA</td>
<td>203,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Peres city, MO</td>
<td>8,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destin city, FL</td>
<td>12,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover city, NH</td>
<td>29,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin city, CA</td>
<td>46,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin city, OH</td>
<td>41,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duluth city, MN</td>
<td>86,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham city, NC</td>
<td>228,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham County, NC</td>
<td>267,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyer town, IN</td>
<td>16,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagan city, MN</td>
<td>64,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Mountain city, UT</td>
<td>21,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle town, CO</td>
<td>6,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire city, WI</td>
<td>65,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Prairie city, MN</td>
<td>60,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden town, VT</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgerton city, KS</td>
<td>1,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewater city, CO</td>
<td>5,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edina city, MN</td>
<td>47,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmond city, OK</td>
<td>81,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds city, WA</td>
<td>59,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cerrito city, CA</td>
<td>23,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County, CA</td>
<td>181,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA</td>
<td>29,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove city, CA</td>
<td>153,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko New Market city, MN</td>
<td>4,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmhurst city, IL</td>
<td>44,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englewood city, CO</td>
<td>30,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie town, CO</td>
<td>18,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escambia County, FL</td>
<td>297,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estes Park town, CO</td>
<td>5,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclid city, OH</td>
<td>48,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview town, TX</td>
<td>7,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Branch city, TX</td>
<td>28,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmersville, TX</td>
<td>3,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Hills city, MI</td>
<td>79,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington town, CT</td>
<td>25,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville, NC</td>
<td>200,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandina Beach city, FL</td>
<td>11,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagstaff city, AZ</td>
<td>65,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower Mound town, TX</td>
<td>64,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Grove city, OR</td>
<td>21,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Collins city, CO</td>
<td>143,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin city, TN</td>
<td>62,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick town, CO</td>
<td>8,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont city, CA</td>
<td>214,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendswood city, TX</td>
<td>35,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruita city, CO</td>
<td>12,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahanna city, OH</td>
<td>33,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaithersburg city, MD</td>
<td>59,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston city, TX</td>
<td>47,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner city, KS</td>
<td>19,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown city, TX</td>
<td>47,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germantown city, TN</td>
<td>38,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert town, AZ</td>
<td>208,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillette city, WY</td>
<td>29,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Ellyn Village, IL</td>
<td>27,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendora city, CA</td>
<td>50,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenview village, IL</td>
<td>44,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden city, CO</td>
<td>18,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Valley city, MN</td>
<td>20,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodyear city, AZ</td>
<td>65,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafton village, WI</td>
<td>11,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Blanc city, MI</td>
<td>8,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants Pass city, OR</td>
<td>34,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass Valley city, CA</td>
<td>12,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeley city, CO</td>
<td>92,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville city, NC</td>
<td>84,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwich town, CT</td>
<td>61,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood Village city, CO</td>
<td>13,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greer city, SC</td>
<td>25,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnison County, CO</td>
<td>15,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haltom City, TX</td>
<td>42,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton city, OH</td>
<td>62,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton town, MA</td>
<td>7,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton city, VA</td>
<td>137,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanover County, VA</td>
<td>99,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg city, SD</td>
<td>4,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisonburg city, VA</td>
<td>48,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisonville city, MO</td>
<td>10,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings city, MN</td>
<td>22,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward city, CA</td>
<td>144,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson city, NV</td>
<td>257,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herndon town, VA</td>
<td>23,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Point city, NC</td>
<td>104,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland Park city, IL</td>
<td>29,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlands Ranch CDP, CO</td>
<td>96,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer Glen village, IL</td>
<td>24,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu County, HI</td>
<td>953,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooksett town, NH</td>
<td>13,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopkins city, MN</td>
<td>17,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopkinton town, MA</td>
<td>14,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoquiam city, WA</td>
<td>6,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horry County, SC</td>
<td>269,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard village, WI</td>
<td>17,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson town, CO</td>
<td>2,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntley village, IL</td>
<td>24,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurst city, TX</td>
<td>37,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson city, MN</td>
<td>14,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutto city, TX</td>
<td>14,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence city, MO</td>
<td>116,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis city, IA</td>
<td>14,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indio city, CA</td>
<td>76,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa City, IA</td>
<td>67,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving city, TX</td>
<td>216,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issaquah city, WA</td>
<td>30,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson city, MO</td>
<td>13,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County, MO</td>
<td>160,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County, VA</td>
<td>67,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County, NY</td>
<td>116,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Parish, LA</td>
<td>432,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson City, TN</td>
<td>63,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston city, IA</td>
<td>17,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter town, FL</td>
<td>55,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamazoo city, MI</td>
<td>74,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, KS</td>
<td>145,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, MO</td>
<td>459,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keizer city, OR</td>
<td>36,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenmore city, WA</td>
<td>20,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedale city, TX</td>
<td>6,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent city, WA</td>
<td>92,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrville city, TX</td>
<td>22,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering city, OH</td>
<td>56,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key West city, FL</td>
<td>24,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King City, CA</td>
<td>12,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkland city, WA</td>
<td>48,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkwood city, MO</td>
<td>27,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knoxville city, IA</td>
<td>7,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Plata town, MD</td>
<td>8,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Vista city, NE</td>
<td>15,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Niguel city, CA</td>
<td>62,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Forest city, IL</td>
<td>19,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake in the Hills village, IL</td>
<td>28,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Stevens city, WA</td>
<td>28,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Worth city, FL</td>
<td>34,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Zurich village, IL</td>
<td>19,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeville, MN</td>
<td>55,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood city, CO</td>
<td>142,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood city, WA</td>
<td>58,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster County, SC</td>
<td>76,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane County, OR</td>
<td>351,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing city, MI</td>
<td>114,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laramie city, WY</td>
<td>30,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larimer County, CO</td>
<td>299,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Cruces city, NM</td>
<td>97,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas city, NM</td>
<td>13,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence city, KS</td>
<td>87,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrenceville city, GA</td>
<td>28,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee's Summit city, MO</td>
<td>91,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehi city, UT</td>
<td>47,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenexa city, KS</td>
<td>48,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisville city, TX</td>
<td>95,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisville town, NC</td>
<td>12,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libertyville village, IL</td>
<td>20,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincolnwood village, IL</td>
<td>12,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsborg city, KS</td>
<td>3,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Chute village, WI</td>
<td>10,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Littleton city, CO</td>
<td>41,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermore city, CA</td>
<td>80,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard village, IL</td>
<td>43,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Tree city, CO</td>
<td>10,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Grove village, IL</td>
<td>8,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longmont city, CO</td>
<td>86,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longview city, TX</td>
<td>80,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonsdale city, MN</td>
<td>3,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Alamos County, NM</td>
<td>17,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Altos Hills town, CA</td>
<td>7,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County, VA</td>
<td>312,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville city, CO</td>
<td>18,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Merion township, PA</td>
<td>57,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynchburg city, VA</td>
<td>75,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynnwood city, WA</td>
<td>35,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb County, MI</td>
<td>840,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manassas city, VA</td>
<td>37,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhattan Beach city, CA</td>
<td>35,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhattan city, KS</td>
<td>52,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mankato city, MN</td>
<td>39,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Grove city, MN</td>
<td>61,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maplewood city, MN</td>
<td>38,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa County, AZ</td>
<td>3,817,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion city, IA</td>
<td>34,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County, CA</td>
<td>18,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshfield city, WI</td>
<td>19,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez city, CA</td>
<td>35,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marysville city, WA</td>
<td>60,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews town, NC</td>
<td>27,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui County, HI</td>
<td>154,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen city, TX</td>
<td>129,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinney city, TX</td>
<td>131,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMinnville city, OR</td>
<td>32,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City / Town</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Mankato city, MN</td>
<td>13,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norcross city, GA</td>
<td>9,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton city, IA</td>
<td>15,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park city, CA</td>
<td>32,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer Island city, WA</td>
<td>22,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian charter township, MI</td>
<td>39,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian city, ID</td>
<td>75,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merriam city, KS</td>
<td>11,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa city, AZ</td>
<td>439,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa County, CO</td>
<td>146,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Beach city, FL</td>
<td>87,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami city, FL</td>
<td>399,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middleton city, WI</td>
<td>17,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland city, MI</td>
<td>41,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford city, DE</td>
<td>9,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton city, GA</td>
<td>32,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis city, MN</td>
<td>382,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnetrista city, MN</td>
<td>6,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri City, TX</td>
<td>67,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesto city, CA</td>
<td>201,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe city, MI</td>
<td>20,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey city, CA</td>
<td>27,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery city, MN</td>
<td>2,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County, MD</td>
<td>971,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monticello city, UT</td>
<td>1,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montrose city, CO</td>
<td>19,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument town, CO</td>
<td>5,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraga town, CA</td>
<td>16,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morristown city, TN</td>
<td>29,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisville town, NC</td>
<td>18,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morro Bay city, CA</td>
<td>10,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Village town, CO</td>
<td>1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountlake Terrace city, WA</td>
<td>19,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy city, TX</td>
<td>17,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naperville city, IL</td>
<td>141,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoleon city, OH</td>
<td>8,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nederland city, TX</td>
<td>17,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needham CDP, MA</td>
<td>28,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada City, CA</td>
<td>3,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada County, CA</td>
<td>98,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Braunfels city, TX</td>
<td>37,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brighton city, MN</td>
<td>21,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hope city, MN</td>
<td>20,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans city, LA</td>
<td>343,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Smyrna Beach city, FL</td>
<td>22,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Ulm city, MN</td>
<td>13,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newberg city, OR</td>
<td>22,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport city, RI</td>
<td>24,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News city, VA</td>
<td>180,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton city, IA</td>
<td>15,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noblesville city, IN</td>
<td>51,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norcross city, GA</td>
<td>9,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk city, NE</td>
<td>24,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk city, VA</td>
<td>242,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Mankato city, MN</td>
<td>13,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Port city, FL</td>
<td>57,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Richland Hills city, TX</td>
<td>63,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Yarmouth town, ME</td>
<td>3,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novato city, CA</td>
<td>51,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novi city, MI</td>
<td>55,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Fallon city, IL</td>
<td>28,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Fallon city, MO</td>
<td>79,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Park village, IL</td>
<td>51,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland city, CA</td>
<td>390,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakley city, CA</td>
<td>35,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City, OK</td>
<td>579,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olathe city, KS</td>
<td>125,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Town city, ME</td>
<td>7,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted County, MN</td>
<td>144,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympia city, WA</td>
<td>46,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange village, OH</td>
<td>3,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orland Park village, IL</td>
<td>56,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshkosh city, WI</td>
<td>66,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshtemo charter township, MI</td>
<td>21,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oswego village, IL</td>
<td>30,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa County, MI</td>
<td>263,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overland Park city, KS</td>
<td>173,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paducah city, KY</td>
<td>25,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens city, FL</td>
<td>48,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Coast city, FL</td>
<td>75,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto city, CA</td>
<td>64,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palos Verdes Estates city, CA</td>
<td>13,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillion city, NE</td>
<td>18,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradise Valley town, AZ</td>
<td>12,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park City, UT</td>
<td>7,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker town, CO</td>
<td>45,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkland city, FL</td>
<td>23,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco city, WA</td>
<td>59,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco County, FL</td>
<td>464,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payette city, ID</td>
<td>7,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearlland city, TX</td>
<td>91,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria city, AZ</td>
<td>154,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria city, IL</td>
<td>115,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfugerville city, TX</td>
<td>46,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinehurst village, NC</td>
<td>13,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piqua city, OH</td>
<td>20,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitkin County, CO</td>
<td>17,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plano city, TX</td>
<td>259,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platte city, MO</td>
<td>4,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasant Hill city, IA</td>
<td>8,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasanton city, CA</td>
<td>70,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk County, IA</td>
<td>430,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano Beach city, FL</td>
<td>99,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Orange city, FL</td>
<td>56,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port St. Lucie city, FL</td>
<td>164,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland city, OR</td>
<td>583,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell city, OH</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powhatan County, VA</td>
<td>28,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County, VA</td>
<td>402,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Lake city, MN</td>
<td>22,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo city, CO</td>
<td>106,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purcellville town, VA</td>
<td>7,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Creek town, AZ</td>
<td>26,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh city, NC</td>
<td>403,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey city, MN</td>
<td>23,668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vernon Hills village, IL ......................... 25,113
Vestavia Hills city, AL .......................... 34,033
Victoria city, MN .................................. 7,345
Vienna town, VA .................................. 15,687
Virginia Beach city, VA ......................... 437,994
Walnut Creek city, CA .......................... 64,173
Warrensburg city, MO ......................... 18,838
Washington County, MN ..................... 238,136
Washington town, NH ......................... 1,123
Washoe County, NV ............................ 421,407
Washougal city, WA ............................. 14,095
Wauwatosa city, WI ................................ 46,396
Waverly city, IA ................................... 9,874
Wentzville city, MO ............................... 29,070
West Carrollton city, OH ...................... 13,143
Western Springs village, IL .................. 12,975
Westerville city, OH .............................. 36,120
Westlake town, TX .............................. 992
Westminster city, CO ............................ 106,114
Weston town, MA ............................... 11,261
Wheat Ridge city, CO ........................... 30,166
White House city, TN .......................... 10,255
Wichita city, KS ................................. 382,368
Williamsburg city, VA ......................... 14,068
Willowbrook village, IL ...................... 8,540
Wilmington city, NC ............................ 106,476
Wilsonville city, OR ............................ 19,509
Windsor town, CO .............................. 18,644
Windsor town, CT .............................. 29,044
Winnetka village, IL ............................ 12,187
Winter Garden city, FL ....................... 34,568
Woodbury city, MN ............................. 61,961
Woodinville city, WA ......................... 10,938
Woodland city, CA ............................. 55,468
Wyandotte County, KS ....................... 157,505
Yakima city, WA ............................... 91,067
York County, VA .............................. 65,464
Yorktown town, IN ............................ 9,405
Yorkville city, IL ............................... 16,921
Yountville city, CA ............................ 2,933
### Table 118: Question 3

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 119: Question 7

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Benmarks are for the quality/satisfaction ratings, not importance ratings*
**Communities Included in the Texas Comparisons**

The communities included in the Texas comparisons are listed below, along with the 2010 Census population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlington city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonville town, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baytown city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benbrook city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Hill city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celina city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Station city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleyville city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copperas Cove city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denison city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview town, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Branch city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmersville city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower Mound town, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendswood city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haltom City city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutto city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedale city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrville city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisville city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longview city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinney city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri City city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nederland city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Braunfels city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Richland Hills city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearland city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pflugerville city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plano city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenberg city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Rock city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schertz city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southlake city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Land city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texarkana city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands CDP, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Park city, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westlake town, TX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL REGION BENCHMARK COMPARISONS

**Table 120: Question 3**

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 121: Question 7**

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Benchmarks are for the quality/satisfaction ratings, not importance ratings*
COMMUNITIES INCLUDED IN THE WEST SOUTH CENTRAL REGION COMPARISONS

The communities included in the West South Central Region (AR, LA, OK, TX) comparisons are listed below, along with the 2010 Census population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas City, AR</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington city, TX</td>
<td>365,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin city, TX</td>
<td>790,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonville town, TX</td>
<td>1,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baytown city, TX</td>
<td>71,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford city, TX</td>
<td>46,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benbrook city, TX</td>
<td>21,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken Arrow city, OK</td>
<td>98,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Hill city, TX</td>
<td>45,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celina city, TX</td>
<td>6,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler city, TX</td>
<td>2,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Station city, TX</td>
<td>93,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleyville city, TX</td>
<td>22,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copperas Cove city, TX</td>
<td>32,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas city, TX</td>
<td>1,197,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denison city, TX</td>
<td>22,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton city, TX</td>
<td>113,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmond city, OK</td>
<td>81,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Branch city, TX</td>
<td>28,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmersville city, TX</td>
<td>5,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower Mound town, TX</td>
<td>64,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulshear city, TX</td>
<td>35,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston city, TX</td>
<td>47,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown city, TX</td>
<td>47,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haltom City, TX</td>
<td>42,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurst city, TX</td>
<td>37,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutto, TX</td>
<td>14,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving city, TX</td>
<td>216,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Parish, LA</td>
<td>432,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedale city, TX</td>
<td>6,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrville city, TX</td>
<td>22,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisville city, TX</td>
<td>95,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longview city, TX</td>
<td>80,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen city, TX</td>
<td>129,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinney city, TX</td>
<td>131,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri City, TX</td>
<td>67,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy city, TX</td>
<td>17,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nederland city, TX</td>
<td>17,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Braunfels city, TX</td>
<td>57,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans city, LA</td>
<td>343,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Richland Hills city, TX</td>
<td>63,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City, OK</td>
<td>579,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearland city, TX</td>
<td>91,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pflugerville city, TX</td>
<td>46,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plano city, TX</td>
<td>259,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenberg city, TX</td>
<td>30,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Rock city, TX</td>
<td>99,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos city, TX</td>
<td>44,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schertz city, TX</td>
<td>31,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawnee city, OK</td>
<td>29,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southlake city, TX</td>
<td>26,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Land city, TX</td>
<td>78,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple city, TX</td>
<td>66,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texarkana city, TX</td>
<td>36,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands CDP, TX</td>
<td>93,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa city, OK</td>
<td>391,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Park city, TX</td>
<td>23,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westlake town, TX</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 122: Question 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 123: Question 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>Average rating</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of communities in comparison</th>
<th>Comparison to benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_Benchmarks are for the quality/satisfaction ratings, not importance ratings._
### Communities Included in the Populations 75,000 to 150,000 Comparisons

The communities included in the populations 75,000 to 150,000 comparisons are listed below, along with the 2010 Census population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Point city, NC</td>
<td>104,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward city, CA</td>
<td>144,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanover County, VA</td>
<td>99,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeley city, CO</td>
<td>92,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Collins city, CO</td>
<td>92,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence city, KS</td>
<td>87,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee's Summit city, MO</td>
<td>91,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisville city, TX</td>
<td>95,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermore city, CA</td>
<td>80,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longmont city, CO</td>
<td>86,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longview city, TX</td>
<td>80,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynchburg city, VA</td>
<td>75,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen city, TX</td>
<td>129,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinney city, TX</td>
<td>131,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian city, ID</td>
<td>75,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa County, CO</td>
<td>146,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Beach city, FL</td>
<td>87,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naperville city, IL</td>
<td>141,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada County, CA</td>
<td>98,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Fallon city, MO</td>
<td>79,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olathe city, KS</td>
<td>125,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted County, MN</td>
<td>144,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Coast city, FL</td>
<td>75,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria city, IL</td>
<td>91,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano Beach city, FL</td>
<td>99,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo city, CO</td>
<td>106,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood City city, CA</td>
<td>76,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond city, CA</td>
<td>103,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Rancho city, NM</td>
<td>87,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roanoke city, VA</td>
<td>97,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roanoke County, VA</td>
<td>92,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Rock city, TX</td>
<td>99,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos city, CA</td>
<td>83,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Fe County, NM</td>
<td>144,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica city, CA</td>
<td>89,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott County, MN</td>
<td>129,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph city, MO</td>
<td>76,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Land city, TX</td>
<td>78,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale city, CA</td>
<td>140,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise city, AZ</td>
<td>117,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temecula city, CA</td>
<td>100,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands CDP, TX</td>
<td>93,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand Oaks city, CA</td>
<td>126,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy city, CA</td>
<td>82,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustin city, CA</td>
<td>75,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura CCD, CA</td>
<td>111,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster city, CO</td>
<td>106,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington city, NC</td>
<td>106,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima city, WA</td>
<td>91,067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of Results
APPENDIX E: VERBATIM RESPONSES TO OPEN-ENDED SURVEY QUESTIONS

Following are verbatim responses to the open-ended questions on the survey. Because these responses were written by survey participants, they are presented here in verbatim form, including any typographical, grammar or other mistakes. The responses are in alphabetical order.

QUESTION 6: WHAT DO YOU FEEL IS THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE FACING THE RESIDENTS OF THE WOODLANDS?

PUBLIC SAFETY

- Security and adequate law enforcement. The wait when calling 911 is awful! - Flooding of local streets.
- 1) Crime prevention- keeping residents safe. 2) Maintaining property values- helped by attracting businesses.
- 1) Public safety: we need to have security cameras throughout town centers, shopping areas, village shopping areas as well as residential neighborhood streets. The purpose is deterrent. Recent during home burglaries in Timarron are not good for any of us. 2) Flood control especially along the Spring Creek area. Stricter building permit in all areas to prevent future home flood. Due to the 'flooded-in' events in 2016 & 2017, all neighborhood w/ only 1 way in or out need to have alternative exit points (even if it’s just by walking) flooded, Creekside Forest Drive (Clairhill place), flooded (West new Harmony). Walk way for emergency evacuation- we need this alternative exit road.
- A safe environment.
- Crime and personal security.
- Crime increase.
- Crime mosquitoes flooding. Road widening.
- Crime- on the rise? Mosquitoes- I can’t go outside (Sept.) right now w/o getting eaten alive.
- CRIME PREVENTION.
- Crime - security.
- CRIME - TRAFFIC CONGESTION. FUNDS NEED TO BE SET ASIDE FOR (DISASTERS). FLOOD/ TORNADOES- TREES DOWN HURRICANES. MORE CONTROL OF CONSTRUCTION SPENDING ON PARKS, ETC. OBTAIN ACCURATE BIDS.
- Crime, and traffic congestion
- Crime, deteriorating neighborhoods, bicycle safety on the roads.
- Crime, traffic, power interruptions.
- CRIME.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime. More options on internet, electricity, and water for certain areas.
- Crime/ burglaries, drugs.
- Crime/ Drugs/ Suicide- Biggest issue facing the Woodlands as a whole. My concern with Creekside is the need for a High school. Our children shouldn’t have to commute 20-25 minutes. This area is growing and now there are 3 elementary @ 1 junior high just in Creekside. Their needs to be a high school for this side of town!
- Crime/ safety & traffic/ construction.
- Driving safety!
- Drugs/ traffic/ cost of living.
- Gun control. Flood control.
- Higher crime rate, etc. too many in’s and out’s of The Woodlands now, with all the new and constant construction, makes us more of a target. Loss of wooded areas.
- Increase in crime. Increase in developments attaching themselves to ‘The Woodlands’.
- INCREASED CRIME
- Keep areas safe.
- Keep it safe from climbing crime rate. Improve mobility is and out of The Woodlands.
- Keep The Woodlands safe.
- Low crime rate, clean surroundings.
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- Lowering crime rate, it has gone up.
- Maintaining the safe and valuable lifestyle The Woodlands provides.
- need more Police officers patrolling - haven’t seen one in my area for over a year; Don’t want to be incorporated into the City of Houston.
- Personal safety due to the continued rise in the crime rate and traffic safety due to lack of enforcement of speed limits.
- Robbery.
- safe pathways, road quality for bikes, bettering the schools equipment and facilities. My children shouldn’t be in portable buildings and we should have enough room to not share a cafeteria with P.E. classes.
- Safety/ staying green to George Mitchell’s vision.
- Safety & security.
- Safety & security. Community feeling.
- Safety and staying on track with George Mitchell’s original vision! Public education very important! Roads that can handle the never ending traffic issues!
- Safety: areas around are developing too fast.
- Safety: crime prevention.
- Safety in a rapidly growing community.
- Safety is getting in the recent years, burglaries happened in my neighborhood and another friend’s house. We should make efforts to keep the Woodlands a safe place.
- Safety: it seems like crime has increased recently.
- Safety: our children: personal property.
- Safety preservation; landscaping and aesthetics of the general public areas the grass and buses should be cut back more often.
- Safety!!!
- Safety, activities for families, taxes increasing.
- Safety, education.
- Safety, more police.
- SAFETY, TRAFFIC, POPULATION.
- Safety, traffic, too many lights. Woodlands swim trams overcharging and providing poor coaching compared to other teams! Stop cutting down trees and developing.
- Safety.
- Safety.
- Safety.
- Safety.
- Safety.
- Safety.
- Safety. Living in a safety neighborhood is an amazing advantage for us.
- Safety. Traffic.
- Safety. Traffic. Tasks/ incorporation.
- Safety/ crime prevention.
- Safety/ security due to increased traffic and large number of apartments that have been built.
- School Safety
- Security
- Security
- Security & being incorporated so Houston or Conroe does not take us over.
- Security: keeping our homes safe.
- Security: protect against crime. Need funds set aside for emergency tornado’s etc.- (transportation needs cars backed all over it).
- Security, fire protection, tax relief.
- Security.
- Security/ water conservation.
- the rise in crime rate since roads have opened up connecting the woodlands to other areas
- Thefts / crimes are increasing
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TRAFFIC, MOBILITY AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

- Increased traffic on Woodlands Pkwy and the need to improve traffic flow through proper light management.
- Need to find ways to reduce traffic.
- 1) Growth in traffic & schools. 2) Crime control.
- 1) No public transport. 2) Not enough recycling / composting.
- 1) Road congestion. 2) Storm water system.
- TRAFFIC AND TOO MANY CARS GOING WAY OVER THE SPEED LIMIT. 2) SECURITY.
- TRAFFIC- VERY DIRTY. 2) TRAFFIC
- 242 & GOSLING TRAFFIC, 242 & GREEN BRIDGE TRAFFIC, LOSS OF GREEN SPACE.
- A lot of traffic, the neighbors are very trashy, not longer a quiet place to live. The reason we come here is because was the best planned community. I believe is not longer the ‘best’.
- Additional traffic passing through The Woodlands. Traffic.
- Affordable transportation for senior citizens.
- Aging Infrastructure in the early villages. Traffic #1.
- Be sure to stop the extension of Woodlands Parkway.
- Congestion & crime.
- CONGESTION (ROAD) BUT CRIME WAS ALSO STRONGLY CONSIDERED.
- Congestion @ peak hours.
- Congestion and mobility.
- Congestion of main roads leads to small communities becoming shortcut. The speed limit on Coldbrook Cir, for example, is 20 mph but during peak hours there are cars driving 40-45 through a neighborhood full of children.
- Congestion on roads that residents use to get in and out of the Woodlands (congestion on Kuykendahl is getting worse with every new traffic light and business) -- lack of planning or consideration for traffic light timing. Plans need to be developed to increase accessibility to the Woodlands with other routes in & out.
- Congestion - traffic.
- Congestion!! It is unfortunate that so many roads have been made thoroughfares...It feels like the city has come to our formerly tranquil community.
- Congestion.
- Congestion/traffic. Ensuring we keep crime rates low/ reduce what increases we’ve seen.
- Construction and traffic delays because of it.
- Continually increasing traffic (mostly pass through by non-residents), which we are facilitating by widening main arteries. The Woodlands used to intimidate criminals because it was more confusing to get around. Now we are creating one-stop robbery and convenience centers with our easy access roads.
- Controlling traffic, managing growth while preserving Greenspace.
- Cut through traffic and congestion in the surrounding area.
- Do not let The Woodlands Parkway go through to 249. Too many roads under construction. Flooding. The amount of people who speed through school zones.
- Encroachment of traffic from outside areas on roads in The Woodlands.
- Excessive traffic.
- Expansion of traffic (non resident) into and thru Woodlands. More enforcement of traffic laws. Issue regarding incorporation.
- Fools thinking that expanding the Woodlands Parkway any further West (past 2978), some how benefits our beautiful community - it won’t. It will simply make a few people rich, and destroy our community by making the already congested Woodlands Parkway into a parking lot of traffic with a bunch of people who do not value or care about our community but might save 3-4 minutes burning through our neighborhoods...
- Heavy Traffic
- I think traffic and mobility issues are most important. Our stretch of I-45 is one of the most dangerous. I think it would be helpful to have local trips on local roads, not the freeway.
- Improve roads connecting The Woodlands to the county incorporation. Consolidating MUD into one entity. Flood control.
- Increased traffic- noise- especially for residents along Research Forest- increase in School- Property taxes. More housing more schools more taxes.
- Increased traffic, can the road network handle it? and we need a complete sidewalk coverage. I want to be able to walk/bicycle on the same roads as I drive.
- Increased traffic, need better road network and alternative travel options as walk/bicycle paths and public transportation
- INCREASED TRAFFIC, OVER DEVELOPMENT.
- Increased traffic. Good & efficient water drainage during our storms to prevent flooding where possible.
- Increased traffic. Poor voter turnout.
- Increased traffic/commute times. Flooding prevention measures. Cities/towns growing nearby and making everything more crowded.
- Increased traffic/congestion & the potential for flooding on more concrete is laid down in surrounding areas & trees in The Woodlands are thinned for new roads.
- Increasing traffic congestion
- Increasing traffic, build up on streets, some neighborhoods being left out when other neighborhoods get special treatment (i.e. street cleaning).
- Increasing traffic.
- Infrastructure investment to handle traffic- especially bridges.
- Keeping infrastructure, roads, water, etc. commensurate w/ population.
- Lack of public transportation.
- Make walking paths better visible/accessable.
- Maybe traffic.
- Mobility.
- Mobility.
- Mobility/ Road congestion.
- Need a bike path.
- Negligent drivers. Streets to narrow to parking. Add more traffic lights instead stop signs (nobody respects! them).
- Not wanting Woodlands Pkwy expansion. Too much traffic.
- On may valley traffic is very heavy to 2978 and people speed through our neighborhood.
- Other areas using The Woodlands as a pass through to get to I45.
- Overpass &/or underpass at Grogan’s mill & Research Forest.
- Parking in residential areas
- Parking, distasteful water, traffic.
- Public transportation.
- Rapid growth more traffic.
- Residents in the Woodlands treat all of the stop lights as drag strips. Research Forest Dr., Kuykendahl to Alden Bridge West is a quarter mile drag strip between the lights with accidents constantly. Nobody uses their turn signals, nobody stops for people in the cross walk. So the most important issue facing the residents; is the residents.
- Road congestion/repair. Non-residence use of parks, pools, etc. that we as residence pay with our tax dollars.
- Road construction keeping up with build out of the different areas.
- Road expansions, traffic, land clearing for new construction.
- Road traffic safety.
- Road widening, flood prevention.
- Roads in and out of Woodlands.
- Roads - needs more projects completed/timed lights. Better transportation - more shuttles for visitors.
- Roads surrounding the area are outdated and need expanding to mitigate traffic. Also, neighborhood roads were designed too narrow with lack of sidewalks. Very poor design compared to other places I have lived in the U.S. With the narrow neighborhood roads the HOA needs to enforce people parking on streets. On a weekly basis I have problems getting down my street or backing out of driveway.
- Roads. Main N/S routes and E/W routes.
- Roads/traffic in becoming an issue on Lake Woodlands Drive.
- Roadways, congestion, incorporation. The increasing tea party influence on Township Board!
- Safety and traffic.
- Sometimes, the lack of parking slots.
- Streets overloaded with traffic.
Streets.

The constant road work & road expansion, in line with flooding hazards.

The extension of The Woodlands Parkway.

The increase in traffic on the key east/west streets through The Woodlands.

The most important is not becoming part of Houston to keep metro bus lines out.

The road construction on The Woodlands Parkway. It’s a mess and doesn’t appear to be nearing completion.

The speed limit I believe is an issue, for everyone seems to be going way above it. Maybe it should be brought down and less accidents will not occur.

The too fast pace of growth which congests the streets and raises the price of housing and renting for business practices e.g. skeeters went out of business because of the new high rent requirement. And yet skeeters was an important element in the cohesiveness of the community because we could all afford to gather there & fellowship.

The traffic & no bus service.

The traffic.

THE WOODLANDS WAS NEVER INTENDED TO GROW TO THE SIZE IT IS TODAY. WITH ALL THE ROADS BEING ‘OPENED’, THE TRAFFIC IS CRAZY AND VERY DANGEROUS!!

To prevent the road extension on Woodlands Parkway.

Too much growth- too much traffic.

Too much traffic the amount of people on the roads during peak hours makes driving hectic & dangerous. (Research forest, Woodlands Pkwy, and Kuykendahl).

Too much traffic.

Too much traffic; taxes are too high; concern about personal safety and crime.

Traffic

Traffic

traffic

Traffic

Traffic

Traffic

TRAFFIC !!!

TRAFFIC & CRIME.

Traffic & crime.

Traffic & losing the ‘community’ feel due to growth.

Traffic & mobility.

Traffic & policing.

Traffic & preservation of community & nature (environment).

Traffic & preserving the natural environment.

Traffic & security.

Traffic & street lights.

Traffic & traffic lights.

Traffic and congestion.

Traffic and congestion.

Traffic and congestion. Lights are poorly timed- sometimes changing immediately because a car arrives that wants to turn right. Occasionally get stopped at every red light on the way into work in the morning.

Traffic and flooding.

Traffic and increased crime.

Traffic and mobility- getting worse in spite of recent initiatives image.

Traffic and over development causing future flooding. Creekside is way over-developed and they aren’t stopping.

Traffic and overdevelopment

Traffic and parking.

Traffic and population, crime.

Traffic and potential crime

Traffic and should we incorporate.

Traffic and the growth of areas just outside the Woodland’s boundaries.
Traffic - and the negative impact it has on pollution, accidents, pedestrian safety! I am an ardent biker, years of promises to create a safe environment for riders has not materialized. Speeding is rampant on the major thoroughfares & ever residential streets!

Traffic and unchecked growth.

Traffic concerns.

Traffic congestion

Traffic congestion

Traffic congestion

Traffic congestion

Traffic congestion

Traffic congestion - please stop widening the roads which only brings more traffic through our community!

Traffic congestion with population growth.

TRAFFIC CONGESTION, LACK OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT.

Traffic congestion.


Traffic congestion. Stop getting food chain restaurants & bring some organic food to The Woodlands!

Traffic congestion. Too many strip malls. Greenbelt diminishing.

TRAFFIC CONGESTION/ SIGNALS.

Traffic control & incorporation.

Traffic control and management. Unchecked commercial development. Population growth beyond the capacity of facilities.

Traffic control associated with commercial development and lack of alternative transportation within The Woodlands and from The Woodlands to Houston.

TRAFFIC CONTROL ESPECIALLY TIMING OF TRAFFIC LIGHTS. ALSO THINK THEY SHOULD USE FLASHING YELLOW TURN ARROWS ON MORE LIGHTS.

Traffic control to include street parking, residence a beginning to fail to use garages and park in driveways on the streets. Lines for school pickups are intolerable.

Traffic crucially important to limit through traffic- do not extend Wdlds. parkway beyond 2978. No more major thorough fares through The Wdlds. Incorporation- Why are we rushing this thru?

Traffic from so much growth.

Traffic increase as Montgomery County grows passing through neighborhoods.

Traffic is always an issue. The Woodlands Parkway can be a parking lot at various times of the day.

Traffic is growing very quickly. It could be a serious problem in the future. Maintenance of the walking/ jogging paths, including the trees along the paths, is deteriorating.

Traffic is horrible and the timing of traffic lights makes it worse

Traffic is terrible.

Traffic management- or the lack of it. Every time I turn around another traffic light goes up that impedes the flow of traffic.

Traffic management, flooding mitigation, incorporation, managing growth, issue resolution between legacy and new residents

Traffic management.

Traffic- normal heavy. Traffic when sponsoring events.

Traffic on roads.

Traffic- over building- township approval board, this has been a major issue for past 35 yrs.

Traffic- Over population of area (The Woodlands)

Traffic overcrowding.

Traffic problem

Traffic problems & failure to enforce traffic laws.

Traffic- too many lights, slow speed limits on major roads, not enough lanes for all the cars.

Traffic- transportation.

Traffic- which is being addressed w/ the additional lanes on Woodlands Pkwy. But I see it will be continuing issue.

Traffic! The quality of life has suffered greatly. It’s not just the congestion, but the noise, construction and people flagrantly running red lights that all contribute to this problem.
Traffic! Parking!
Traffic! The expansion of Woodlands parkway to 249.
Traffic! The Woodlands Parkway extension needs to go through to Tomball Parkway. It is crazy to think that residents do not want this. Living in Sterling Ridge, we are in the back of The Woodlands. It takes me 25 minutes to get to 45 to even start going South into Houston. Even if I use a cross street like Gosling or Kuykendahl, it would take 35 minutes to get on to 99. If we would extend Woodlands Parkway to Tomball Parkway, it would lessen the traffic trying to get to a South freeway. If I could go Woodlands Parkway to Tomball Parkway to get south, it would be much faster than going through The Woodlands. If we don’t set up the interchange to this road it is going to be built by a developer and then attached to FM 2978 at some point. If we build it now it is a win, win- because this back area of The Woodlands could be developed as a village, which means more shopping and dining for the residents. Also, Research Forest Lights need to be timed like Woodlands Parkway lights. This way is so much slower because the lights are not timed. Getting to 45 is a nightmare if I have to go this route. I would say it can take 30 to 35 minutes at 7 – 8 am.
Traffic! Traffic! Traffic!
TRAFFIC!!!
The congestion is getting increasingly terrible due to the constant addition of new strip centers and office buildings. And how sad to lose so many of our beautiful trees!
Traffic, bike lanes, controlling expansion.
Traffic, crime.
Traffic, crime.
Traffic, Flood control, Taxes.
Traffic, high school education.
Traffic, I think every resident is worried about the traffic in our area. I’m concerned about Charlie Riley’s influence to change what the residents of The Woodlands want.
Traffic, Incorporation to Houston.
Traffic, loss of green spaces, overbuilding and security as a consequence of tremendous growth.
Traffic, loss of identity.
Traffic, maintaining the Mitchell vision. Incorporate asap.
Traffic, neighborhoods remaining safe and secure, recycling.
TRAFFIC, NOW AND IN FUTURE.
traffic, older neighborhoods not being taken care of
Traffic, overbuilding.
Traffic, pending incorp. decisions, population growth.
Traffic, roads, growth.
Traffic, roadways.
Traffic, Safety
Traffic, safety, governance.
Traffic, safety, taxes.
Traffic, security.
Traffic, speeding (Woodlands pkwy, Research forest).
Traffic, speeding.
Traffic, taxes, some local public transportation. Additional parking around mall & market street areas.
Traffic, traffic lights, to turn on Woodlands Pkw and resec. (the yellow turning) light. Super dangerous. Deforestation.
Traffic, flooding
Traffic.
Traffic.
TRAFFIC.
TRAFFIC.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
TRAFFIC.
Traffic.
Traffic.
TRAFFIC.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
TRAFFIC.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic. Over-development.
Traffic. Traffic lights can stop you for minutes and most of the time there is no or minimal cross traffic.
TRAFFIC. HIGHER FEES AND/OR TAXES- WE'RE RETIRED AND ON FIXED INCOMES.
Traffic. Incorporation. Higher taxes because of incorporation.
Traffic. Neighbors that do not follow the home owners association rules.
Traffic. Property taxes.
Traffic. Protect the forest.
Traffic. Renovating the older sections.
Traffic. Water.
Traffic/ 2nd keeping upon common areas.
Traffic/ crime control.
Traffic/dangerous intersections caused by poor visibility, trees scrubs trimming needed.
Traffic/ parking flood areas.
Traffic/ Safety.
Traffic-speeding. Increased crime.
Train & traffic.
Transit times. Kuykendahl should be 2 lanes north of lake woodlands. Gosling bridge not being done along side the Kuykendahl bridge is an embarrassment.
Transportation, there is no taxi that is reliable & usable for Woodlands area.
Vehicular traffic growing worse. (1) Non-Woodlands residents using Woodlands as short cut or pass through. (2) Aging and crumbling curbs.
-walk trails & bicycles- need separate paths. - work on street lights.- street drain maintenance to minimize flooding.

**Too Much Development/Overpopulation**
- Too many businesses now!- Traffic- not enough lanes or another bridge coming into The Woodlands.- Construction- water related issues to flooding.
- growth and traffic...
1) Continued growth/ Congestion w/ traffic and not enough road arteries to handle it. 2) Increase in crime.
1) OVER DEVELOPMENT SOON RENAME WOODLESS. 2) WATER SUPPLY NOT POTABLE AND EVER INCREASING, MORE EXPENSIVE.
1) Over development. 2) Deed restrictions on my street not enforced- at all.
1) Over-development- Deforesting. 2) Incorporating.
1. Too much development resulting in congestion, noise and traffic.
Business growth and property taxes.
Continued development.
Continued growth- Keeping it beautiful- Keeping it at safe place to live.
Control over population.
Controlling development, protecting & planting trees.
Controlling growth & expansion.
Development issues and impact on our watersheds. We need more land set aside as green space and wetlands (and I didn’t flood).
Development of multifamily buildings. As the area around us develops most of it is apartment buildings. This in years to come will detract from the lifestyle and uniqueness of The Woodlands.
Do not change too much, please.
Encroachment of Harris County/ Houston.
Encroachment of surrounding communities. I see this as increased crime and crowdedness. This includes limiting the leasing of houses.
Excessive commercial development not abiding by protection standard to keep trees deforestation.
Excessive growth leading to local housing bubble. Taxes levied by Montgomery County are making cost of living unaffordable for existing residents. Also taxes levied simply are artificially high based on inflated home prices.
Excessive growth surrounding the Woodlands, affecting traffic & drainage. Taxes, very high. Appreciate the services, but please don’t go higher or will have a hard time staying here even through this is home.
Expanding.
Expansion and knowing when to stop! Too many strip malls and loss of trees/ wooded areas! Increased population.
Expansion, overcrowding, traffic congestion.
Future overcrowding in some ways and safety, I respect and appreciate our police but would encourage a focus on the ‘serious’ issues, not minor ones, i.e. stopping a person driving in the left lane on Woodlands pkwy, when no other vehicles are around (while not speeding).
Growth & Crime.
Growth & expansion.
Growth & Roads.
growth and traffic.
Growth- Are we keeping up w/ it?
Growth incorporation.
Growth of The Woodlands and township ‘expiration’ although the issue confuses me as I don’t know much about it.
Growth planning & traffic.
Growth- too much. Increasing taxes.
Growth with its related congestion.
GROWTH, INCORPORATION & TRANSPORTATION.
Growth, traffic.
GROWTH.
How crowded do we want the Woodlands?
How to manage growth especially commercial development to ensure quality of life. Community isn’t hurt.

- Increased population, development & over crowding high property taxes. Riot of over development in green spaces, aging infrastructure, sewage smell off main streets & in parking lots.
- Infrastructure keeping up with population growth. Keeping tables in line so as to not devalue housing prices. Keeping the original version of The Woodlands intact, as George Mitchell envisioned and intended. There is a stark difference from the front of The Woodlands to the lack; clearly the vision changed.
- Keeping development under control so traffic doesn’t get any worse.
- Limit development and through streets.
- Managing growth and sprawl. Keeping traffic managed and flowing.
- MANAGING GROWTH.
- Moving too much towards commercialization, losing original vision of The Woodlands.
- New high dollar developers buying property in the Woodlands.
- Over building or development. Tree removal and concrete lead to flooding. Flooding to taxes & mobility issues and unhappy residents. Seem to be at odds with current township ideas.
- OVER BUILDING, TAXES.
- Over building, traffic, greenery being removed.
- Over crowded schools.
- Over crowding and traffic control
- Over crowding.
- OVER DEVELOPMENT- ESPECIALLY COMMERCIAL. TRAFFIC- ESPECIALLY ‘THROUGH TRAFFIC’. 
- Over development leading to crowded schools and traffic.
- Over development- traffic- flooding in some areas.
- Over development- way too many strip malls are popping up everywhere. Too much construction leads to loss of mature trees & causes traffic congestion. We have enough stuff, time to stop the insanity.
- Over development! Over development! Over development.
- Over development, high density, congestion, rising property taxes.
- Over development.
- Over development.
- OVER GROWTH; TOO MUCH TRAFFIC AND CONGESTION.
- Over involvement of HOA. Too many rentals not being taken care of.
- Over population/ no concern for wildlife & pollinators as new residents move in- they lose our values of ‘living in the forest’. Noticeable.
- Overbuilding- Should have stayed a small community overgrowth causes too much traffic, too many people, not enough resources. Its hard to keep enough policy fire utility control & still stay un-owned by the city of Houston.
- Overbuilding, not replanting trees in the esplanades after water/ sewage work losing The Woodlands ‘bubble’, not interested in including Creekside. Keep The Woodlands ‘as is’.
- Overbuilding.
- Overbuilding/ lack of roads & planning incompetence at The Woodlands Township- ‘Old Guard’ does not value doing a good job- too much cronyism!
- Overcrowded.
- Overcrowding causing too much traffic & construction on roadways.
- Overcrowding- traffic.
- Overcrowding, theft, road conjestion
- Overcrowding; traffic jams; de-forestization
- Overdevelopment.
- Over-expansion- Too many houses, people and cars- poor planning for traffic, number of households and businesses adversely affecting quality of life, cost of water, etc. Does every last bit of the forest have to be paved for another strip center?!
- Overpopulation; shrinking lots/ road widths and green spaces; traffic from Montgomery driving through Woodlands to get to 45; higher crime.
- Population density
- Population growth & falling housing prices.
Rapid development with standards seeming to slip with regards to spacing, retention of tree buffers and concerns for flooding mitigation.

Rapid growth & commercialization. We are in danger of becoming just like Houston.

Regaining control over our future as the woodlands and its original design. Lost control over over building, roads too big, too fast of speeds. We are cut through community no longer the woodlands. No control over covenants - no enforcement power, neighborhood full of parked cars and trucks - garages have become storage units or workshops. Pure trash. Cost containment - tax revenue insufficient to cover long term upkeep costs, new debt issued with a portion allocated towards maintenance existing infrastructure. Stop the over growth/ overspend, long term your finances can afford its upkeep. Stop the push to build out woodlands parkway or other roads, you are creating the next layer of complexity and problems.

Residential and commercial development that is not in line with the sustainability legacy and design intent of The Woodlands. Clearcutting has become too prevalent.

RESIDENTIAL OVER-DEVELOPMENT.

Small business are not able to thrive, so all we have is a bunch of franchises too many apartments/ housing complexes, getting away from a family surrounded community, drugs are prevalent.

SURROUNDING DEMOGRAPHICS MOVING INTO THE WOODLANDS, i.e., GREENSPoint NOW COMING TO THE WOODLANDS MALL; APARTMENTS AROUND PERIMETER OF THE WOODLANDS, ETC.

The ability to control the development and/or expansion of roads.

The amount of growth over the last few years. The high schools are too large, the resource education is poor, the monitoring of traffic and violations is poor. Taxes are extremely high for what my family uses.

The continued building of new strip centers that are not needed, especially when it means taking town trees. We have enough empty store fronts & restaurants that need to be filled first. Also- we didn’t need another apt. building on the lake either. Just puts more traffic on Lakewood leads.

The fast growing of the community, roads getting bigger, more traffic, more accidents.

The growth of The Woodlands. Traffic has increased tea fold since we moved here 10 years ago.

The over development and the increase in access to non visitors. There are too many people going in and out of neighborhoods, that are not residents.

There's so many more people here in the last 3 years than I've ever seen, and I'm beginning to see cans and other litter in the woods, people driving or riding bikes in unsafe ways on the road, and even attempts at theft. I think more police presence or private security could help.

TOO CROWDED! TOO MANY MAGAZINES & AD'S YOU SHOP IN MY STORES I'LL SHOP IN YOURS & WE'LL KEEP EACH OTHER GOING!

Too many people passing thru the area form outside The Woodlands. This creates a situation of not feeling as safe.

Too many rental homes. Homes with way too many cars.

Too much commercial development. Loss of wooded areas & wildlife. Increasing crime. Too much traffic. (Take your pick).

Too much construction.

Too much development and drainage systems.

Too much development leading to too much traffic.

Too much development too quickly. Mobility/ traffic- roads need to be able to accommodate higher volumes of traffic before more development takes place. Now it is clearly an after thought.

Too much development yet taxes are not balanced.

Too much growth in the area. Vandalism & thefts are increasing and rampant.

TOO MUCH GROWTH TOO FAST.

Too much new construction.

Too much uncoordinated building and construction activity, resulting in increased flooding risk.

Too populated, traffic is out of control.

Too rapid expansion by greedy Howard Hughes corp. Great loss of trees, greatly increased traffic with no plans to improve roads, empty store fronts due too fast growth, not enforcing coverts so quality of neighborhood is decreasing. Opening up cross roads than Woodlands was increased traffic and crime


Unbridled growth and taxes.

Uncontrolled growth.
Incorporation as a City/Annexation by Houston

- Annexation - Cost of rent for service establishments - even though chain places seem to be the only ones who can afford to rent here, there are still many vacant buildings that could be rented by local people to create a more unique community.
- Incorporation or not - flooding & over building.
- ANNEXATION don’t let it happen. I’m from Kingwood and you see how that worked for them!
- 1) Fear that you will let Harris County take us over. 2) Maintenance needed i.e. mowing in over village.
- 1) Incorporating. 2) Mud - corrupt. 3) Commissioner’s court very negative to the Woodlands. 4) Howard Hugh’s corp. needs to be shutdown.
- 1) Incorporation and crime (a tie). 2) Traffic cutting through from cars outside the township. 3) Speeding and not following traffic laws.
- 1) Incorporation topic. 2) Commuters using TW as a pass through (to 99, 45-2978, etc.).
- 1) Incorporation. 2) Property Taxes.
- 1) Incorporation. 2) Speeders.
- 1) WHETHER OR NOT TO CONTINUE ON EXPANSIONIST STRATEGY. 2) FLOODING & DRAINAGE CONTROL.
- 1. incorporation - because that will allow us to work on some other issues.
  a- Incorporating, b- Property taxes and mud rates. c- Do not make Woodlands parkway extended.
- Addressing incorporation as a city.
- Annexation
- Annexation by Houston.
- Annexation into Houston.
- Annexation of Houston.
- Becoming a City
- Becoming a city- control our destiny.
- Becoming a city government so we can have better control of our destiny regarding: roads, water usage & new construction and availability of state, county & federal funds for the community. Signage & ‘look’ along I-45 service roads is a disgrace to the community.
- Becoming a city- Neighborhood safety from GANGS.
- Becoming a city.
- Becoming our own city and not being annexed by other entities.
- Cost of incorporation: paying for police department, the issue of property taxes that were recently reduced, rather than raised, funding for all services now in place, growth requirements.
- Deciding when to incorporate.
- Decision on incorporation.
- decisions around future governance
- Do we Incorporate or not? Yes is my vote let’s control the roads in the Woodlands - not the county.
- Future governance.
- Future governance.
- Future incorporation
- Future incorporation and costs associated with it.
- Getting the truth out about incorporating so all residents are informed if this actually moves to vote. Prefer to keep our community as is, and those wanting to be in a city should consider moving to one.
- Governance decision is big for me! Tax rate is somewhat related to that issue as well as control of roadways and increased traffic!
- Governing of township in keeping up with growth.
- I guess it is the impending decision as to the future government and legal status of The Woodlands. I think that most people moved here because of the vision of George Mitchell and the further we get away from his vision the more we are just like everyone else e.g., Katy I appreciate that there seems to be a serious effort being put forth to evaluate the future and the wisest path forward.
I have lived in the Woodland for 15 years. You have been doing surveys and studies ever since. The population has doubled and so has the price to incorporate. The more you delay, the more the cost. Start now by incorporating and then start annexing one village at a time until they are the city of the Woodlands.

I have no idea other than the incorporation issue.

Incorporate - to avoid a take-over/annexation by cities of Houston & Conroe.


Incorporation. Traffic.

Incorporation

Incorporation

Incorporation

Incorporation

Incorporation

INCORPORATION

Incorporation - not in favor of incorporation and the additional tax burden it will bring.

Incorporation & mosquitos.

Incorporation (if and when).

Incorporation and development

Incorporation and not allowing extension of Woodlands parkway - I do not want parkway extension.

Incorporation and older neighborhoods becoming unsafe.

Incorporation and preservation of the environment.

Incorporation - cost of incorporating governance structure and how this will impact taxes. Also too many people - overcrowding, congestion on roads, shops, etc.

Incorporation - Do we become a home rule city?

Incorporation in a timely manner without a rush to incorporate before it makes the best financial sense.

Incorporation into a city and the potential, very likely, increase in taxes that would be levied to pay for administration and services already being contracted for through the counties.

Incorporation issue.

Incorporation - need to keep original vision of the Woodlands, Transportation.

Incorporation of The Woodlands to the city of Houston. Can residents vote about the incorporation?

Incorporation - sounds like our costs will go up a ton for something were doing fine without.

Incorporation - Taxes increasing.

Incorporation to a city.

INCORPORATION TO AVOID ANNEX BY HOUSTON.

Incorporation to prevent Houston/ Harris County from destroying our quality of life.

Incorporation vs. SPD for next 40 years.

Incorporation, education.

Incorporation, tax increases

Incorporation, tax rate

Incorporation, taxes & Sheriff.

Incorporation, traffic, crime.

INCORPORATION.

INCORPORATION.

Incorporation.

INCORPORATION.

INCORPORATION.

Incorporation.

Incorporation.

Incorporation.

INCORPORATION.

Incorporation.
Incorporation. Extension of Woodlands Pkwy.
Incorporation. I’m very impressed with our elected board. Effort to educate and inform residents is done to the highest level. Very transparent.
Incorporation. Maintain its character [trees, trees, trees!].
Incorporation. Maintaining The Woodlands- not destroying it.
Incorporation. Second is development of commercial properties not complying w/ aesthetic standards (especially- leaving trees!) and traffic congestion. Possibly growing crime due to newer access roads into & out of the community (Research Forest and Kuykendall roads).
Incorporation. Taxes.
Incorporation. Taxes.
Incorporation. Traffic congestion.
Incorporation. We need full local control combined with the powers of a city. Otherwise, I would rather be annexed by Houston!
incorporation/annexation, flooding & drainage
Incorporation?
Keeping Houston annexation away.
Local govt. as incorporated entity.
MAINTAIN STATUS AND PREVENT FLOODING.
Moving forward with incorporation. Too much exposure i.e. concerts, etc.
Not be part of Harris Co.
Not becoming part of Houston/ Harris County.
Not being annexed, and keeping Woodlands Pkwy from being a through way for the area.
Not being annexed. No road going down Woodlands Parkway to make it another 1960. Infrastructure and traffic issues. Safety for our children with human traffickers at the mall, and elsewhere. Paying our police and firefighters a higher wage. Drug issues with our affluent families. Mexican cartel coming into the area and bringing crime.
NOT TO INCORPORATE TOO SOON. WE DON’T HAVE ENOUGH INFO TO INCORPORATE WITHIN THE NEXT 10 YRS.
not understanding what incorporation would mean to the residents in terms of cost.
pending incorporation
Question of incorporation- should be delayed so we can enjoy affordable of low tax rate & great community services provided by Township governance.
Retention of township status.
Rushing to incorporate makes no sense. Water quality. Transforming a suburban road system to an urban center road system.
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- Self governance.
- Self-governance.
- Should we incorporate.
- Shuttle to incorporate. Drainage, flooding issues- future plans to address.
- STAND ALONE INCORPORATION AS A TOWN. PRIMARILY TAX EFFECT OF INCORPORATION.
- Stay independent not part of houston
- Taking step to be a city so its not taken are by Houston or Conroe.
- The Boards obsession with incorporation. So they can expand their power & raise taxes to pay for it.
- The conversion to city from village.
- The decision to be our own city.
- The incorporation, also concerned with any bit of green space the next thing is another strip center coming in.
- The infrastructure costs associated with becoming a city. Controlling our roads, especially expanding Woodlands Pkwy & Lake Woodlands, they should not become major thoroughfares for people not residing in The Woodlands.
- The plan to incorporate is vital to the longer viability of the Woodlands proactive budgeting, saving funds and conversation fiscal planning are the highest priority for me as a resident. Please do not approve funding for non-value added projects such as additional bicycle lanes (I am a cyclist and support cycling- the bike paths are excellent and more than sufficient to meet the vast majority of the community’s needs). Continuously investing in the infrastructure and digital visibility of the township will help long term value and prosperity by attracting employers and job seekers.
- The push to incorporate and the resulting tax increases that will bring.
- The threat of incorporation. Taxes will rise significantly if the Woodlands is incorporated.
- The township pretending it is an incorporated entity, side stepping county & state laws.
- To become a city or not.
- To become incorporated. Also to take tolls of 242 flyover as promised once the road was paid for and to finish 242 east flyover.
- To incorporate or not, and all the ramifications of doing so.
- To incorporate or not.
- To keep autonomy
- Township vs. city???
- understanding incorporation
- We need to be incorporated into our own city.
- We need to become our own city.
- Whether or not to incorporate.
- Whether to incorporate or not
- Whether to incorporate or not.
- WHETHER TO INCORPORATE.
- WHETHER TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT OR TO INCORPORATE.

**TAXES/AFFORDABILITY**

- 1- Affordable housing and apartments. 2- Take politicians out of community events.
- 1) Rising property taxes (not tax rate/ property values more).
- 1) The Increase in cost from the township. 2) Increase in property tax. 3) Security. 4) Traffic. 5) Conservation of our property value.
- Cost of leaving, rates for the services provided.
- Don’t over spend; pay down debt before investing in more capital projects.
- Financial issues- property taxes.
- HIGH PROPERTY TAX!! TOO MUCH COMMERCIAL THAT IS DESTROYING GREEN SPACES! MARKET STREET- PET FRIENDLY.
- High property taxes.
- High property taxes. Too much traffic.
- High taxes, mobility: more public transportation around The Woodlands, not only Marrel Street & around.
- High taxes & too high house appraisal value thus taxes too high.
- High taxes for school and property.
Higher taxes.
Higher taxes.
Higher taxes.
Housing values, congestion.
Increase in taxes if we become a city.
Keeping taxes reasonable! Maintaining the trees and nature without too many commercial buildings and unnecessary development. Also, keeping the improvement standards.
KEEPING UP PROPERTY VALUES.
Keeping quality services while keeping taxes low.
Managing costs; expectations- we are not servants for Carlton Woods power brokers.
MUA overcharges (NCRA Fee).
not to increase taxes so much when The Woodlands incorporates.
overall high prices for all services provided and for those we seek out (house maintenance, services, etc).
Over-priced assets.
Property tax increases.
Property tax.
Property taxes & balanced, fair budget.
Property taxes and incorporation.
PROPERTY TAXES KEEP INCREASING BUT SERVICES ARE THE SAME.
PROPERTY TAXES, TRAFFIC, POLICE PROTECTION.
Property taxes.
Property taxes.
Property taxes.
Property values, safety.
Property values.
Real estate value.
Reducing property taxes.
Revenue vs. expenditures.
Rising taxes and the wholesale reduction of trees to provide space for more office buildings & dwellings. Many existing office buildings remain empty.
SCHOOL TAX FOR PEOPLE THAT HAVE NEVER HAD CHILDREN IN SCHOOL IN TEXAS NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT & REDUCED- CHARGE PEOPLE WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL A REALISTIC AMOUNT BASED ON NUMBER OF CHILDREN ATTENDING SCHOOLS! ESPECIALLY APARTMENT RENTERS & RENTERS IN GENERAL THAT PAY NO SCHOOL TAX!
Tar issue: 1) Tax blowout from incorporation. 2) Sleazy Montgomery County commissioners (not Noack!) Who are in the pockets of the developers.
Tax rate is too high & increasing crime rate.
taxes
taxes
Taxes & traffic congestion.
Taxes- Creekside!
Taxes on home owners
Taxes too high & congestion of traffic. Decrease in housing values.
Taxes too high.
Taxes, development and the removal of numerous trees, schools
TAXES, PROPERTY VALUES, TRAFFIC CONGESTION.
Taxes, traffic & incorporation, rough roads.
Taxes, traffic.
Taxes.
Taxes.
TAXES, INCORPORATION.
The additional cost to residences who are over 55 and are not able to take adv. of many of the amenities The Woodlands offers.
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- The increasing of taxes. We are part of Conroe and we get less than we pay and that goes for water supply. We are under restrictions while they aren’t.
- The taxes are too high. The woodland treats Creekside park like the ‘step child’, crime is getting worse since I moved here in 2001. The schools are overcrowded. We put our son in a private school.
- To maintain same or higher standard of living at same or lower cost & not being part of Houston. Residents must maintain their property or values will decline.

Preservation of Natural Areas

- 1) Landscaping and general city’s conservation. There are weeds and bushes everywhere! My neighborhood park is abandoned. 2) Traffic especially at Kuykendahl from Creekside to 1488. 3) High taxes.
- Control environmental. There is less respect from green areas.
- Cutting down too many trees to make way for malls, restaurants etc., soon we cannot all in The Woodlands.
- De-forestation, traffic, overbuilding.
- Destruction of green spaces, traffic & growth.
- Drainage. Protecting Greenbelts, Zero zoning.
- Environment change, a lot trees are down, new residential and commercial constructions don’t care about reserving the trees any more.
- Good environment, security and amenities.
- I’m concerned with the cutting down of the trees to build roads, The Woodlands area has seen an increase in flooding because of this.
- Keeping the trees/ Hughes landing cutting down all the trees and wildlife from that side of the lake.
- MAINTAIN THE WOODLANDS AS IS. STOP CUTTING TREES FOR COMMERCIALS. KEEP TAX THE SAME OR LOWER.
- Maintaining green spaces, pathways & parks.
- Needs people to understand WHY it’s The Woodlands – quit killing off the nature you moved here to enjoy; create a more ‘green’ -friendly culture (e.g. more/better bike paths; more encouragement of native plants, rainwater harvesting, composting, etc.).
- Please stop cutting down trees for development.
- Right now in our neighborhood is the park and landscaping. Our neighborhood looks so bad. It does not look like the Woodlands.
- The mass clearing of trees for new housing development. How can it be ‘The Woodlands’ if you are clearing out all of the trees.
- The most important issue is the need for sustaining the trees, the reason The Woodlands is The Woodlands.
- THE RESIDENTS OF THE WOODLANDS MUST TAKE CONTROL OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA. STRIP MALLS KEEP POPPING UP. TREES AND GREEN AREAS KEEP DISAPPEARING.
- To preserve the natural assets of trees, animals. To keep entities from corrupting the natural feel and balanced The Woodlands.

Maintaining Community Character

- 1) Deciding on how to keep The Woodlands moving forward while maintaining the charm & beauty. 2) Improving traffic- Woodlands Pwy must become a Quasi highway w/ more flyovers.
- Changes to the ‘community feel’. Too many outside traffic. Too many trees being cut down. Too many strip centers being built.
- CONTINUING THE VISION OF GEORGE MITCHELL.
- How to maintain the quality of life that brought us here.
- How to maintain the quality of lifestyle that the Woodlands is known for. Do not want to become annexed and become part of Houston proper. Want to remain separate & in control of the decisions that affect living in the Woodlands.
- Keeping the authenticity of the Woodlands. To keep wooded areas & forest areas.
- Keeping the beautiful, safe, law-abiding area as is.
- Keeping the home town feel as we expand. Keeping traffic flow goods keeping the trolley, the horses, the free events at the pavilion, the beautiful flowers, the beautiful flags/ banners, doves, etc. (Oh, also the people who walk and around + help/ answer questions). Also, having enough affordable water & keeping taxes from increasing further. Lastly, so grateful for our police; firemen, ems, etc.
Keeping the Woodlands. The Woodlands. I’m so proud when I drive around and see all of the armed service license plates. The patriotic events are super! All of the beautiful trees & paths are wonderful. We have enough general stores. Keep the trees & the conservative people & taxes low. Don’t make the Woodlands Houston or why will we commute?

Main high community standards in aesthetics and services and amenities.

Maintaining the character and beauty of our community.

Maintaining the Vision of a liveable woodland area. It is getting to built out and building exceptions as to height etc are getting too prevalent.

Making sure the development company stays true to the original vision of the Woodlands.

Managing growth while keeping the theme and value point of The Woodlands.

Not losing the feel of The Woodlands and ensuring it stays unique and does not become like any other master planned community.

Protecting the appearance of the area and keeping taxes as they are.

Quality of life ‘is’ The Woodlands. Many areas that effect congestion, taxes, utilities, etc.

The ability to maintain the current lifestyle and feel of the community.

When we moved to Woodlands it was a small community. Everyone knew everyone. Now it is much larger & neighbors are not so close. I have enjoyed the safety that comes with the small community.

**Township Infrastructure and Utilities (e.g., Roads, Storm Drainage, Water Utilities, etc.)**

1) Tall Pines 3-6 Feet Away Apartments (NOT ENFORCED) DANGEROUS TO FALL LIGHTING & ANIMALS. 2) Trees on Main Road Cut High Enough To See Stores.

1) The rising cost of water. 2) Traffic. 3) Crime.

Access to affordable water and management of water resources.

aging infrastructure

Cleaning up the areas. Roads, ditches, medians and neighborhood housing and yards are horrendous. Worse upkeep than our old neighborhood and subdivision!

Cost of water and electric. No chance to choose electrical provider and no transparency from current provider on fees. Water bill is high every month due to extra fees.

Infrastructure.

IT IS SAD THAT THE SJRA FEES IN MY WATER BILL ARE TWICE THE WATER BILL. THIS PUTS A STRAIN ON SENIORS. I WILL MOVE FROM THE WOODLANDS AS SOON AS LEASE IN UP. I'M GLAD I DID NOT PURCHASE IN THE WOODLANDS. LIVED IN MONTGOMERY (HOME WITH POOL, TWICE THE SIZE ON 2 ACRES- AND I PAY MORE IN THE WOODLANDS).

It looks like some city elements are out of control. Some example are: maintenance, over population (building more of what the city can handle).

Landscaping should be included for the front portion of the townhomes or offered

Maintenance of the older neighborhoods & integrity- allowing covered cars in driveways- mold on houses- yard appearance- has all gone away.

Maintenance of the village of Panther Creek grass is not cut or cleared.

Maintenance of the villages.

median maintainence; grass is not mowed in time. Montfair PKWY is an example. cul de sac are in dismal state. grass and shrubs and overgrown trees are taken care of probably twice a year. A wall was promised about 4 years ago for residents living on the edge of 2978. the noise coming from the that side has made it impossible for us to use our backyard.

More street lighting. Especially no lights on Cul De Sacs.

Mud overcharges. Wasted watering of roadside areas.

Restricted access to electricity, gas, and internet providers

Rules & regulations are not being upheld such as working on grass across the street for their home. It looks so ghetto.

That even when called over and over again no one will come clean the dead trees and debris from the green belts behind my home that block proper flow of water during floods to spring creek. This debris needs to be cleaned all over panther creek as it untimely floods peoples houses because the water gets blocked and stuck. I have called township, mud, county commissioners etc over and over to get nothing done.

The landscaping & very poor looking houses being unsightly & unkept.

Trash.
Water - Utility Districts
- Water is too expensive! This is not the place to live if you enjoy gardening. Have had most of my grass removed in favor of nature which do not require as much water. However, I am always in shock when I receive my mud bill & see what it costs for me to run my sprinkled. Add yet, The Wdls. just keeps expanding!!! Time to move!!
- Water quality. Law & code enforcement.
- Water supply, storm water, traffic.
- Water system needs to the purified. One minute its bleach. Next minute stinks - I can’t believe the Woodlands can’t provide drinkable, healthy water.
- Water usage.
- Would like more selection on electric companies - feel that Entergy has monopoly and as residents we don’t have access to better rates.

Flooding
- Flood control - drainage. They are not being addressed!
- Flood control.
- Flood control.
- FLOOD CONTROL.
- Flood control.
- Flood control.
- Flood risks during high impact storms, water drainage and run off during these events, I dont see enough being done to help with this issue.
- Flood zoning and whether or not that is accurate/up to date.
- Flooding & Traffic.
- Flooding control.
- Flooding in Creekside Park!!! Lack of continued adherence’s to the values that originally led this township to be so valuable.
- Flooding is Creekside has created imbalance for home values. My home did not flood but is not worth what I paid. I was always told ‘The Woodlands’ doesn’t flood. I felt safe buying a home here, thinking my value would increase. This has not occurred only the opposite.
- Flooding issues - drainage of property.
- Flooding of streets (and homes).
- Flooding on Sawdust at bridge.
- Flooding, high taxes.
- Flooding, keeping green space instead of knocking down & building, for me personally neighbors renting bedrooms through Air Bnb.
- FLOODING.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding.
- Flooding. Increase taxes. Congested traffic at Sawdust exit at 145!!!
- FLOODING. TRAFFIC CONTROL/ SPEEDING. GOVT. HAS DONE A GOOD JOB ELIMINATING/ REDUCING BOTTLENECKS. BUT INCREASED MOBILITY, SPEED ON ALL ROADS, ESP. WDLS PARKWAY, RESEARCH FOREST, L. WDLS HAS INCREASED MORE ENFORCEMENT NEEDED.
- Flooding. Traffic.
If we continue to remove trees and green spaces in The Woodlands we will have the same flooding issues that are common in the Houston area. Concrete does not absorb water.

IN OUR CASE ITS FLOODING.

Possible flooding because of the development. Poor traffic lights at some intersections. High taxes.

Preparation for future flooding.

Proper water drainage to prevent flooding.

The drainage/old sewage system from flash floods/etc

The flooding in some areas.

OTHER

1) Owe need a Woodland post office and get away from Spring post office. 2) Becoming a city.

All school’s system needs to be rated 10. Schools have dropped in ratings in The Woodlands.

balancing work and life

Because of the times we live in. May be to get our walk way path’s into more of a see into thru cant look & see what is going on. Clean some of the wood’s out of our path way’s. May be more people will come out & have some fun & enjoy our duck’s & more lights.

Commercial properties have more influence than residential.

covenant enforcement

Decline in school system quality.

Deed restrictions fairly applied to all residents.

Deteriorating neighborhoods (houses) and the lack of care for rent houses by the house owner(s).

Diversity.

Educating residents especially living apartments on proper waste disposal (whispering pines ranch luxury apartments).

EMS- Emergency medical system for older citizens!

Enforcement of neighborhood rules regarding lawn up keep, people walking dogs and not cleaning up poop after them, and upkeep of all neighborhood medians (Cul De Sac) ours is a mess.

Exxon mobile.

Forced inclusion and diversity.

GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES ARE MAINLY ELITIST SCHOOLS SHOULD INCORPORATE MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS EARLY.

Having more entertainment venues and lower property taxes.

I have a problem with the trash pick up- only one can sometimes is enough especially when they only come once a week.

I would like more nightlife. Also more events and things for younger people to do. Also feel like there is too many cops stopping me for petty traffic violation and calling for back up while pulling me over for no licence plate in the front. While I was being nice and respectful to the cop.

If Creekside is to be labeled as The Woodlands, more effort needs to be made for programs in Harris County (i.e. mosquito spraying)

Investment.

Keep lower income and transient people out of The Woodlands. (Keep property value high)

Lack of diversity- Traffic & red light runners.

Lack of representation by the diverse racial composition of the area.

Landscaping, mosquito control, stagnant water, lawn moving. 1) Playground grass/ weed overgrown- took 2 weeks before I get any response from township. 2) Bushes/ overgrown & stagnant water near road, but right at our backyard fence causing uncomfortable mosquito problem. I know the township wants it in natural, but that to me is an excuse.

Loss of businesses from the village centers. I fear this may be tied to gentrification/ high rents.

Maintaining the original vision of George Mitchell.

Manage and/or influence evolution of Woodlands into a very large residential and business community.

Mosquitoes!

Mosquito’s.

My neighbors and fellow citizens take a lot for granted- they are spoiled! We have great services compared to many other cities or unincorporated areas. That’s your big hurdle! 2nd, we really need to consider incorporation. Conroe and Houston salivate for the tax base our community would provide their respective municipalities. It’s only a matter of time. So, incorporation is our only hope to avoid getting ‘swallowed up’ by them!
Need better management of school car pickup lines. 1) They park close to intersections. 2) They obstruct entrance to neighborhoods (i.e., Cochran's Bend). 3) Emergency vehicles can't get in/out. 4) Puts children dangerously close to cars in limited visibility. 5) Fill neighborhood entrances should be no parking, fire zone red painted curbs.

Need YMCA in Creekside Park.

Non-enforcement of deed restrictions.

Old school buildings—kids have to spend many hours in windowless rooms. Very bad for learning, creativity! Traffic.

One thing is we need a better way for people to know about township election. Traffic is only going to get worse.

Our future.

Over regulation for trivial matters. Wasteful spending.

People not walking in the correct way.

Prevent township from wasting tax dollars on swan boats, waterway cruisers & the like. Prevent board from pushing incorporation as a way for Board members to further their own political power aspirations.

Privacy.

Properties in neighborhood that one next kept up to HOA codes.

Provide the same job opportunities to cultural diversity. Communicate that the growth of this community is an effort of all and that the minorities are a boost to growth.

Quality of life. Incorporation.

Quality of schools. Quality/ethics of residents.

rent houses and VRBO, They are renters they don't seem to know the rules or atmosphere. And they have no skin in the game.

Renters and people from out of state/country.

Renters in residential neighborhoods and property crime

Same as always politics and a people in groups here out for their own interest. No enforcement on homeowner's association rules & policies other than letters. Not enough officers to enforce laws.

School bullying

School restricting[?] and incorporation.

School rezoning. annexation, crime rising.

Schools are always our biggest concern. The schools here are great; making sure they stay that way is very important to us.

Services, entertainment, food choices leaning toward one group of people. Traffic, Traffic, Traffic!

Teenage social issues, vaping, drinking & driving underage drinking alcohol; smoking & sex leading to petty crimes.

THE AMOUNT OF HOUSES NOW BEING RENTED OF THE 10 HOMES IN MY CUL DE SAC 5 ARE NOW RENTALS.

The HOA (Home Owners & Association) is extremely strict, inflexible, poor customer service, authoritarian. They have been hard on my neighbors (sun shade, pool, etc.) and my neighbors think it's us who is complaining (it's not) to the HOA. The HOA came into my yard and took pics of neighbors I've also found the HOA to be extremely hard to work with (play set, outdoor kitchen, etc.).

The homes are going down. In my neighborhood all the houses have mold, have a lot of decorations with metals figuring. I have complained but no results. The bright view cuts in my yard and makes a mess. They chop & cut down to the dirt. I am very disappointed in the houses kept up looks. Nothing is work. I have been in my home 28 yrs. I want to move.

The school system.

The vines that are taking over the trees & killing item. The vines need to be killed with chemicals or cutting down in the winter. Bacteria growing in house pipes- shower heads, Jacuzzi, water heaters (set heaters to 135 degree).

The Woodlands has a negative reputation among African, Americans & Hispanics. 2) All major intersections (with lights) should be designed to allow right turners easy access (example Research forest and East Alden bridge is good—but R.F. and Kuykendahl is not!).

Too many renters and zero follow up on deed restriction enforcement.

Too many thousands-aíres also think they're millionaires.

Too many trees! Too much control about cutting them down when they need to be cut down because of damaging driveways, etc. bad drainage! And no help fixing it! People using green space/ easements to dump trash.

Transparency, communication.

Uncertainty.
Vacant houses and upkeep of property in the neighborhoods.
We are desperate for more activities, restaurants, etc. for teens.
We are grossly under prepared as a community for the second coming of Christ.
Zoning & taxes.

NOTHING/DON’T KNOW

Don’t know
Don’t know- I lease my property.
Don’t know.
Don’t know.
New resident; Don’t know.
None
None.
Not at this time.
Nothing.
QUESTION 8: IF YOU ANSWERED POOR TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, PLEASE TELL US WHICH SERVICE AND WHY?

B: EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

- #B- Many services available. Not good medical attention
- b- Emergency medical services are not affordable.
- b- I do not See any SUCH ACTIONS/results.
- b, F, M, s- timing Takes long.
- Emergency med. svc.; when I had to use them were very slow and hideously expensive.
- Emergency: They will Always Send you to the HOSPITAL.
- medical services are highly over prices..I avoid at all costs

C: NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH

- 'C'- No involvement by neighbors.
- 'C'- non existent.
- (C)- Other than national night out- not much else done.
- C- All attend the big event but few follow through.
- C- Crime has gotten worse down our sheet.
- C- Decreased neighborhood interaction.
- C- Do we have a neighborhood watch? Or just FB?
- C- Doesn't seem to exist.
- C- Haven't received any information for a neighborhood watch.
- C- I do not see any neighborhood watch taking place.
- C- I don't see much action.
- C- I have lived in the Woodlands for 25+ years and have only been invited to 2 watch programs.
- C- I just haven't seen a neighborhood watch in Indian springs or our area.
- C- I know nothing about this in our area.
- C- In any neighborhood there is no such thing as a watch.
- C- Is there one?
- C- Neighborhood watch- crime rate up.
- C- Neighborhood watch never present.
- C- No action in my neighborhood.
- C- No audience of neighb. water.
- C- No contact.
- C- Non excellent.
- C- Non existent, to our knowledge.
- C- Very seldom I see patrol service.
- C#- Not aware of any neighborhood watch.
- C) Does not exist in my block.
- C, neighborhood watch. I feel that this program was much stronger in other communities that I have lived in
- C. I haven't seen much advertising or encouragement to participate in neighborhood watch
- C. non existent in my area.
- C: Don't think we have a neighborhood watch.
- C: I don't know anything about it who to call other than regular police if I saw something.
- 'C': police presence is very limited, confusing overlap slow w/ Sheriff/ constables.
- I do not feel there is any neighborhood watch in Creekside. It is not visible
- NEIGH WATCH: MY CAR HAS BEEN JACKED TWICE AT NIGHT?
- Neighbor watch not very active.
- neighborhood watch No info provided to residents
- Neighborhood Watch - Occasional patrol but did not deter break-in two weeks ago.
Neighborhood watch & don't see a benefit deed restrictions- enforced in random ways crime prevention- Spend too much effort on email notifications growth around Woodlands- unplanned and out of our control.
neighborhood watch- I have never seen this where I LIVE.
neighborhood watch- No AWARENESS About PROGRAM.
neighborhood watch- non existent. thank goodness For NEXT door website.
Neighborhood watch- not active is our area, that I’m aware.
neighborhood watch- not enough info when Crime in a neighborhood.
Neighborhood watch not enough, people don’t know how to do it.
neighborhood watch not existent.
Neighborhood watch- Our section doesn’t have one Trinica paso.
neighborhood watch- Unaware of any activities in this area.
neighborhood watch used to be good/ is non-existant now.
neighborhood watch, me & neighbors have reported & No results.
not aware of any neighborhood watch.
Poor communication/ C.
Service C- Watch program has not been effective in communications.
watch. Is there a watch?
We don’t have neighborhood watch!

D: GARBAGE COLLECTION

(D) I cannot control when my Neighbor blocks Garbage truck.
BECAUSE Trash is everywhere.
COMPOST
D - missed pickups
D- Condo community & const. people using own dumpsters & people who don’t live here.
D- Garbage collection- not collected properly.
D- Garbage: we are forced to overpay for an over-sized garbage can we fill≤ 1/3 no issues with service quality long.
D- more & more Clear-cutting For strip malls.
D- Multiple missed pick up DAYS & scheduling an appointment For debris pick up is impossible.
D- Trash & recycle service is good but the yard waste pick up is terrible- there SHOULD be dedicated waste bins & a dedicated yard waste track- missed pick most times.
D- Trash missed 4-5 times past years. The biggest issue is if you have Fri. picks you often wait till Mon.
D- Trash missed.
D- We need to have 'big items' pickup day. You really need a ‘fair’ selection between ‘good’ and ‘poor’.
D) Because is once a week.
D: Healthy maintenance needed- neglect # maintenance.
D: Need more examples of what we can recycle, and how.
E & D- 2 bins are mixed in the collection truck.
Garbage - repeated instances with trash not being picked up largely due to truck accessibility.
Garbage and recycling- could do so much more in terms of recycling (glass, metal) and education!!
Garbage collection - no information when they can not meet the agreed collection date
Garbage collection- Time is sporadic & don’t do what they say.
Garbage collection: waste management- Refuses to pickup certain types as a special pickup- Wood trim.
Garbage- Increase # of days- Not collected often in a week's span.
Garbage- too many rules For them to take it away SHOULD be more than once a week with I can.
I don’t even know my neighbors. My garbage container is really old and asked for new one I have been waiting for 4 years for a new one. So much traffic at any hair on the roads. I never find parking in market street.
Neighbor leave Blue Trash can out- For years.
once a month is not enough For Extra items need to be picked up.
service D- Always leave the Garbage Come open and off the road.
service D- often have to call For missed collections.
There are times my garbage won’t get pick up w/c I placed since march.
Trash and recycling services are very irregular. Trash pickup only once a week isn’t enough. Trash service needs to come at least twice per week. The flies are horrible in the area due to trash

E: Recycling Collection

- (E) need Recycling bins for businesses.
- (F) Restrictions need to evolve - e.g. parking apocalypse need to facilitate make street parking in neighborhoods.
- Apartment doesn’t offer recycling.
- could recycle more products.
- E & D: 2 bins are mixed in the collection truck.
- E: Because I do not see any Recycling Bus around parks or residents seas. only for garbage.
- E: do not separate plastics from glass & paper. it is all dumped into trash.
- E: Lack of pickup & Facility hours - Wed PM only is ridiculous!
- E: Mixed messages about what can be recycled.
- E: No collection.
- E: not an option at my apartment.
- E: not available at rental apartments.
- E: not enough - what about composting?
- E: open hours not enough.
- E: Recycling collection - not collected properly.
- E: They do not collect Recycling here. I think it is a contracted service though.
- E: They’re too picky about what they recycle.
- E: Very annoyed that neighborhoods near me had their recycling rejected because it had too much ‘other’ stuff in it. This is the fault of the residents themselves.
- E: We don’t recycle enough in TX.
- E: We need more reinforce.
- E: Does not exist.
- E: my apartment complex has no recycling.
- E: not enough recycling collection site and type of items are very limited.
- E: No Glass.
- E: Need some mandatory recycling programs with separate garbage disposal.
- E: Too difficult to get things like yard waste recycled; Recycling Center hours limited.
- F: non excellent.
- Garbage and recycling - could do so much more in terms of recycling (glass, metal) and education!!
- Haven’t seem & good approach to recycling collection.
- Haven’t seen recycled bins nowhere.
- I LIVE in the forum & there is no recycling here.
- Need more/larger recycling bins (E).
- Need to increase ability to recycle material in different conditions.
- Recycle - We live in an apartment and we don’t have a re-cycle bin or service.
- Recycling - because my apartment doesn’t offer it.
- Recycling collection - Time is sporadic & don’t do what they say.
- Recycling collection.
- Recycling could be better.
- Recycling doesn’t exist at the apartment complex where I live.
- Recycling - I think there should be a fee on Trash so people would recycle more.
- Recycling in apt. of at forum poor.
- Recycling - Increase # of days - Not collected often in a week’s span.
- Recycling - not even offered.
- RECYCLING - Not offered @ my complex.
- Recycling - separate containers but not actual recycled.
Recycling so much more can be done.
Recycling, we need to separate the Garbage, programs for Recycling in town.
Recycling: would like to be more Strict in order to benefit earth pollution.
Same as Recycling, my side was always missed.
We don't really recycle

F: Covenant / Deed Restrictions Enforcement

• 'F'- Focus on the wrong issues- Inspectors wear blinders.
• #F- Monster ugly house on Longspur- construction still ongoing.
• (F) Deed enforcement (kept yard, etc.) seems SPORADIC & determining Restrictions for each property especially is difficult for HO.
• (F) Deed restriction enforcement is poor. Cars/ trucks in driveways & streets (people use their garages for storage & lower our property values by parking; multiple parking the street & driveways.
• (F)- process failed us when Neighbor erected a shed without our input that is clearly visible from street and in direct line of sight from our driveway.
• covenant Deed Restriction, I believe people SHOULD be able to do what they want to with their own HOUSE without HOA.
• Covenant enforcement seems spotty. Things like trash bins stored on driveways.
• covenant restrictions. I see lots of rules ignored.
• Covenant- They need now the Cul-De-Sac more often they are a disgrace!
• covenant/ Deed Restrictions- houses are being built that do not meet the covenant Restrictions in size & nothing is being done.
• Covenant/ deed restrictions- seems arbitrary & lacks sufficient resident input speed limit & traffic enforcement- car culture, not sure what can be done influencing growth- not sure what can be done outside of The Woodlands improving mobility/ reduces co
• covenants & Deeds- no follow through & enforcement- no results.
• Covenants & pool rect.- unevenly applied & makes Chomestopher[?] feel like a criminal when they go through proper procedure.
• covenants are not enforced as they SHOULD be.
• covenants- complained year ago nothing was resolved with neighbors Numerous pets.
• covenants major homes in drive- ways for many days.
• covenants- not consistently applied/ slow to act if at all.
• covenants not enforced
• covenants- not enforced across the guard- not consistent.
• covenants parking in residences not regulated Refacing wrong direction.
• covenants- some times to Restriction/ ridiculous.
• covenants- too many rules.
• covenants- too strict.
• Covenants, Not enforced, even when reported
• covenants/ deeds- some houses are in poor condition.
• Covenants/ Deed Restrictions Enforcement- the enforcement is not effective in some instances
• Covenants/ Deed Restrictions Enforcement: Unkept landscaping at residences (especially rental properties)
• Covenant/ Deed are not personal hammers to be applied at block monitors when one reasonable standard is needed across the board.
• Deed enforcement: I have complained in the past about major deed violations and nothing has happened
• Deed enforcement's totally arbitrary enforcement.
• Deed rect. enf.- selective & random- no kids bikes? Come on.
• Deed Restriction- enforce petty issues, not important ones.
• Deed restriction placement is inconsistent.
• Deed restriction; too much bureaucracy way pays $80 for an inspection company to check that changes have been made as per Woodlands code only to have a Woodlands township employee come out and do the same thing? Why not have Woodlands township provide on
Deed restrictions & enforcement - there are many areas (individual homes) that look terrible or abandoned not sure what it takes to get it fixed. Then for those of us wanting to improve our times. The township makes it very difficult!!

Deed Restrictions are not enforced.

Deed restrictions due to s.q. l- was not told.

Deed Restrictions- need to apply.

Deed Restrictions not enforced- needs to be structure.

Deed Restrictions- there is No one to report These to & Regular inspections are not done as in my prior neighborhood.

Deeds Restrictions- the elderly need assistance.

Don't have a clue to covenants.

Enforcing Deed Restrictions- some homes s/b maintained better.

Enforcing deed restrictions. Neighbors keep trash cans in view. Neighbors light up back yard with bright lights

F - at some point government will have to realize that privately owned property is privately owned

F- Focus on wrong things

F too many garbage cans left in front of house; too many cars parked in grassy areas

F & J- houses & Other common areas not well maintained.

F- bad experience with nearby neighbor.

F- BECAUSE people break the covenants/ Deeds & Nothing happens.

F- Business aren't held to covenants. Trees cleared in front & nothing done.

F- CARS PARKING ON STREET & BROKEN DOWN PICKUP IN DRIVEWAY FOR 2 YEARS.

F- covenant Deed Restrictions enforcement- not consistently enforced.

F- covenants and not enforced- Numerous calls- Nothing.

F- covenants are overly restrictive.

F- covenants- Building above the fence lines- Ashley Greens

F- covenants- enforcement seem random & not consistent.

F- covenants/ Deed Restriction- Had to enforce when you really need to enforce.

F- Deed Restrictions are not enforced well in our neighborhood.

F- Deed Restrictions- too limiting.

F- Doesn't seem to work with rentals in Fallshire.

F- enforcement on only those that apply For a permit- everybody else do as wish.

F- have reported neighborhood issues- received No response.

F- Howard Hughes corp could care Less About Environment & PROTECTING beauty of Woodlands.

F- I am disappointed in how many people aren't abiding- numerous homes around homes around me keep garbage cans on driveways all the time, so much undergrowth has been removed.

F- I do not UNDERSTAND what is that.

F- I don't believe they are being enforced- several homes in area are not within restrictions & nothing is done.

F- I don't see much action.

F- I have seen situations where there is not enough done.

F- I See No evidence of Deed Restriction enforcement.

F- I was asked to remove owning while a Neighbor has one & still have it.

F- lack of enforcement.

F- Letters do Nothing, No one listens, calls to township does Nothing constructive.

F- many homes are looking shabby & many many cars parked on streets.

F- Multi families living in homes.

F- my Neighbor has plastic floors in the front yard.

F- my Other Neighbor has a swing hanging from a Trees in the front yard.

F- Neighbor extended force beyond our front door. Too old & I'll to go to court. Very distressing, but not up to fight and get lawyer.

F- never Had to USE.

F- No enforcement of Deed Restrictions For businesses. Trees cleared.

F- No one should be told how to live & the wealthy aren't.

F- No one wants to Get involved- have to Get an attorney.

F- NO OR LITTLE ACTIONS TAKEN. WHY BOTHER IF YOU WILL NOT ENFORCE!
F- Nobody pays attention to this.
F- not consistent in Enforcing Restrictions some For areas strict.
F- not enforced in my Area esp. street parking (Hickory oak) & Garbage cans.
F not enforced in older neighborhoods such as mine High Oaks
F- not enforced: mold growing all over houses, drain ditches not kept Clear businesses run from homes.
F- Not enough time to respond to neighbors requests (1 week?).
F- not picking on personal property issues.
F- Parking on streets, tree clearing, houses not being maintained (too many renters).
F- people are allowed to Keep their property unkempt.
F- people on rush haven park on grass all the.
F- Properties in Area not up to codes.
F- Repeated calls to remove dumpster on lower East shore drive not address. (idle construction project).
F- See too many violations.
F- seem to be inconsistent.
F- Seems to slack some in past 6 years.
F- selective enforcement/ random violations sit UNRESOLVED For years.
F- some home are not kept to standards & Nothing is done by assoc.
F- some rundown houses, Multiple families in houses.
F- Sometimes 'over' restrive.
F- Street parking when drive ways are empty. Difficult for school buses & emergency vehicles, & mail box patrons.
F- takes an act of GOD to change anything Re: you new.
F- there are several homes/ yards in my neighborhood that look awful/ embarrassing.
F- too many infractions slip by.
F- Too many people ingnore and CC&R's and enforcement seems minimal
F- too much enforcement intrudes on homeowner right.
F- too picky on some things Trash can ect, but not on yards ect.
F- Township has no ‘teeth’ to enforce covenants and process takes too long.
F- very difficult to Get action, follow up & into Re-issues.
F- we receive too far For Minor inflection yet See Other w/ outstanding issues.
F- Worry About whats important, not cans in view.
F- yard/ patio junk (last house we owned in Creekside).
F- yards not maintained, cars on streets For long periods of time.
F/#- Renters don’t follow deed restrictions.
F, as nearly as I can Tell, Deed Restrictions are not enforced.
F, You guys are a joke on enforcing. I’ve complained about stuff and nothing was ever done about it
F, I- very inconsistent in Maintaining all areas.
F. I have neighbor with window AC unit, (has never been removed), but I have gotten notices about the same thing
F. Too many passive-aggressive people using enforcement as a tool against people they simply don’t like
F. Deeds don’t seem to be enforced equally. Some get away with junky yards while others are picked on
F. I have several neighbors who are breaking these right now. No enforcement
F. I live by 3 houses with rotten trim ...messy yards, dead trees etc and nothing is done about it.
F. multiple violations
F. not enforced For all- some Get to pay & Get away.
F. Not enforced in older neighborhoods like mine - High Oaks
F. Overly strict, limits creativity and expression
F. Too Strict & Arbitrary
F. you let people built two story garages and now my neighbor can look into my bedroom!
F. covenants & Restrictions- many seem to be under unenforceable.
F. Covenants Enforcement: I dont feel that covenants and deed restrictions are enforced very well
F. covenants/ Dr. - more education For residents.
F. Doesn’t seem to apply to politically connected, otherwise overly restrictive.
F. Frequently complained About neighbors yard. Woodlands response ineffected.
F: inconsistent in enforcement- homes & businesses.
F: It seems that rather than offend an owner/tenant, the Township prefers a lengthy, ineffective tra
F: more and more residents are Doing what They want, against rules.
F: Repeated reported violations For my neighborhood ignored: Bee infestation of home, lawn neglect.
F: some homes are poorly maintain, trashy
F: there are people in our neighborhood who have boats & trailers in their yards
F: These people are way over the top. Restrictions are important, but I didn’t put my play set exactly where I marked it on a survey and they complained (I was in 5’ from where I marked it). They need to find some common ground sense.
F: too lax in many ways, SUCH as fireworks & Other nuisances.
F: We couldn’t get a black roof, I don’t think black is outrageous and neighbors received black roofs after we were told no.
F: Woodlands ‘Gestapo’ too restrictive on cutting down a tree replacing a roof/ etc.
F-J- Hiring inept landscapers and No adequate supervision has created sloppy, unkempt common areas in the Hawthorne hollows area.
G- Neighbor has Numerous items on side and front of HOUSE- reported twice.
I Frequently See houses and yards in Poor condition.
I See a lot of homes that consistently have over grown grass/ weed and work vehicles parked in streets.
Indiscriminate enforcement on landscaping permits.
L- Need more speed traps; blinking at night.
Lawns & beds not maintained in some homes.
Monster house on Longspur allowed to continue long, long, long after first reported by neighbors. See growing of the Palmer!
my Neighbor is still Airbnb his HOUSE EVEN after They told to stop.
need Restriction are not enforced.
need Restrictions- not enforced on Slash pine- abandoned houses.
Neighborhood watch & don’t see a benefit deed restrictions- enforced in random ways crime prevention- Spend too much effort on email notifications growth around Woodlands- unplanned and out of our control.
Several issue lately involving cars and houses
Too many residents ignore covenants/ deed restrictions enforcement- covenants & design standards streetscapes etc.- too many parks, many areas & median not properly maintained people throw thrash out of vehicles speed limit & signal enforce
too many Restrictions on BACKYARDS that are not seen from the road.
too many restrictions.
YOU DON'T REALLY ENFORCE DEED RESTRICTIONS DO YOU? YOU LET WALMART RIGHT NEXT TO CREEKSID.

G: PARKS & RECREATIONAL FACILITIES
G & J- Parks around Creek side are horrible (Landscape).
G- Entrance fees For recreations spots SHOULD be reduced.
G- non-resident USE.
G- parks are dirty, not well maintained.
G- parks lack Trees and seating- too commercialized.
G- Weeds and bushes (and mosquito’s) in parks.
G& J- I live facing Olmsted Park. It has declined for 6 straight years, poor maintenance. I have complained to the rangers- No response.
G- J- low maintenance on parks path and playgrounds.
Parks & Rec #G- More for teens.
parks & Rec. facilities- not maintained well- need more frequent mowing.
parks need to have more bike trails.
Parks not maintained (small neighborhood).
Parks, we need more
Parks; currently manly are poorly maintained with landscaping
QUESTIONABLE Quality of the recreation programs very bad job at PROTECTING the Trees
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**Recreation G-** NONE of the programs are relevant to be maintained to.

- Recreation programs- We need a YMCA in Creekside Park ASAP!
- Recreational & pools need updated.

**H: Swimming Pools**

- (H) All public pools are not free that implying taxes for.
- Don’t swim.
- H - Needed in May Valley.
- H - Not clean.
- H - Rob Fleming pool had lights hanging out of sides & tile needed cleaning on our last visit - unsafe & unsightly.
- H: Too many kids to be able to use/no adult time, 1 lane is no good.
- H- Allow outside people to trash local pools do not want to attend.O- Complain about residents about trees, yet temples businesses cut down trees and not replaced.
- H- Don’t use.
- H- Non open gear round.
- H- Our pools do not have a dedicated Dive-Well! We NEED a diving facility like we had with the WAC! Or have CISD build a tower at their pool.
- H- Pools are crowded. Stop allowing non residents in.
- H- Season is too short.
- H- We need a diving tower.
- H We should not have to pay extra to swim at the pool in our village. I could see paying extra for pools in other villages.
- H. Bear Branch Pool was closed all summer. That was one reason we bought a home in this location.
- H. Clean artificial lakes
- H. Often dirty and staff can be immature
- H: Closed easily in the fall.
- H: Interested in year round lap pool.
- H: Only kids can use them, I lane Doesn’t work & isn’t Enforced.
- H: Too few swimming pools.
- It/ some are too old and excess amount of chlorine.
- It’s a little time that remain open.
- Need more community pools.
- Pools are overcrowded -should be residents only esp. Rob Fleming.
- Pools not being used enough at a big expense.
- Pools not needed.
- Pools too expensive.
- Swimming pools - too expensive.
- Swimming pools most residence have.
- You need in an indoor affordable swimming facility.

**I: Recreation Programs**

- (I) Lazy workers only pick up large trash...if he feels like it.
- 3) We’d love to use more rec. programs, but we’ve found that the quality of programs is not worth what we’re paying for them and so we end up using private programs. If the cost we’re less, we would opt for rec. programs over private, specially programs
- F,I- very inconsistent in Maintaining all areas.
- I- very little For senior.
- I- Had to join a gym to get a good progress for $130 less.
- I- not enough programs For adults.
- I: The parks in our neighborhood are not mowed and cared for on a regular basis.
- it would Nice to have more recreation programs.
- Rec. programs- I believe we need more and Better quality.
- Service I, because there are few opportunities for adults to socialize without drinking
J: MAINTAINING MEDIANS, PATHWAYS, STREETSCAPES, PARKS AND OTHER COMMON AREAS

- (J)- Shrubbery is over grown and not maintained along pathways.
- (J) there are way too many parks under Construction pick up at ONE. all of the land markers have been removed from Market street
- Cattail park- very muddy.
- Clear Tree branches & vegetation from roads, obstruction signs & intersections.
- Cooperative/ neighborhood fence replacement.
- Cul De sacs not maintained.
- dirty pathways.
- F-J- Hiring inept landscapers and No adequate supervision has created sloppy, unkempt common areas in the Hawthorne hollows area.
- G & J- Parks around Creek side are horrible (Landscape).
- G& J- I live facing Olmsted Park. It has declined for 6 straight years, poor maintenance. I have complained to the rangers- No response.
- G-J- low maintenance on parks path and playgrounds.
- I have to call every year About maintenance at beginning of my sub division.
- I- These are streets in Creekside that haven’t been maintained in over a next (heritage hill).
- In our neighborhood & surround, I don’t feel like landscape is well maintained in common areas- I bike a lot & see other areas always manicured mostly more expensive.
- J - My lot back onto the greenbelt and a pathway which is at an elevation higher than my property
- J - People speed all the time
- J- Alden bridge medians/ parks/ Pathways Always look Overgrown/ unkempt esp. gross.
- J- Answered in #6.
- J bad conditions on pathways; to much dirt (close to Kuykendahl bridge).
- J- BECAUSE the two times a year the township Maintains our small Island/ Cul-De-Sac, the waters Cut the Overgrown areas & blow the leaves & trimmings into the center of the Island, instead of picking up debris & taking away.
- J- Clear quickly town center but not my street.
- J- curb sides destroyed & never fixed, street bulbs off to many.
- J- Don’t cut & mow down enough.
- J- Grogan’s mill/ Mill bend loop street lights seem to be off more than they’re on.
- J- Harper’s landing/ Trade cart.
- J- I know keeping the Pathways/ sidewalks Clear is hard work, but our path is falling down with Trees it is hard to walk on.
- J- it used to be better. it seems to be MOWED, etc. Less often now.
- J- Just Drive around- you will See them.
- J- Lawn overgrown @ playground.
- J- Maintaining median in neighborhoods. some are a mess.
- J- Maintaining medians etc. the Woodlands Always seemed to take Pride in its appear but ever since bright services took over last year the paths etc. have loved very untidy.
- J- maintenance & upkeep is Poor- Mow more OFTEN.
- J- maintenance- need to Improve prevention & control & invasive species.
- J- medians along Woodlands Pkwy and Other need trimmed back from driving lanes.
- J- medians and parks are not mowed.
- J- natural vegetation cleared. left with dirt & trash.
- J- neighborhood courts are terrible- neighbors take No response.
- J- No maintenance- Wave up to individual.
- J- No maintenance, or very little.
- J- NO REGULAR SERVICE ON OUR STREET. TOLD I SHOULD TAKE CARE OF IT!
- J- not manicured many unsightly & unsafe.
- J- Older areas not kept up.
- J- our Cul De Sac gross gets MOWED infrequently- very long gross & weeding loading abs along paths.
- J- Overgrown most of the time.
- J- overgrowth block views at cross streets.
- J- Pathways hold water- are Closed during adjacent Construction w/O a way around.
- J- Pathways left littered & in my area.
- J- Poor drainage on Pathways, bushes not trimmed- median- Woodland Pkwy & Panther Creek.
- J- Same.
- J- service declared in last year, Encourage infrequent parks unkempt.
- J- sometimes the grass grows quite High along roads.
- J- streetscapes in Creekside are awful- not maintained.
- J- the medians are poorly maintained. do not look nice.
- J- the Pathways are not well maintained.
- J- there are several medians that are not well maintained.
- J- They don't keep areas mowed well. Park fields grass high- other grass knee high.
- J- too much trash.
- J- visibility to Stop signs Poor in some neighborhoods in Alden Bridge.
- J- Wasted watering. watering streets. not adjusted For rain. Poor work by companies- cutting grass, pathways.
- J- Water sprays directed into streets & not turned off during rain!!! Company leaving clumps of cutgrass killing grass beneath!!! Not clearing paths adequately.
- J- Woodland soccer field- Poor design, No damage.
- J#- Good for most part, but miss some.
- J, K, L. Pathways are not cleaned or mowed as they have been in the past. The Aldenbridge pond
- J, overgrowth on paths & roadway is most maintained.
- J. Cul de Sac islands are not maintained
- J. little to no attention in older neighborhoods such as mine High Oaks
- J. Neglected in older neighborhoods like mine - High Oaks
- J. Not done enough
- J. our common areas aren't maintained in our neighborhood
- J. Many pathways and medians need to be redone. They are buckling, crumbling, raised, tripping hazard
- J. Maintenance is like 1 year behind.
- J. Many issues with lifted concrete, cracks, brush hanging over.
- J. Need more pathways, should be able to go everywhere without having to walk/bicycle on a road
- J. need to do much more to Clear Trees & Increase visibility.
- J. Numerous blinds spots in areas due to Poor maintenance.
- J. Takes forever to Get Trees maintained that GROW into street.
- J. there are a lot of areas being neglected.
- maintain common areas- Cul De Sac Island s/b maintained in all areas.
- maintain- need more mowing and repairs to walk ways.
- Maintaining common areas- service too infrequent in our Area leads to Poor visibility on roads.
- Maintaining medians etc- Mostly improved in Newer areas- established areas not maintained as well.
- Maintaining medians, etc. - My wife has to call to get you all to come out and mow. More often than not
- Maintaining medians, etc.- Tree & shrub debris removed from footpaths.
- Maintaining medium.
- Maintaining- the parks in Creekside have been left in-MOWED etc.
- median plant Growth blocks view of drivers.
- Medians and pathways are often overgrown and unsightly
- Medians and shoulders have overgrowth of weeds unlike before
- Medians, pathways are wildly overgrown and can be dangerous to run or bike due to overhanging tree limbs
- More curb repair/ parking in streets!!!
- Need to trim back foliage on all bike paths and remove dead trees from underbrush on paths
- Older Neighbor common areas not maintained.
- parks are Always messy.
● Pathways & infrastructure neglected in Cochran’s crossing traffic light turning from Cochran’s crossing to Woodlands Parkway to GCT to 45 takes too long. Attention to land spacing - traffic in general around the area.
● Pathways and walkways are not setup as nice in the Harpers Landing Area as they are in the rest of the village.
● Pathways are not clear or visible.
● Restaurant row is an embarrassment. Not pretty like Market street.
● Service J- medians and common areas are often the responsibility of the risk living in the area. No upkeep, mowing, watering is enforced.
● Sidewalks- more dirt- Less concrete.
● The area around my home is always unkept. I also see garbage on the streets.
● Too many residents ignore covenants/ deed restrictions enforcement - covenants & design standards streetscapes etc.

K: Crime Prevention

● ‘K’ - Patrol officer are stretched too thin.
● #K - I have heard often about house-entries, robberies, etc.
● (K) - Drug use in schools have grown (crime prevention).
● a lot of home and car break-ins.
● Car got BROKEN into.
● Cars broken into- speeding (crime).
● Crime - level is increasing.
● Crime Prevention - communication about crimes has improved, but The Woodlands malls/shopping centers.
● Crime Prevention - Creekside is being over-run by renters, and that has proved to be a problem here.
● Crime prevention - Crime keeps increasing and it is not PUBLICIZED.
● Crime prevention has been increasing.
● Crime prevention in residential areas seem to have too many breakins and not enough deterring of random people coming in and at of area.
● Crime prevention - It seems that there has been an increase in crime in our area and while police are exceptional, this should be an area of increased finding and priority.
● Crime prevention not adequate.
● Crime prevention seems to be not focusing on the really important issues & focusing on petty stuff.
● Crime prevention - recent rapes.
● Crime prevention - so much under age drinking at large house parties and near to no arrests made.
● Crime rate seems to be rising.
● Crime rising in the area - not enough Patrol activity.
● Crime watch - increased auto theft/vandalism.
● Crime, too much crime and drug use on the street I live and no police presence.
● For too many break ins and small crime.
● I never seen police in my neighborhood.
● K - crime is going up and I don’t see action taken to fight that.
● K - Crime/Theft/Etc. is an issue that comes with growth in a community.
● K - could be better.
● K - Crime can be lowered with more presence. No room for N or Q.
● K - Crime Focus is on mall Area SHOULD have some lay citizen teams to be on looked for crime.
● K - Crime has been picking up.
● K - Crime in the Woodlands is getting worse.
● K - Crime is increasing.
● K - Crime is up.
● K - Crime rate burglary, car break-ins too many.
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K- more police presence.
K- not enough police presence in residential areas.
K- police are not focused on serious crime.
K- Real news About Crime is being suppressed and not shared. N- Cut through increased Traffic. flooding on Woodlands PARKWAY & others streets after a simple rain.
K- security cannot at gates are useless.
K- several recent crimes in Timarron.
K- terrible- home burglaries are very high.
K- there is never anything About Crime prevention. Crime will Come more if not careful.
K- too many vehicle break-ins.
K- too much crime.
K, Explained in #6.
K, I’m noticing increasing criminal activity
K: Check the news! Bank and house last 2 weeks.
K: I’ve had property stolen from my car in my driveway
K-L- No police monitoring, speed cases any time (no safe anymore).
L & K, Lisa in Harris county. the constable service is terrible. No presence in home sections of the Woodlands. on major streets (when present) indifferent to residents except giving tickets. there is a offense to running speed troops rather than service to the community. Mark to under seems to Less running For a higher office.
my Neighbor- Holly Creek- a lot of drugs.
Neighborhood watch & don’t see a benefit deed restrictions- enforced in random ways crime prevention- Spend too much effort on email notifications growth around Woodlands- unplanned and out of our control.
security is major CONCERN.
the Crime ratio is worse- not better.
The police comes to my neighborhood over every 3 months. (If).
too many home burglaries- neighborhood watch.

L: Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement

‘L’- cars are running YELLOW and red lights daily- where are the police.
‘L’- Everyone runs of Jack Rabbits Stop signs.
‘L’- Same as ‘K’.
#L- Constantly seeing traffic violation & very little done.
#L- Creekside many speeders- only school zones seem to be monitored.
#L- I see a lot of violations and hardly anyone getting caught.
#L- Many people are running red lights/ Lights are too close together and not timed- I think they are looking ahead of lights immediately in front of them.
#L Rarely see any cops. Speeding and aggressive driving are common.
#L- Speeding on rush haven is rampant. Speed is school zones.
(L) speed limit enforcement is a joke.
(L)- the posted limits appear to be minimum speed expected to drive.
(L) too much traffic cops & not enough Crime prevention.
7L- Too many speeders on major roads.
Better flow of Traffic.
blinking yellow lights are a danger since most people don’t know what to do or use as their right of way
Cars broken into- speeding (crime).
Drive through any neighborhood and you’ll know I get passed by people gone in excess of 65 mph everyday on Woodlands Pkwy.
drivers do Stop See at lights speed on all streets.
Growth- traffic noise is really bad. thru traffic a problem killing.
Honesty- the speeding on main roads is out of control. Trees are so important For people, animals & flood control. Please try to control cutting down to many per year.
I See speeding & red traffic lights run daily.
L - speeding cars and I don’t see patrols increasing to stop that
L - speeding is out of control
L - The Woodlands Parkway is becoming more and more dangerous with Yahoo’s tailgating and speeding.
L - This doesn’t exist in The Woodlands. Every main street in The Woodlands is its own freeway.
L - too many people run red lights, speeding on the Parkway
L - after Numerous complaints it Get Better For a while but does not last.
L - Aggressive drivers/ speeding is rampant- there SHOULD be No camera enforced.
L - Check the average speed on Woodlands Pkwy.
L - Constant speeding & traffic light ‘running’ on major roadways.
L - dangerous drivers/ on phone speeding, run light.
L - do not think BLINKING YELLOW arrows For turns are safe.
L - Drive too fast & run red lights.
L - drivers running red lights and breaking the speed limit.
L - drivers speed, Woodlands PARKWAY is a freeway.
L - everyday I witness motorists cunning red lights. some are run with Sheriff present. Someone is going to be killed due to lack of enforcement.
L - flashing YELLOW lights is a bad idea.
L - frequent violations observed
L - I never See police on Research or Woodlands Pkwy giving tickets.
L - I See speeders on Woodlands PARKWAY, Lake Woodlands, Research forest. M - I See more strip malls Less greenery.
N - Lots of Congestion on Research Forest in the am when H.S. is in session!O - I See more strip malls Less greenery.
L - If you go the speed limit you’re the only one.
L - important but not enforced much.
L - I’ve seen people on Woodlands Pkwy doing 60+
L - lot of folks speeding & people upset abt. speeders around school zones.
L - Lots of speeding and running red lights - No enforcement.
L - Lots of useless PEDESTRIAN lights.
L - Many residents require training on 4 way stops!
L - No enforcement.
L - not enough police presence.
L - On side roads 35 is too slow, needs to be 40.
L - People don’t go the speed limit cause congestion
L - people have No respect For speed limits & traffic signals.
L - people speed on main roads and not stopped right turn lanes needed in more local time. so many go through red Light, miss Stop signs, etc.
L - police need to be stopping people For speeding.
L - police speed frequently.
L - Poor oversize around school zones; many cars speed through.
L - red Light runners are abundant.
L - Red light running is the norm, bring back cameras!
L - red lights run- speeding always.
L - Research Forest Always speeding accidents YELLOW lights are a joke.
L - Routinely See speeding disregard For traffic laws.
L - side streets & main St. (Wdls. Pkwy) speedways.
L - signals need synchronized & traffic Light sensors NEEDED.
L - signals not synchronized- speed limits on major roads too low.
L - some signal are not readable or behind trees.
L - speed limit enforcement is Lacking- speeding is commonplace throughout the community.
L - Speed limit enforcement is non-existent but you spend time pulling over ‘some’ cars without front license plate.
L - Speed limit- I turn on Lake Woodlands to go to work- overbuilding people driving 60 mph on way to I-45 Hughes landing causes increase traffic.
L- speed limit is a little High this is why many accidents on fast reckless driving. school traffic lights SHOULD but No across the streets so They are more visible For drivers.
L- speed limit- people speed all the time- Watching traffic cameras & Give tickets.
L- speed- those seems to be too much excessive speed on our hands.
L- speeding and running Stop signs prevalent...
L- Speeding occurs on ALL major roads. I regularly see 60+mph on Woodlands Parkway and Research
L- speeding traffic on Gosling.
L- Speeding yellow & red light running changing lanes across 3 all Woodlands pkwy.
L- there appears to be little enforcement of red Light violations.
L- timing of traffic lights inconsistent- No visible speed limit enforcement.
L- too fast- care.
L- too many people speeding.
L- too many speeders and red Light runners on Research Forest & Lake woods.
L- too many speeders.
L- too many speeding through school zones.
L- too much excessive speed dangerous driving, I never See police presence.
L- too much thru traffic Creekside Green.
L- traffic cameras are not smart enough to Keep traffic moving.
L- traffic- No Real enforcement, people speed a lot in Woodlands area.
L- vehicles are Always running red lights without CONSEQUENCE.
L- very minimal speed limit enforcement These days.
L- We call our sheet ‘raceway’ respected request for assistance.
L- Wish the cameras by the lights would be used. Very many people run red lights.
L#- Some turn signals are slow too slow for one to turn on.
L& K, Lisa in Harris county. the constable service is terrible. No presence in home sections of the Woodlands. on major streets (when present) indifferent to residents except giving tickets. there is a offense to running speed troops rather than service to the community. Mark to under seems to Less running For a higher office.
L) Nobody respects the speed limit.
L. Research Forest Dr, Kuykendahl to alden bridge west is treated as a quarter mile drag strip
L, M, N, Q: not Enforcing existing traffic laws, not planning For streets and Intersections currently.
L, Nobody stops at stop signs except me and a rare few individuals.
L, People drive crazy have no respect for school zones, 4 way stops.
L, speeding on major roads, lack of enforcement.
L. I haven’t seen much enforcement and it IS a problem.
L. so many people are flying through our community from magnolia and such to get to the interstate.
L. Lots of illegal turns, especially illegal U turns on woodlands parkway and other areas.
L. Speed Limit: speed limits don’t seem to be enforced consistently
L. Speed limits too low on major roads contributing to congestion / total disregard
L: Come on- have you ever tried to go the speed limit on Woodlands Pkwy?
L: everyday can See people running red lights.
L: far too many speeding cars & red Light runners.
L: Few police on road. Almost never See pulled over For speeding.
L: Just spend 5 minutes in Woodlands Pkwy!
L: more like Shenandoah- Keep people safe in red lights.
L: Please do more speed checks, 10 mph above limit seems to be the standard and drivers get annoyed
L: Some people tailgate others, lights on too long @ stops.
L: Speed Limit Enforcement: I dont feel traffic laws are well enforced in The Woodlands.
L: speed limit etc. we See red-Light runners daily getting noise.
L: there is not speed limit enforcement on Crystal Lake Ln. The speed limit is ridiculously high
L: too many drivers speeding & not following rules at intersections.
L: too many red lights being run: witness to accident recently.
L: too many speedsters & way too many red Light runners.
L: What is the average number of traffic stops by each deputy working patrol per day?

Lights are not censored - even during off peak times (i.e. Sam).
little speeding enforcement.
most drivers speed.
N: not sure yellow flashing lights are good cause many accidents. Lights not timed well on 242 & traffic.
N: signal lights are poorly TIMED, lack of RIGHTS turn lanes.
N& L: Less speeding, Stop Sign & red Light running constantly with No enforcement.
need a much Better TIMED Stop Light system to Get people to and from the highway easily & quickly.
need Better signage/ school zone OUTSIDE Dulcet hollow.
Not a day goes by that I don’t see MULTIPLE people running red lights and speeding excessively.
not enforced.
not enough traffic enforcement during school zones.
Note: stop lights are the longest lights in possibly the world.
Nothing done to Stop speeding and red lights on Pwky.
people not obeying speed limit & driving too fast.
poor civil engineering planning to accommodate traffic flow.
PUT CAMERAS BACK ON STOP LIGHTS! TOO MANY RUNNING THEM (L).
See speeders every day & No police.
Service L- Speed limits are not enforced; very few traffic police presence for enforcement.
Speed Limit - I live off of New Trails and there are always cars speeding making it difficult to exit
speed limit & enforcement- may Valley Drive is a freeway & loud and speeding [?]no speed bumps very dangerous.
Speed limit & traffic signal enforcement- I’m on the road a lot & never see patrol cars but see many drivers speeding,
texting w/out only recourse.
speed limit & traffic signal. too many a breaking the law.
speed limit (L) running red lights, speeding on all roads.
Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement - in many situations I have experienced vehicles exceeding limits
SPEED LIMIT ENFORCEMENT- WHY? BECAUSE I SPEED ALL OF THE TIME!
Speed limit- inner streets should be 15 not 25 and enforce it.
speed limit Lots of speeders.
speed limit- No one gets ticket For driving dangerous.
speed limit not enough police officers to enforce laws.
speed limit to Lake Woodlands, Research Forest sound like speedways.
speed limit, etc.- school zones poorly enforced.
Speed limits aren’t enforced.
speed limits - enforcement of the High school drivers, bring back cameras.
speed limits exceeded.
speed limits/ signals- crosswalks enforcement & police speed indicator meanings.
SPEED LMT.- RARELY SEE ANY ENFORCEMENT/ SPORADIC, RANDOM @ BEST.
speed- people are constantly speeding and I RARELY See price enforcement.
speed- people run it down WL Pkwy all night.
Speed should not be faster than 35 mph!
speed traffic- people speed like crazy here! Speed limit SHOULD be enforced.
speed, They don’t seem to enforce enough
speeding & lack of Law enforcement is a big issue.
speeding is rampant & large Congestion on main roads lights not timed.
speeding is rampant on Woodlands Pkwy.
speeding is rampant, red Light running excessive.
the Light one not synchronized and some of them are much too long.
too many lights and stops- poorly timed.
too many MOTORIST run over Stop signs and exceed speed.
too many speeders on new trails.
too many speeders on the roads.
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- Traffic congestion too cumbersome.
- Traffic continues to get worse.
- Traffic enforcement could be stronger.
- Traffic enforcement is non-existent.
- Traffic enforcement - speeding and running red lights is rampant and police following these cars do nothing.
- Traffic enforcement - too many people running red lights.
- Traffic is horrible. We need right turn lanes.
- Traffic light management - for the increasing traffic on Woodlands is poor. Too many stops at intersections.
- Traffic lights need to be synchronized so traffic can flow better.
- Traffic running red lights.
- Traffic signals get out of sync. Interstate access in & out @ peak time is bad.
- Traffic signals not in sync.
- Traffic signals - people run lights all the time. Need longer yellow to allow for those 'extra' cars.
- Traffic signals - some new lights have made the area worse.
- Traffic speeding - too much speeding in neighborhoods.
- Traffic - stop making the Woodlands a cut through.
- Traffic, should be more enforcement.
- TRAFFIC: EVERYONE IS SPEEDING!!

M: Influencing growth in and around the Woodlands

- #M - to congested, dense already.
- (M) would support increase taxes for strategic land purchase/roads.
- (M/N) Too much bad man thing of increasing road construction, based more on politics than needs of community.
- Allowing excessive development - pervasive greed.
- Area is booming, not sure what you can do though (M).
- Building of more shopping centers is not needed. Can't fill what you have because rents are too high.
- Community is not planned for this growth.
- Do not want more growth - 'bubble' compressed on all traffic becoming worse - many non-residents, sides many trees coming down for more retail using space.
- Growth - perception is that growth in the community is unchecked with no plan.
- Growth - too much growth with not enough infrastructure.
- Growth - development company runs the Woodlands.
- Growth - don't see controls.
- Growth - getting too big - stop it.
- Growth is ridiculous. Did we really need a strip center at Grogan's Mill and Lake Woodlands?
- Growth - more business adjacent to us, not within Woodlands & too many closings.
- Growth - need cleaner input to restrict.
- Growth - promoted too much.
- Growth - seems like business growth has stagnated.
- Growth - too much, too fast, too greedy.
- Growth - too much.
- Growth - traffic noise is really bad. thru traffic a problem killing.
- Growth - we are growing too fast and the development is too much when stores are abandoned.
- Growth - we don't want more growth.
- I have no say in growth.
- Inf growth - too much.
- Influencing #M - 2978 seems to have no progress!
- Influencing growth - not so interested in more commercial strips. Great small business can't afford rent.
- Influencing growth, there is too much development going on.
- L, M, N, Q - not enforcing existing traffic laws, not planning for streets and intersections currently.
- M - Montgomery county feeding roads into the Parkway.
- M - 'vision' often appears to be short-sighted.
M & N- Because all the major thoroughfares are cut through, now too many people are speeding & don't need to even come through The Woodlands.
M & N too much traffic & not enough mobility.
M- already Plenty of growth.
M- appears driver by commercial imperatives.
M- appears since Hughes took over Growth has exploded.
M- developers build without providing adequate infrastructure.
M- Enough shops restaurants bars & homes!
M- every year we have new apartment completes and neighborhoods around the city.
M- expanding too much: losing green spaces.
M- growing too fast.
M- Growth appears COMMERCIALLY DRIVEN above residential CONSIDERATIONS.
M- Growth around Woodlands causing bad traffic as people Drive thru Woodlands to Get to Magnolia etc.
M- Growth has taken place too RAPIDLY- needs planning.
M- Growth is excessive and I wonder if proportion of undeveloped land is being maintained according to original charter.
M- Growth is exploding.
M- Growth- new Development need to Keep in mind the Woodlands original vision develop in Concert w/ the natural Environment preserving riparian habitat and Forest Growth to Provide retention For flood waters.
M- Growth within The Woodlands should slow- we can’t keep up.
M- huge Growth in & around the Woodlands has exceeded infrastructure. Builders/ developers Get rich at expense of home owners.
M- Influencing growth- it’s not OK that neighborhood ‘cafes’ and family businesses cannot afford to rent in the Woodlands- we love lulu’s corner bakery and many other places that many friends complain that although it’s nice to go to a nice restaurant
M- is growing too fast and Lots of Trees down.
M- it is out of control. George Mitchell would be turning over in his grave.
'M- It seems community leaders (Hughes) will sacrifice community character for profit.
M- Lack of ‘city’ elected officials.
M- more concerned with business- who many times do not financially help the community at large- given tax breaks.
M- need to Focus more on liability For those here.
M- not Doing a good job. N- Dreadful.
M- out of our control.
M- OUTSIDE Woodlands is unzoned.
M- over Development before enough infrastructure.
M- overbuilding of condos- Hughes landing.
M- rampant growth in Creekside continues increasing risk of flooding
M- Regulate better.
M- Roads ring bigger but still congested; bringing in crime maybe!
M- See #6
M- so many Trees destroyed For Just Another, retail center.
M- Stop Development of green spaces.
M- Stop Woodlands parkway extension!!!
M- The current advisory role only works if the County listens. MC Precinct 2 cares nothing about The Woodlands
M- the Growth continues without seeing the consequences.
M- the Woodlands have grown enough.
M- there is No planning & additions are being Built on Woodlands like adding to traffic congestion.
M- there SHOULD be more small business not Just chains.
M- too big.
M- too commercialized new.
M- too much destruction of Nat. woods.
M- too much emphasis on new Growth & vacation destination stop.
M- too much Focus on Growth, which creates issues on Other areas like cutting down environment.
M- too much Growth around the Woodlands.
M- too much Growth - crowding.
M- too much Growth - effects O and N and Q, without planning.
M- too much Growth leads to congestion.
M- too much rapid growth.
M- township has little (No) ability to influence Growth- Walmart on Kuykendahl is a perfect example.
M- township has little if any ability to limit Growth or EVEN influence.
M Uncoordinated Construction activities.
M- We are already too big, you're don't care as much when area is older.
M- we currently have little influence in this area.
M- We don't have influence over what goes on 'around' the Woodlands- but so many dense areas outside that use the woodlands as a 'drive through'.
M- We don't need more growth yet need to catch upon what we have.
M- Woodlands already too much growth.
M- Woodlands Pkwy should not have opened to 2978, nor Research.
M, explained in #6.
M. Growth is too fast...too many apartments and businesses popping up without sufficient support
M. Actively encouraging growth in areas already over congested
M. Allowing too much growth; too big, too crowded.
M. Poorly controlled
M..this has gotten out of hand
M/N/P- too much growth.
M: Too much cut through traffic. The woodlands has become a cut through to 45
M: density in the Lake Area SHOULD go up rather than spread out.
M: Grogan’s Mill shopping center seems nearly derelict. If it weren’t for Brother’s, there wouldn’t be anything there
M: Growth w/O PROPER traffic congestion.
M: I don’t really know there is a practical way for the woodlands in influence growth around the woodlands
M: over Development proliferation of Clear cutting to cram big houses on small lots.
M: Problems is too much growth. County taxes are inflated due to home value.
M: Unbridled development w/ insufficient focus on infrastructure.
M: Growth
M:O-Q- if we Keep cutting Trees and expanding roads, this will be NYC not the Woodlands
natural env.- way too much development.
Neighborhood watch & don’t see a benefit deed restrictions- enforced in random ways crime prevention- Spend too much effort on email notifications growth around Woodlands- unplanned and out of our control.
our influence is quite limited and trumped by County judges, etc.
over built.
Overdevelopment- taking down too many Trees & green spaces.
Please watch the ‘growth’ reducing trees will ruin the Woodlands.
Reactionary to Growth, No planning.
Roads and mobility should be planned/ expanded before new too much clear cutting of trees- we don't need development buildings and businesses built so occur extensively.
sel interested parties (real estate developers) have too much control over expansion and direction
Should not bring too much business; congestion.
the vision of what the woodlands was to be in being lost to commercialism
There are many strip centers with vacant areas that should be filled before more strip centers are built
to much Uncontrolled Growth in County OUTSIDE the Woodlands.
too many houses- overcrowded.
too many new builds being Built around Creekside park.
Too much building (M).
Too much development- leaving a 20 feet strip of trees is not a forest.
too much development.
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- too much development.
- too much development.
- too much GROW- too much Congestion, too much building.
- Uncontrolled Growth/ M.
- we are over grown- PARKWAY is Another westerner.
- We don’t have enough infrastructure for growth.
- We don’t need more growth The Woodlands is great as is please leave us alone.

N: IMPROVING MOBILITY AND REDUCING CONGESTION

- ‘N’- Construction is too slow.
- #N- All roads congested in coming into woodlands at high-4-7.
- #N- Gosling and Woodlands Pkwy traffic & trolley is slow.
- #N- Woodlands pkwy rush hour is bad.
- #N- You should not have opposed the bond to extend Woodlands Parkway.
- (N)- Rider main thoroughfares.
- (N) Traffic lights everywhere- they aren’t synchronized either.
- 7N the traffic is constant.
- Better transportation For visitors.
- Congestion - Congestion is bad. It takes 20 minutes for a simple trip.
- congestion - poor light coordination
- Congestion - 2978 delays.
- Congestion - Doesn’t seem to be improving.
- Congestion - everywhere.
- Congestion is horrible in may valley.
- Congestion - leave trees- don’t build on every last inch of The Woodlands. Soon you’ll need to change the name.
- Congestion - only getting worse w/ growth.
- Congestion - take 2x’s normal to get out of TW in evening rush hour.
- Congestion - too much traffic- lights not synched.
- Congestion - too much.
- Congestion traffic- 242 should have access onto 45s and 45n should have access onto 242
- Congestion - traffic has become unbearable.
- Congestion Wdlds. parkway is a nightmare @ rush hour.
- Congestion - we have it.
- Congestion Why adding 1 lane @ a time? and then next year another?
- Connection - Speaks For itself.
- Constant road Construction - OUTSIDERS cutting through.
- Do not want more growth- ‘bubble’ compressed on all traffic becoming worse- many non residents sides many trees coming down for more retail using space.
- events & before/ after work school traffic is cumbersome.
- Frequency & Feeder traffic is too congested.
- I don’t even know my neighbors. My garbage container is really old and asked for new one I have been waiting for 4 years for a new one. So much traffic at any hair on the roads. I never find parking in market street.
- Improvements are worthless. Efforts such as widening Woodlands Parkway only cause more non-resident
- Improving mobility and reducing congestion - we have lived in The Woodlands for 20 years.
- Improving mobility- Building too much & roads aren’t catching up (Roads 1st & then businesses).
- Improving mobility.
- increasing turn lanes and widening W.P. is not the answer.
- influencing growth - i haven’t seen anything that promotes growth
- it Takes a really long time to build or Improve road.
- It takes twice as long as it did when I mound to Woodlands to get I-45 in the morning.
- L, M, N, Q- not Enforcing existing traffic laws, not planning For streets and Intersections currently.
- large traffic Congestion causing road rage and impatient.
- M & N too much traffic & not enough mobility.
- M. Traffic
- Many areas where traffic is really bad. For example, Panther Creek at 4.00.
- Many congested areas (N).
- Mobility & Congestion - Poor bus service & stop lights going up!
- Mobility & congestion - too many lights require you to sit thru 2+ cycles of stoplight before you can cross the intersection.
- Mobility and Congestion - I am unsure as to the responsibilities beyond South of Kuykendahl (Creekside)
- Mobility and traffic congestion is poor. Granted Woodlands Parkway is under construction
- mobility - Bus service between villages - signaling is poor.
- Mobility is about 5 years behind schedule i.e. Gosling bridge, Kuykendahl north of lake woodlands
- Mobility - It's terrible.
- mobility - need to not cater to large business & churches that want to influence Growth & roads near them.
- mobility of Congestion - it Takes a long time to Get out of the Woodlands.
- mobility - over Growth & through traffic is mobility.
- mobility - through fares outbound and inbound too ingested.
- mobility - traffic simply has worsened.
- mobility, Better public transportation.
- Mobility, congestion keeps getting worse. Poorly timed traffic lights, etc.
- mobility, it is obvious traffic is very bad.
- mobility/ Congestion - still some major problems.
- mobility/ Congestion: infrastructure projects behind growth.
- N
- N
- N - again, don't see anyone representing The Woodlands to combat this
- n - allowing overbuilding without providing accommodation for the added traffic
- N - Always come up with short term solutions
- N - have you ever sat in woodlands rush hour traffic its horrible. The meeting point of SH-99, IH-4
- N - roads are more congested than ever.
- N - This is difficult to do when you allow multiple high density building complexes to be built next
- N - traffic is getting worse
- N & Q - all the township seems to do is authorize studies - No action results except the Commission of Another study.
- N & Q - do not brag About being a fast growing place u/O addressing traffic issues.
- N- 100% against Woodlands pkwy. extension.
- N- a lack of public transportation to each village.
- N- BECAUSE of every drain Congestion getting worse.
- N bridge on Gosling still not constructed
- N- complete planned roads to open up lanes For Traffic.
- N- congested left hand turns.
- N- Congestion is slowing and from OUTSIDE of community.
- N- developers build without providing adequate infrastructure.
- N- Drive around during rush hour- you see.
- N- ENHANCE the RING road, not the through roads.
- N- feels like downtown Houston now! I’ve lived here since 1978.
- N- Growth too fast, hard to Keep up with roads.
- N- if interior Growth stopped, Congestion would out Get worse.
- N- increased congestion.
- N- It’s currently hard to get around & there doesn’t seem to be alternate.
- N- Joining people doesn’t feel ‘neighborhood’ & Woodlands pkwy like a highway.
- N- Just too much Traffic.
- N- Keep widening roads instead of managing.
- N- Light synchronization access to entrance.
N- Long waits in ‘automatic’ traffic signals.
N- Lots of traffic & road improvements take twice as long.
N- major roads need to be widened.
N- many areas lack WALKWAYS. trolley needs to be EXPANDED. LONGER HRS. For express, INCL. WEEKEND.
N- many people from OUTSIDE the Woodlands (it seems) do not care/ watch For pedestrians. too much speeding going on.
N- mobility Congestion- Just gets worse.
N- Mobility- we need public transportation!
N- More of above means more dangerous driving traffic!
N- More people; not enough or proper roads (expansions at research, Kuykendahl).
N- Mostly during rush hours.
N- need Better Alternative transportation to & from Houston at extended hours.
N- need Better traffic flow.
N- need larger roads, too much congestion.
N- need to plan Better w/ road Construction, not during hurricane season.
N- No need to Create links to the whole Co.
N- not enough public transport in the Woodlands.
N- Older residents do like change & growth.
N- over Development has caused too much congestion.
N- People are on their phones don’t pay attention, putting lights in before roads are finished.
N- population has outgrown planned facilities.
N- PROBLEM B/C OF #M NEEDS TO STOP.
N- road Construction OUTSIDE of the Woodlands, pushing more cars into the Woodlands.
N- roads are, Built with No foresight.
N- rush hour is the pits.
N- Stop building! We have too many cars.
N- Stop Improving roads that act as through fares than the Woodlands- that Just increases Congestion Focus on routing traffic around the Woodlands.
N- Stop lights.
N- STREETS SHOULD BE WIDENED ‘YEARS’ BEFORE TRAFFIC.
N- Takes forever to Get around.
N- Takes longer to get I-45. Q- Cutting down to many trees in Creekside.
N- the Woodlands are very slow to react to traffic congestion.
N- too congested/ traffic is horrible.
N too many thoroughfares
N- too much Building has over bounded the existing infrastructure.
N- Too much congestion- 242. Woodland Pky- research.
N- too much congestion.
N- too much road work.
N- too much thru Traffic.
N- too much traffic & shop lights.
N too much traffic and congestion on the front end, particularly during rush hours
N- too much traffic using current roads.
N- too much Traffic.
N- traffic bad and not enough soon enough being done.
N- Traffic bad. Delay until already bad before do a ‘study’.
N- traffic by Panther Creek- six pines flaw better & not back up @ High peak tunos.
N- traffic Congestion is already bad w/in the Woodlands & people from OUTSIDE the Woodlands want to Increase it. we SHOULD be able to control this.
N- traffic Congestion is still increasing at too fast a pace.
N- traffic Congestion need/ Other means to transport residents.
N- traffic continues to Get worse- Poor planning in this great.
N- traffic flow.
N- Traffic growing; signals should be timed; more rept. turn lanes.
N- traffic has increased manually since we moved here.
N- traffic horrible we are growing bike crazy and traffic is horrible.
N- traffic is horrible & it take forever to respond with new improvements like bridges, wider roads etc.
N- traffic is very congested.
N- traffic Just keeps getting worse.
N- traffic keeps getting worse.
N- traffic keeps getting worse.
N- Traffic seems to be getting worse. Especially since the extensions of research forest Kuykendahl & Woods parkway increased ‘thru’ traffic.
N- traffic too congested.
N- Traveling East-West on all roads is congested.
N- watch non-existent.
N- We are very congested, traffic around bike Woodlands/ Mkt. Street area is ridiculous!
N We seem to reactive rather than proactive with the amount of traffic that is now traveling through
N- would like rail service.
N& L: Less speeding, Stop Sign & red Light running constantly with No enforcement.
N& P: stay proud of the Woodlands.
N) Over the last 20 years congestion has steadily increased while very limited street infrastructure has been added.
N, Create bike routes, Get the cars off Mkt. St.
N.
N.
N.
N.
N. The traffic in The Woodlands in the morning and at rush hour is HORRIBLE
N. Always too late to DEAL w/ traffic & congestion.
N. It doesn’t seem like there is any consideration for the residents of Creekside Park
N. The stop lights are extremely long and makes the wait too long with little to no cross traffic.
N. There are too many people in the area now. The road construction is a mess
N. There does not seem to be a well thought out plan to improve the mobility around the woodlands
N. There is a lot of congestion around Market Street and the mall, Six Pines, Lake Woodlands area
N. Traffic
N. 242 and 1488 growth & traffic volume seems out of control- lots of ‘cut through’ & ‘drive through’ traffic in our Alden woods neighborhood.
N. College park nightmare in afternoon going toward 45
N. Get in your car. traffic is a problem.
N. Imp. mobility/ cong.- too many dangerous traffic situations.
N. Intersections like Grogan’s/ Research; research/ Gosling; Research/ 45 are horrible with traffic.
N. Lights are not synchronized well, making for very inefficient traffic flow.
N. Need more ‘smart’ light (traffic lights),
N. need public transportation as Congestion grows.
N. Poorly planned, poorly communicated- Re: 2015/16 bond issues.
N. Possible to open up more road connections to reduce congestion? Connect Lone Star College W Cambu
N. Research @ I-45; L: Research forest is at least 60+ mph in reality- no enforcement.
N. too much traffic
N. Traffic horrendous and getting worse by the day.
N. Unsustainable growth, has led to significant traffic congestion; also more bike paths
N. What used to take 10 minutes now takes 25 minutes of traffic
N- There is so much traffic congestion on research and Grogan’s mill.
natural env.- way too much development.
n need For transit.
- need more streets.
- No bike lanes.
- N-too much Construction and roads opened up to allow more through Traffic.
- N-traffic on Kuykendahl is awful. O- you approved the destruction of a beautiful Growth if Trees For a shipment.
- officials want to EXPAND Woodlands pkway - bad move.
- Pathways & infrastructure neglected in Cochran’s crossing traffic light turning from Cochran’s crossing to Woodlands Parkway to GCT to 45 takes too long. Attention to land spacing - traffic in general around the area.
- Poor mobility can break.
- reducing Congestion- more traffic using Woodlands as a shortcut.
- Research Forest gets very congested every morning.
- road Construction Congestion during morning & evening.
- Roads and mobility should be planned/ expanded before new too much clear cutting of trees - we don’t need development buildings and businesses built so occur extensively.
- roadways not helping up w/ traffic w/ new (?)abductors. Save room For Mom & pop stores.
- Roadwork so slow makes traffic horrible.
- rush hour traffic is horrible.
- See above; if answer to reducing congestion is to build bigger roads, lets find another answer.
- Service N, because there is no public transport to go around The Woodlands
- slow to Get roads Built to move traffic around.
- slow to wider High traffic roads. Stop lights placed when not NEEDED.
- Solution seems to be Just widening roads.
- streets are congested all day- N.
- student travel to & from school is impacted too often BECAUSE of traffic, need to work w/ schools to exit at different times.
- The traffic is worse each year. The 2 lane on Kuykendahl between lake Woodlands and Research needs to be completed. Turn lanes were not put in on Alden Bridge and Kuykendahl intersection at Mitchell school and this has caused an unsafe situation.
- The Woodlands fights mobility; need to expand connectivity.
- There are no blinking school zone signs and no crosswalk guards. That should not be left up to the schools
- To go out of Woodland to I-45 by research forest 5-6 pm take 30 or more minutes.
- too many cars on the road at all time of day
- too much Construction of roads.
- too much Traffic.
- too much Traffic.
- Town center & Mkt. Street- no parking- races block me in 9 weekends a year!
- Traffic- planning not proactive.
- Traffic at 242 & 45 sucks. Same at WL Plwy & 45.
- Traffic at Sawdust exit/ 1-45 awful around 5:00!!
- Traffic everywhere!!
- TRAFFIC HAS AMPLIFIED 100 FOLD IN 20 YRS NOISE ABATEMENT IS NEEDED.
- Traffic horrible & lights are ridiculous!!
- traffic is bad.
- traffic keeps getting worse.
- traffic near on Woodlands PARKWAY is causing CONcern.
- TRAFFIC- PROBLEM- ESP- HOCBILL[?] TO GODBUN[?].
- traffic still needs major Focus/ help.
- Traffic, roads are too crowded because of how many people are moving in
- when I moved here there was No traffic at all. but now...
- Woodlands Parkway as an extension to outlying [?] makes it difficult to reduce congestion.
O: SUSTAINING OUR TREES AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

- #O- Allowing clearance of trees on the median on Kuykendahl for business development is a very poor choice for the ‘nature’ sustainable then planting all ‘live oaks’ along that street was even worse. Those oaks in 20 years will destroy the concrete.
- #O- Any forest acreage we had is gone (except Rob Fleming).
- #O- Cutting down a huge amount of trees for retail areas.
- #O- Lots of Eco. talk- then clear cut in Creekside.
- #O- With the growth and natural disasters experienced in the last years
- (O) not a priority to whomever is responsible.
- (O)- too much Building For the almighty dollar- Just knock down & Few more trees.
- Creekside on Howard Hughes clear cut!!
- cutting Trees in areas of growth.
- Development clear cutting & replanting sop-trees (O).
- Do not want more growth- ‘bubble’ compressed on all traffic becoming worse- many non residents sides many trees coming down for more retail using space.
- Environment- Trash @ May Valley Park & lots of weeds.
- Environment: Loss of Tree/ wooded areas.
- feels like loosing too many Trees/ wooded areas.
- Green belt and Drain paths need to be cleared of debris to help limit flooding
- Honesty- the speeding on main roads is out of control. Trees are so important For people, animals & flood control. Please try to control cutting down to many per year.
- how many MILLION Trees have been Cut down to development.
- I am disappointed with the amount of tress removed in developing areas within the Woodlands.

IMPROVE MOBILITY & REDUCING CONGESTION- SIX PINES NEAR 45 YET CONSTRUCTION IS PROMISING.

Letter O- Too many new commercial areas are cutting trees & replacing them with trees that die and the density of the tree buffers is gone- can see all these strip malls & grocery stores. It’s ugly.
- N- Lots of traffic & road improvements take twice as long.
- need more Forest buffers.
- needs Better control/ O.
- new developments do not seem to care About Sustaining Trees & our natural environment.
- O - Creekside is prime example
- O Every time I turn around there are more trees knocked down to widen a roadway
- O - new neighborhoods look terrible- No trees.
- O - See above. Soon their won’t be enough woods to call it The Woodlands!
- O trees are disappearing due to clear cutting and road construction
- O - TWDC cuts trees down left and right
- O & P- why remove old/beautiful Trees & Replace them with small ones? too much Focus on Bringing in commercial properties.
- O- Also out of control.
- O- Answered in #6.
- O- as you develop, you have Less green space/ smaller lots.
- O- Clearing too many trees.
- O- Creekside was Clear Cut, and flat is continuing. No apparent altn. to natural enviro.
- O- cutting down too many trees.
- O- cutting down Trees & filling up ponds- becoming a parking lot community.
- O- Cutting the ‘green us’ is a hazard for flooding, besides is in losing the characteristic of tax.
- O- dead Trees left every way in mediums, near sidewalks.
- O- Developer continues to put larger houses on smaller lots.
- O- Encourage homeowners to plant yards to Forest density.
- O I like the active reforestation actions, but have seen a LOT of trees cut down for commercial prop
- O- I’ve lived here for over 25 years- too many strip centers.
- many areas over grown have called & requested Cut/Trim w/out success.
- mobility to shrinking.
- my impression is most people Cut down Trees on the sly during weekends. Also have seen new sidewalk at expense of trees. churches huge areas of trees.
- Nat. Environment- more and more Trees are being cut! You can see the amt. of deer roaming the streets.
- Neighborhood ‘median’ maintained.
- Not clearing dead bushes (keep it natural- but clear a whole land for any business. Contradicting message from township).
- not invasion has taken a hit from comm. Development & will Get worse until T.W. is like any Other subdivision/suburban area.
- overbuilding. Q- residents are sold on beautiful/ natural/ and Congestion then They move to the Woodlands and quickly find reality much Less appealing.
- PROBLEM B/C OF #M. Q- SAME.
- Same.
- See above (F), businesses are most visible, so non-compliance affects everyone.
- strip cutting of Tress has degraded the beauty of the town.
- taking too many Trees down.
- This was the original concept for The Woodlands. We do not need another strip shopping center while many are vacant!
- too many being Cut down.
- too many Trees being Cut down and ponds filled in.
- too many Trees have come down for ‘progress’. also tacky signs allowed
- too many Trees razed for strip malls.
- too many Trees removed for more shopping.
- too much Clear cutting going on.
- too much Clear cutting in the name of development.
- too much new Construction and tearing Trees down.
- too much Woodland being REMOVED.
- Tree cutting out of control.
- Trees & env.- over construction.
- tress cut down in Creekside for more development
- Trees- kill view that are killing trees.
- use to look natural- how we LIVE in a concrete jungle.
- way too much Clear cutting.
- we are losings our natural Environment/ too much development.
- we LIVE in a Cul De Sac and if a Truck is in the Area, WM offers passes our cars. tough to DEAL with.
- wholesale Clearing is damaging the overall promise of what the Woodlands was supposed to REPRESENT.
- Why was Creekside areas clear cut?
- you have sacrificed our Trees For the economic benefit.
- be Sustaining the Trees that are left but Clear cutting continues- Hughes landing.
- Zero enforcement of vegetation & Tree clearing.
- Clear cutting for new neighborhoods (e.g. Creekside).
- I think that buildings and growth take priority over nature
- Loss of Environment, wooded areas, Woodlands becoming Less and Less wooded areas.
- proper & timely trimming!
- site design standards must be more vigorously enforced, The Woodlands Development Corporation
- This is similar to the deed restrictions, you guys have no bite...
- People love their lawns too much. Need to encourage/reward native plantings
- A lot trees are down in the recent years in new residential community and commercial sites
- Lots of Trees Get Cut down for new neighborhoods.
- Reforested saplings do not get enough care. Too many trees cut for progress.
- Seeing a lot of land being cleared without saving trees or maintaining the natural environment
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- O. Seems like more and more shopping centers are going in and trees are getting clear cut.
- O. There seems to no care taken for preserving trees when new housing development was begun (Creekside)
- O. Trees removed to make expensive houses visible.
- O. cutting down too many Trees to accommodate business growth.
- O: in the last 7 years I have seen more trees cut down then those planted.
- O: See above.
- O: The bulldozing of natural areas probably has George Mitchell turning over in his grave.
- O: The growth has taken the word ‘wood’ out of the name The WOODlands; to many areas are fully cleared
- O: There are very few wooded areas left. It’s a facade.
- O: too much construction.
- O; every where your looks in The Woodlands, trees are being cut down.
- O; Sustaining; new areas are clear cut. Greenbelts are Shrinking.
- Only trees they cover up & let us see an open park let us see & enjoy our self’s a little bit better.
- overbuilding.
- Please don’t cut more trees. This is the Woodlands.
- Please watch the ‘growth’ reducing trees will ruin the Woodlands.
- QUESTIONABLE Quality of the recreation programs very bad job at PROTECTING the Trees
- Roads and mobility should be planned/ expanded before new too much clear cutting of trees- we don’t need
development buildings and businesses built so occur extensively.
- STANDARDS SEEM TO BE COVERED IN NEW (O).
- Stop the cutting of Trees & Building on those lots.
- Sustain trees- Just look around yet waste some on St. Anthony’s poor priorities.
- sustaining green areas - zero zoning
- Sustaining our trees and natural environment
- Sustaining Trees a natural Environment- cutting to many trees. Changing the original plan For a natural & wooded
place to LIVE.
- Sustaining Trees- too much commercial development.
- the integrity of our green space has been lost since George Mitchel sold. too many Trees have been Cut down to build
outs.
- They cut so many trees down we really can’t be calls The Woodlands.
- too many Trees are being removed on new construction.
- too many Trees are bring Cut down.
- too many Trees being Cut down in Creekside For new houses.
- too many Trees have been eliminated For shopping areas.
- too much land deserving taking trees.
- Trees - More and more trees being taken down for business. This is the Woodlands.
- Trees & Environment- Trim & remove scrub Trees to promote larger, healthy trees.
- Trees & environment.
- Trees and Nat. areas, not enough loosing them by new Dev.
- Trees and Natural Environment - when I first move The Woodlands in 1996, home building included trees
- Trees are being cleared at an alarming rate
- trees are being cut down to make more room for a fifth pizza place
- Trees are being killed by vines.
- Trees- areas and Creekside are being Clear cut. so many Trees are coming down daily and animals/ eco. systems being
affected.
- Trees- See Development company.
- Trees- stop & preserves Woodlands!
- Trees- too much land clearing.
- Trees- we seem to have lost the commitment of the Woodlands of the past.
- trees, to many trees cut down, especially in newer areas
- Trees/ Congestion- too many Trees Cut and too much Traffic.
- Trees- still too much Clear cutting.
We need more trees
What tree sustenance? Every month I see huge swaths of land that are clear-cut of trees

P: Attracting business to create jobs

- attracting business - I haven't seen anything that would lead me to believe this is a priority
- Cannot attract/influence big businesses to around or inside
- O & P: why remove old/beautiful trees & replace them with small ones? too much Focus on Bringing in commercial properties.
- P: over regulation, extremely high costs
- P & Q: what plan? what new businesses?
- P: job Opportunities are not for all residents.
- P: only franchises can survive.
- P: Rent is too high; need new restaurants, baking, eaten @ all of them & need more.
- P: too many businesses & restaurants etc.
- P: Traffic is already took to have late attention to go to Houston!
- P: We already have too many businesses...this was supposed to be a residential community
- P: We are losing more essential businesses & replacing with a disproportionate number of 'Upscale' businesses.
- P: I want you to attract less in regards to hosting marathons, ironmans, and similar.
- P: Cost to rent office space
- P: I have not seen any effort from the township to attract business. Shenandoah does a great job of this
- P: cost of lease space in area.
- P: I see many businesses closing.
- P: the last few years have not seen many new companies come to TW.
- P: the rents too high for mag businesses/restaurants.
- P: too many high rise buildings. becoming to commercialized.
- P: we need to foster good businesses & not erect too many barriers for them. Punish landlords who misinform tenants.

Q: Planning for the future

- 'Q': if there was any planning, we would be incorporated now.
- (Q) too political board (tea party influence) that causes distrust among st residents- more political vs. needs decisions.
- Future - don’t forget what The Woodlands was when it was first created - make it special for families
- future - need to have our own ISD
- Future planning- plans are not reductive.
- I fear it’s going to get bigger (Q).
- L, M, N, Q: not enforcing existing traffic laws, not planning for streets and intersections currently.
- N & Q: all the township seems to do is authorize studies- No action results except the commission of another study.
- No planning for the future in real time; most planning is too late i.e. flood studies post harvey
- Planning board trying to slam incorporation[?] down our throats!!!
- Planning for the future- b/c we are growing too much.
- Planning for the future- need more information.
- Planning for the future/ case in point- Shenandoah owns northeast woodlands.
- Planning the future, it looks like the avenues developing always behind.
- Poor decision to build on wetlands (Q).
- Poor planning for growth/Q.
- Q
- Q: seem to think of only raising taxes, throwing money at problems
- Q: Better planning of traffic for future growth.
- Q: develop sustainable development.
- Q: Do not see any evidence the over-expansion is slowing down!
- Q: Don’t hear about planning for future.
- Q: Don’t see any planning, real planning.
Q: I am not sure the incorporation study is an honest assessment. seems like a rush to INCORPORATE.
Q: No enough effort in Future plans.
Q: No firm plan/ schedule or info re.
Q: over Development- Increase Traffic.
Q: Please Stop the Congestion For Future generations.
Q: Same as ‘is’.
Q: too many businesses being added Changing community feel.
Q: too much Traffic.
Q: Why? Jacob reserve!
Q: will be become a city?
Q: Woodlands could expand & they don’t seem to.
Q: You are building new neighborhoods and business and you are getting money and use less quality of life. You don’t have the infrastructure to grow this fast.
Q: Your greed/ desire to incorporate sucks!
Q: I don’t understand the plan; and road construction does not seem to be aligned.
Q: Bringing in more and more people may not be the best For the future.
Q: Is someone actually planning for the future? It’s not evident.
Q: Traffic
Q: Deed restriction and neighborhood covenants seem to be falling backwards- lots renters & houses for lease and ‘temporary’ residents who don’t seem to care as much about compliance & neighborhood quality of life.
Q: Woodlands bit off more than it can chew. too many new, major businesses and expanding neighborhoods straining traffic system.
Q: Slash and burn not in George Mitchel’s plan.

NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT ABLE TO CODE

• Color of some houses. bad lawns.
• companies seem to be leaving instead of staying.
• Don’t call police, they take the side of their race.
• drivers are distracted or don’t seem to care
• each of them missing management.
• FINE ALL CAR WITHOUT LEGAL EXHAUST ‘NOISE’.
• fiscal control. too many govt miss manage growth.
• have not used any SERVICES.
• houses have so much mold, yards have decorations cars parked on streets.
• I find little regard being CONSIDERED on the part of the developer.
• I have the impression that this is not a priority.
• I LIVE in an apartment.
• Maintaining medicine- took a year to have our parent expand business- friends have tried but their [?] did not blend well with the decision under.
• more monitoring NEEDED.
• need more restaurants.
• never See one.
• Newer developments don’t have the same standards.
• non existent where I LIVE.
• Non residence use of TW facilities (North Shore Park).
• not any parks nowhere.
• not enough force is give to perserving the look, culture and future of what the woodlands was to be.
• not sure what Impact that has on Woodlands residents.
• on Woodlands Pkw.
• QUIT worrying About Hughes corp/ the front.
• R: We’re big enough, let it marinate.
• Rent especially in Market place is so high.
- RENTAL houses! Relaters buying and not maintaining, SHOULD require landlords to include landscaping in their RENTAL rates.
- rush, dynamo youth and competition.
- some very petty. They go overboard.
- Spray more for mosquitoes
- too expensive.
- too worried About Changing small things on our own home.
- township board is a joke.
- township does not listen to residents.
- Transportation- poor planning for a 'planner community'.
- Y'all can't plan anything no already in the matter plan.
QUESTION 10: WHAT DO YOU LIKE BEST ABOUT LIVING IN THE WOODLANDS?

OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY):

- a lot of Churches.
- ACTIVE 55 COMMUNITIES.
- Affordable
- all of the above.
- all of those qualities Add to the reason I returned to the Woodlands.
- all the above.
- all.
- Bands/ functions.
- Churches.
- city feeling.
- Conservative.
- Conservatives/ Republicans.
- CONSTRUCTION GOING ON IN NEIGHBORHOOD THAT BEGINS @ 5:00 a.m. ON CERTAIN DAYS-RIDICULOUS!
- covenant enforcement.
- enjoy living here.
- establishment as a medical center with links in services to Downton Houston Medical Care/Expertise
- everything.
- good medical facilities and access to good doctors.
- Great golf.
- Hospitals.
- INTERFAITH transportation & FINANCIAL assistance.
- Internationally [?]oriented concerts, music.
- It’s not Connecticut. Seriously.
- lot of community service opportunities
- maintain preferred community status.
- mall security horses.
- medical facilities.
- No signs- difficult to Get around if you are not a resident.
- Nothing now things have not improved.
- Older people are welcome.
- planned community.
- support of arts to continue.
- the Bands at Cynthia Woods pavilion are getting too loud & evidenced by the handing out of ear plugs, really? is that necessary to be so loud.
- the bubble.
- The list of things I DON’T like would be shorter.
- the township- being able to Provide input.
- the trolley service.
- the Woodlands united Methodist church.
- the Woodlands.
- value/cost of living
**Question 11: How would you like The Woodlands to improve in the future?**

**Improve Public Safety**

- Anticipate Crime increase & devise approaches to reduce it.
- Become a town with our own police force.
- Better safety/ lower crime.
- Continue to maintain the safety and lifestyle of The Woodlands.
- Continuous crime prevention / improvement and traffic planning.
- Crime prevention can be improved.
- Crime prevention, vetting more people, having courts prosecute more full extent of law.
- Cut down on crime rate.
- Do not see the cost/benefit from mounted horse patrols - this could be dropped.
- Focus less on who can park on street vs driveway and more on safety of residents and a diverse group of people living here.
- Help make it safer, neighbor was robbed and cars in the neighborhood have been BROKEN into.
- Improved neighborhood watch.
- In this day and time - crime seems to be rising everywhere so more security perhaps.
- Keep it safe, crime prevention, keep the trees.
- Maintain services as community grows - where is police?
- More law enforcement.
- More officers to patrol daily.
- More police patrol; better traffic flow.
- More police. 50 meter pool.
- More security & become a county away from Conroe ISD & Montgomery.
- More security appearance.
- My concern is with the increase of drug use/sale in the area and increase in crime.
- Reduce crime maintain environment.
- Safer. I am concerned about human trafficking and the safety of my children on the bike trails without me (and they are teenagers). I see too many door to door peddlers, walking around, waiting on corners.
- Safety for bicyclist on the road.
- Safety, cleanliness, quality of lifestyle.
- Safety. there has been a lot of break in incidents.
- Securer.
- See #8 above - make the roads safe!
- Strive for 0 crime.
- Would like it to feel safer, continue to sustain natural environment, and control over development.

**Improve Mobility and Traffic Flow**

- 1) Complete expansion of roads to alleviate congestion & fix flooding on streets. 2) Better maintain parks & common areas. 3) More family/oriented events @ Pavilion, Town Park - bring community & families together more often. 4) More open town halls w/t
- 1) Dramatically increase traffic enforcement to stop speeders & red light runners. 2) Ban door to door solicitors!
- 242 traffic studies.
- 4 lanes on Kuykendahl.
- Actually fix the roads in a timely fashion look at access roads to businesses before building them. Traffic to get Methodist, St. Luke's a memorial Hermann is horrible in the afternoon to when you have an emergency, minutes count.
- Add trolley routes to each village that connect at a hub in town center.
- Address the increasing traffic problem.
- Address traffic.
- Affordable public transportation.
- Arriving & leaving the Woodlands - traffic control when lights or accident occur.
As The Woodlands has grown, attempts have been made to keep ahead of the increase in traffic, but it continues to be an issue. Another issue I believe The Woodlands should address are the school zones. All of them should have flashing lights when they are in effect. There are too many school zones with differing times, and it is difficult for vehicles to read the signs. The flashing lights are a much more visual way to keep our children safe.

Attention to big picture mobility and services, seems like they love to get into unimportant issues on bike lanes and miss real issues that are difficult (i.e., road widening left turn lanes).

be mindful of opening roads to through traffic - do not want.

becoming more bike and PEDESTRIAN friendly. Safer Intersections, WALKING bridges etc.

Better biking pathways.

Better egress.

Better enforcement on speeding and reduce traffic congestion.

better mobility; less red tape to get stuff done

Better Proactive traffic planning, protect against flooding.

Better public transportation in and outside.

Better public transportation.

Better roads, trucks are a pain in this Area that is annoying. is About time you Ask For a survey is very unfair after all we pay here.

Better roadways, more Law enforcement, rules SHOULD apply to all.

Better traffic control, limit on growth.

Better traffic control.


Better traffic management.

Better traffic mobility and growth control, protecting green spaces.

Better traffic patterns

Better traffic routes, development.

Better transportation For visitors, more bike paths sidewalks (Lake front), Better flow of Traffic.

bicycle lanes/ bicycle friendly-ness! Bike racks.

bike lanes on roads.

bike lanes.

Bike paths, events on the waterway Xmas lighting parade RC boat racing on waterway.

build additional routes to release congestion on research forest and gosling

Build roads 1st before opening new schools/ businesses.

Building road - like in Conroe & reduce non-resident thru Traffic.

Clear traffic congestion.

Close the open roads.

Congestion & traffic lights.

Congestion of Traffic.

Connect college park into the woodlands more. Improve traffic and congestion with the new developments on 45 feeder near 242. Connect a road to 242 behind Portofino.

Consider partnering w/ Harris co. and METRO to expand light rail services to The Woodlands - to facilitate commutes and Pavilion traffic during concert season.

Continue on-going relations with precipit officials and workers to alleviate road Congestion and metering of Stop lights.

Continue to expand roads to accommodate the increased number of people.

Continue to Keep traffic moving and put up traffic lights where NEEDED. we need to become our own city.

Create Safer roadways.

DEAL w/ traffic- costs to LIVE here. I have heard rumors that some Crime is not reported to residents- to hide it.

Decreasing traffic Congestion having public transportation into DOWNTOWN Houston on weekends.

Do not allow expansion of Woodlands Pkwy- already too busy!

do not oppose bond issues that Improve mobility.

easier access from remote side.

enforce speed limits & red lights more.

enforce speed limits, Manage- sustain greenspace, control costs.

Expand the medical center on I-45 and add bicycle lanes along minor roads.
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- Extra lane(s) on Fm 1488/2978. Not to be a route for commuter traffic. Safety for children/young adults at the mall.
- figure how to solve the congestion problem at the Parkway and I-45 North Turn-around.
- Fix the stop light. Bring back the four way stops!
- Fix the Traffic.
- Fix traffic problems. Cut out Marathons/iron man that cause traffic problems.
- Future Development SHOULD mandate sidewalks. Street parking SHOULD be regulated. My street is like a maze, having to swerve around vehicles on both sides of the street. North silver Crescent circle.
- Get parking off the street and police/ticket cars that do not.
- Get rid of flashing turn traffic lights.
- Help with heavy traffic hours on the roads.
- Highways overpass at 242 need to have an Eastbound lane tolls need to be eliminated. Underutilized b/c of tolls. This is contributing to the lot traffic especially during rush hour.
- How about enforcing speed limits SOMEWHERE? I never see Police vehicles except on Sunday to direct traffic at local churches. If 242 is to be widened, a wall needs to be built to reduce noise the entire length from Gosling to 1488.
- I wish the Woodlands could stop widening roads and taking out trees.
- I would like mobility to be improved.
- If we are going to continue to open up roads for through traffic, the speed and traffic need to be monitored better. I live off of Crownridge and Research and I see an accident at least every other day due to speed and running the lights.
- Implement roadways before it's too late & too congested.
- Improve congestion.
- Improve mobility along 242/College park from I-45 to Gosling, flood mitigation.
- Improve mobility/reducing congestion/taxes.
- Improve on means of transportation. Include more bike lanes.
- Improve streets for traffic, incorporate, sustain Trees & grass.
- Improve traffic congestion. Lived here since the late 80's & this is the worst I have ever seen it.
- Improve traffic through the Woodlands and access to I-45.
- Improve traffic, repair potholes in pathways, improve street drainage.
- Improve transportation, congestion - Crime prevention.
- Improve trolley & bus services.
- Improved East/West mobility.
- Improved parking & traffic flow.
- Improved traffic mobility/limited population growth.
- Increase traffic flow, reduce time at traffic lights.
- It's fine the way it is to me. Cost the speed limit should be reduced in contain (??), and traffic lights about streets for school zones to be more visible, making new turns to (??) realize school zone.
- Keep bus lines out. Keep man's club out. Educate the public on kindness and safety with animal.
- Keep current with maintenance, roads, drainage, etc. respect & enforce zoning already created. Respect & enforce Deed Restrictions, easements, property surveys.
- Keep improving roadways for less congestion.
- Keep opening up more bike lanes.
- Keep the traffic moving.
- Less 6 lane roads; more natural beauty (I didn't move here for manicured plantings); no more commercial districts!
- Less bicycles & traffic.
- Less traffic & insulate 'bubble'.
- Less traffic congestion on I-45.
- Less traffic, more parking.
- Less traffic, stop cutting down trees! Build 50 m. pool for triathlons.
- Less traffic.
- Less traffic.
- Less traffic.
- Less traffic/congestion; keep low income housing/apartments away from Woodlands so less crime.
- Limit incoming traffic.
- Limit road expansions and any 'thru' traffic.
- Limit traffic flow to community and no ‘through-flow’.
- Limits on street parking on secondary streets. Cars parked on street as limit Emergency vehicle access and Create driving hazards.
- Maintains Cul De Sac & side road areas/ train & cutting Trees mowing.
- Mass transit option to DOWNTOWN- not busses.
- mobility Congestion reduced.
- More blinking yellow lights @ left turns. Choice of energy providers. Lower taxes (property). Frequent power outages occur in our area and this is unacceptable.
- more effort to reduce speeding.
- more emphasis on bike lanes and bike awareness.
- More mobility & bus service as stated on page 1.
- More mobility- Mass transit- Bus service to airport. Finish David Memorial to 242 to assist with that daily congestion.
- more parking. Better tasting water.
- more public transportation & bike path.
- More street lights on our circle!! 26 Valley oaks circle.
- More traffic enforcement- Keep environment green & improve drainage esp. branch crossing & research!
- More ways to get on I-45 in the morning.
- need more sidewalks For pedestrian.
- need transportation For medical appointment and shopping.
- needs more parking all over.
- Plan for traffic and improve transportation
- Public transportation!
- put BLINKING heights in all school zones not Just the signs.
- rail service.
- Recognize that our quality of life is directly tied to the efficiency of travel to/from home. With Kuykendahl (from 99 to Creekside Park) growth & business sprawl is impacting my commute. I am starting to wonder why I just didn’t live in the Heights - my commute would not have been that much different. I have lived here 2 years and the business growth has added at least 5 min each way to my commute, not to mention the frustration of 4 additional traffic lights that don’t seem timed properly.
- reduce congestion.
- reduce traffic congestion.
- Reduce traffic cutting through from Magnolia to I-45. Reduce ‘fringe’ developments.
- reduce Traffic.
- reduce Traffic.
- reduce Traffic. EXPAND trolley system to village centers.
- Relieve traffic Congestion in town center.
- Remove tolls from on ramps that have been paid for. Better mosquito controls road & mobility.
- Safer Intersections, mobility.
- Safety on roads with congestion. Crime to be a major focus.
- seek ways to Manage traffic with Growth w/ out altering the Forest look.
- Shuttle to airport.
- Sidewalk.
- small transport Bus to help parking congestion.
- smart traffic lights and Better traffic enforcement.
- Stop drive the large amount of drive through on Research and Woodlands Parkway. Prevent additional roads from connecting into these roads.
- Stop drive-overs and routing traffic into Woodlands Parkway, HWY 242, Gosling. Establish alternate routes moving outward from what we consider The Woodlands. Traffic congestion and mass is ruining the ambience of the natural beauty and quality of life.
- Stop extending roads make Pathways Safer & roadways stay a township.
- Stop widening roads.
Stop Woodlands PARKWAY extension.
street signs- more benches in the parks.
Streets need less congestion
Tackle the congested roads  Bus service to and from the airport
the public trolleys into the villages to transport people into center of town/ work areas/ shopping areas.
The railroad needs to stay maintained; HOA needs to communicate to railroad to keep tracks smooth; kills my alignment & suspension.
Traffic
traffic & street lights.
Traffic at 5:00 pm weekdays. Transportation into Houston for big events clean the medians better. There are many blind spots from brush, bushes, and trees overgrown.
traffic- CODE enforcement.
traffic Congestion in the main problem due order people Also waiting to LIVE & raise families in this Great community.
Traffic congestion throughout The Woodlands; traffic light coordination on feeder roads; overpasses needed in Town Center to relieve congestion. Please stop all races beginning & ending at North Shore. Send some over to Creekside.
Traffic congestion, spend more on infrastructure than special interests (Creekside YMCA, rowing, art center, etc.).
traffic congestion.
traffic control & speeder control.
traffic control- more police patrols- control RDRC to PETTY.
traffic control.
traffic management- cost management, integrity is local & County government.
traffic mgmt.
traffic mobility and stoplight coordination.
traffic on roads.
traffic, improved senior housing.
traffic, now and in the future.
traffic, overbuilding.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
Traffic.
traffic congestion on 242, 1488, and research. For the townhouses offer or include maintenance on front portion of yard in HOA.
various modes of transportation throughout the Woodlands. improved traffic flow and congestion.
watch how we make it so easy to Get in and out of the Woodlands through so many outlets.
we really need to solve the traffic issues & need to Stop Building more strip malls.
Work with other entities to complete the road behind Sam’s (David Vetter) to Hwy 242- this would help with the ever-increasing traffic congestion.
Y’all need to do something about AM & PM traffic at 242. If you can, I don’t know.

CONTROL/SLOW DOWN GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

1) No more high-rise buildings in Woodlands proper. 2) No more business/ big store complexes on empty land, such as the developments between Egypt lane and branch crossing on Research forest & lake Woodlands and around mill.
as best as possible, prevent interior growth.
back off on Attracting major employers and unrestrained population growth.
balance of commercial Development w/ traffic Impact.
be Careful not to over commercialize.
before you Keep Building and growing plan to Improve the Congestion in the streets.
Better coordinated growth; improving flood prevention.
Better planning on new developed areas, avenues are always behind trying to catch up.

Careful consideration of commercial centers. Large franchises seem to have too much power making it difficult to sustain smaller, local business.

CEASE growth.

Consistency in expansion/need rather than profit.

Contain encroachment.

Continue purging the high standards of residential housing and commercial businesses.

Continue to strategically add amenities; coordinated effort to provide adolescent mental health facilities and treatment options.

Control & limit growth. Maintain Mitchell’s vision as much as still possible.

Control influence of Real Estate companies. Eliminate unsafe & aggressive drivers.

Control overpopulation growth.

Control rental homes. In my Cul De Sac alone 5 out of 10 a rental.

Controlled growth.

Curtail growth -- it’s feeling a lot more crowded and ‘citified’ compared to the more relaxed, wooded area that I moved into 10 years ago (into an existing neighborhood that now has lots of traffic running by it).

Curtail office and commercial growth, as the quality of residential life cannot endure more traffic.

Curtail over building.

Cut back on home building.

Decrease the building. Save the trees & open spaces.

Do not overbuild & allow businesses/home owners to cut down so many trees. It’s why we moved here. Don’t want it to be overcrowded.

Don’t commercially develop every square foot. No more housing density.

Don’t just develop for the sake of developing. Have all our commercial buildings occupied before destroying more of the trees and making room for more malls or stores.

Focus on more deeply maintaining The Woodlands, instead of continuous growth.

Freeze all future development. No more than 4 stories buildings.

Freeze population growth.

Further development really needs to stop. We don’t need any more stores or restaurants. Signs have been allowed to go up that are not aesthetically pleasing. Our once lovely community has become too dense. Also, all areas need to be maintained...such as trimming of bushes and trees along parkways and streets.

Going crazy on building, retail & restaurants. And now seeing restaurants closing. Keep Woodlands green!

Having better plan for growth.

I keep reading that The Woodlands is nearly ‘built-out’. When will this happen? When will we stop growing and just maintain and improve what is already here? I decry the greed factor that seems to govern our development.

I think there is a delicate balance between having the amenities of a planned community and over saturating a small area. ‘Improvement’ does not mean more development, necessarily. We should plan and plan to improve, but not take away from the quiet place.

I would like to stop growing. This is not safe anymore. Traffic is crazy, we are over populated.

It’s getting too big! Losing its small town feel.

Just continue on the path of slowing growth and continuous improvement.

Keep and maintain the current condition and make improvement if needed.

Keep it from becoming part of the megalopolis.

Less construction on land and roadways. 

Less construction Y homes. Too many people.

Less construction.

Less expansion - big enough already.

Less new construction! They are tearing down all of the trees.

Less rental property.

Less super high end stores that most residents cannot afford to shop at. I don’t even go to market street anymore because it is too high end that I cannot do more than window shop. More incentive for small business. Fewer chain stores. The woodlands needs more personality and color/flavor and less pompous attitude!

Limit commercial strip centers.
limit developments that Increase Traffic.
limit further expansion in apartments and through roads to freeways.
limit Growth and density.
limit Growth, Improve infrastructure, reduce property tax as debt SHOULD be decreasing.
limit growth.
Limit the # of new businesses & houses so that it won’t become even more crowded than it is now.
limit the Growth of population, along with traffic congestion.
Limit the size to approx. What we have now!
limit to added APARTMENTS.
Limit/control growth/expansion so traffic does not get worse.
limiting shopping areas.
maintain as is/ limit over growth.
maintain current standards.
make sure Congestion is planned For especially with the new stores being Built, Lots of cars etc.
Maybe we shouldn’t grow so much anymore. Already getting too big we should maintain what we have.
No more Construction of houses or offices, population SHOULD not increase.
No more retail & restaurants which cause more traffic issues! We have the perfect variety & amount! Monitor neighborhoods for violations of residents!
Not grow anymore.
Please don’t build any more villages. Enough is enough.
Please love all areas. Keep up with Older areas.
Please Stop the commercial Development- No more apartments- Improve traffic and drainage.
Quality ones growth.
QUIT Building APARTMENTS.
QUIT growing.
QUIT growing, the Woodland is the perfect size.
reduce commercial development.
Reduce congestion, maintained service at the current level is better keep taxes low!
REIN IN the development!
Restrict commercial strip centers. reduce commercial Development adjacent to residential properties. Create more green space with trees.
Restrict Growth & put into place Better Crime prevention.
Return to a more balance vision between nature and businesses.
slow down Growth- enough population as is- George Mitchell would not be happy if he were alive.
Slow down the growth. Too much drive through traffic, Large trucks.
slow Growth/ Improve Traffic.
slow the Growth until infrastructure can handle it e.g. flooding
Stick to what made The Woodlands great; being a township where the residents were important and stop trying to become a large city. We shouldn’t have to live in a tourist trap of shopping and traffic.
Stop all the construction!!!!
Stop attracting businesses and raising rent/ empty restaurants aren’t good!
Stop Building & taking out Trees/ greenery.
Stop Building and Focus on the flooding problem.
Stop building churches, there are entirely too many churches. Seems unnecessary. Attrac a younger demographic, The Woodlands is getting very old.
Stop building high rises. Just makes traffic worse development of existing land is fine as long as you don’t go up.
Stop Building- Keep the trees.
Stop Building- money CRAZY.
Stop Building on every SQ ft. of space- it is too crowded an No LONGER peaceful.
Stop Building, Address congestion.
Stop developers from purchasing property. They do not reside here or plan to LIVE here.
Stop developing every underdeveloped tract of land- have more forests and preserves than just Rob Fleming. What happened to the wildlife we had 10 yrs. ago? Its gone. And the ponds don’t have fish anymore. Re-stocking?

Stop Development & Focus on Improving existing infrastructure.

Stop development, make it into a city and keep the green environment. Leave The Woodlands as is!

Stop expanding and growing larger- want the Woodlands to be a ‘town’ not a ‘city’. Want to keep the small township feeling.

Stop expanding and leave trees.

Stop growing!!! Make retail places affordable for restaurant retention.

Stop growing, Building houses and Building- stores, we have to Keep green Area and all the Trees and the animals need to HOUSE & place to LIVE.

Stop Growth now.

Stop Growth of apartment buildings.

Stop the growth...I thought we were nearing ‘build-out’ by now. Enforce speed limits and signals (and STOP SIGNS!).

Stop the Growth/ Save trees.

Stop the obsession with growth!! Simple compounds existing problems and creates many new ones!

Stop trying to import people from other states/countries or allow as many renters. Stop hosting marathons, ironmans, and similar. Start cracking down on complaints people submit for deed restrictions, but don’t be completely anal about some of the minor infractions. Also, please give up on hosting stuff at creekside, that is a dumpster file for people who are actually wanting to attend.

Stop with the over Development and opening roads For through Traffic.

stopping the Development- not growing anymore.

the more the Woodlands grows the more you lose the above mentioned qualities. do we have to Get bigger & bigger?

there are enough shopping areas. build more homes or recreational facilities than business.

unwind bad planning - return to the concept of the woodlands. stop reacting to the pressures of growth. ‘if you built it they will come’ was not the idea for the woodlands. the concept was a forest, a unique living environment of balance with nature, residential, businesses and schools. bad planning leads to counter reaction, which leads to over building which leads to fiscal irresponsibility. we need to become a city with central planning and enforceable control over our community.

Yes, Stop rapid Development and Stop opening up thru traffic into the Woodlands.

Preserve and Enhance Natural Areas

8 Cont.: Keep cutting down trees! Stop! Q Won’t be a future because there won’t be any water!

All are well done expect: don’t grow/ plant all oak trees, blend it with pines, cypress, red buds. We need to become our own city the sooner, the better. Invest in our school system. Get the electrical lines underground.

Attract business in College park/ Harpers landing area. East of I-45!

Better attention to streetscapes, common areas, replant trees.

Cleaner parks, Stop Building apartments, promote small businesses.

Continue reforesting common areas in Creekside Park and replanting dead trees

Continue to maintain the natural environment- Make trees a priority (replace, keep, etc.).

DECREASE PUBLICATIONS/ PAPER WASTE/ FERTILIZERS ETC. THE WOODLANDS NEEDS TO BE MORE ‘GREEN’!

Despite the Growth, Keep and maintain the natural look and beauty that has made the Woodlands so appealing.

Do not cut any trees; preserve the beauty and uniqueness of The Woodlands.

do not Cut Trees and Improve drains to avoid Get flooded.

Don’t cut down trees!

Don’t cut more trees to build new homes. Or cut wisely!!

Eliminate Clear cutting, discussions with schools and students regarding safe and respectful driving habits.

Focus on trails.

I WOULD LIKE PATHS AROUND MORE GREENBELT AREAS (IT’S GOOD NOW, BUT MORE WOULD BE NICE). SEE ALSO QUESTION 28.

It is great community but just the natural environment must be sustained more!

Keep ‘The Woods’ in the Woodlands! Every time I look there is a new sign showing an area is about to be clear cut to build something. It’s too much.
Keep focusing on Outdoor community spaces & hiking/ biking places/ paths.
Keep greenery.
Keep it clean & maintain nature.
Keep Pathways Better maintained esp. in wooded sections. Feel like fallen debris is a hazard.
Keep the green areas Green.
Keep the trees!! See fewer animals around here in Just the last Few years.
Keep yards maintained, parks common areas maintained, quaint shops clean shopping areas.
Leave more open space. Every corner does not need to be developed
Less Trees Cut down, No local Bus service, reduce crime.
Maintain and possibly add to the pathways and trees- NOT to the shopping centers and buildings!
maintain green space standards/ widen some roadways to reduce Congestion, more community presence, esp. For traffic violations.
Maintain the trees and improve safety for children when riding their bikes or walking. I wish we could have garage sales once or twice a year in our neighborhood rather than the inconvenience of having to go somewhere else.
more green areas.
more green space, especially wild spaces. Tree canopy undergrowth is as important as the Trees themselves.
more green, Less commercials, more pet friendly areas.
more natural areas. improved traffic management.
more open space- Less PINES.
more pathways.
more Proactive environmentally.
Plant more trees, decrease business tax incentives so they pay taxes just like we do in a more timely fashion, stop cutting the roads through. We don't need Magnolia & Tomball and Conroe cutting through our town.
Preserve WG Jones State Forest and create an access point from the south side so that you can walk or bike to the park instead of driving there.
Protect existing trees and plant more trees. Don’t allow any more signage with bright lights. Control speeding.
Improve drainage to prevent flooding of homes.
protect the Trees Less commercial development.
protect Trees/ Save water.
QUIT cutting down all of the Trees and natural environment. by cutting down everything you are destroying the beauty of the Woodlands, driving out the animals who LIVE there and affecting our oxygen levels. Nature is what attracted me to the area.
QUIT cutting down Trees reduce traffic Congestion open community theater.
Research forest expansion by spillway
Return to its roots when the environment was better protected.
Save more Trees from Clear cutting by developer.
Save our Trees- Keep replanting new trees.
Save the Trees and nature.
Save the Trees- more bike friendly.
Stop Clear cutting For build outs Increase green space between housing & commercial.
Stop Clear cutting, Improve traffic flow turn TW into its own city.
Stop cutting down Trees and Replace those that Must be REMOVED.
Stop cutting the Trees down and Building huge High rises. not why we moved here. red Light runners and Woodlands Pkwy speeders need Constant surveillance.
Stop destroying the natural Environment and Please Stop allowing new ways to enter the Woodlands.
Stop removing Trees and destroying remaining forest.
Stop Tree destruction & over Growth of POP.
sustainable Development/ Less herbicide in the soil.
with keeping the natural Landscape close to the Same while Improving roads etc.

Reform local government/lower taxes

1) Get rid of the ‘dead wood’ at The Woodlands township much of the leadership knows nothing & does nothing this would vastly reduce our taxes too!! 2) Stop overbuilding/ start building roads.
1) Incorporate so that the township has control over its development and will have enforcement authority.  
2) Stop cutting down trees and slower growth.  
3) Have a separate school district just for Woodlands schools (not Conroe ISD)  
4) Stop allowing cutthrough roads to be built. Too much traffic on once quiet streets  

balance services with sound fiscal responsibility to keep taxes low yet area desirable.  
become a city and keep our tax dollars  
become a city for more control.  
become a city if positive benefits exceed negative ones.  
become a city self sustained.  
Become a city!  
Becoming city - expand business tax revenue by incorporating businesses along 2978-1488.  
Better communication w/ residents - now that villager is no longer available, township needs to find a way to reach ordinary citizens.  
Better planning/ check tax increases.  
Continue to maintain our standards. Continue to keep us safe. Plan properly for incorporation - we must not be annexed by Houston.  
Continue to recruit corporations & to become its own city.  
Continue to work to incorporate to keep control of the area by its residents.  
Control tax rates, improve traffic problems, maintain George Mitchell’s goals for the Woodlands.  
Control taxes.  
Create ‘cable’ channel specifically for ‘The Woodlands’ that keeps residents updated & has interviews with those that run the association etc. It will help people keep up to date and informed.  
Cut expense to lower property taxes.  
Decouple leadership from influence of big developers. Remain true to what is best for tax payers.  
Do not incorporate!  
Economy & convenience if M.U.D taxes were within Woodlands tax.  
Faster action/ leadership from township.  
Get out from under County & thumb.  
Get rid of tea party/ politics on township board.  
I am ready to leave this area in a few years. It is a good place to raise a young family, but the high taxes for what we use makes it less desirable. Crime rate is increasing and there needs to be a larger police presence to deter this from happening. I have a disabled child who would benefit from services not offered here like job opportunities, higher level of education, transportation etc.  
I am, and others, are not able to attend board meetings unless held late night weekends or anytime Sunday. Also, members should be able to petition local gov. to put proposals to change covenant restrictions, that; is put it to a vote for the actual residents  
I favor incorporation so the Woodlands can determine its own fate and have proper authority to enforce covenants.  
I would like to see it have its own government.  
I would like to see taxes lowered. We get a lot for a money but, the taxes are higher each year.  
Improve the township employees (some) dispositions- very rude when I applied for permits; & not helpful!  
Incorporate  
Incorporate ‘now’.  
Incorporate Creekside into the programs, news, etc. Montgomery County initiatives are not entering Creekside because we are Harris County. However, we pay Woodlands fees.  
Incorporate now.  
Incorporate w/ a plan that respects the vision of the Woodlands and Focus on Improving transportation.  
INCORPORATE.  
INCORPORATE.  
INCORPORATE: take control of development.  
incorporation.  
Incorporation; build performing arts center; preserve greenery.  
Just don’t annexed & continue to improve roads & congestion.  
Keep Houston out; pest control  
Keep taxes right sized & don’t over populate.
- Less political influence by Tea Party on clearly needs of residents vs. political headlines (embarrassing).
- Lower taxes for senior.
- Lower taxes including MUD’s, timed traffic lights, more bus services, road repair for its old rough roads, more handicapped parking with public & private facilities.
- Maintain as township.
- Manage town finances aggressively but not overspending; plan for the future by saving funds/ allocate revenue towards incorporation now; budget conservatively; do not adopt common core and other progressive initiatives in the school system.
- Multicultural influence on board.
- Need to seriously reduce property taxes.
- Not to incorp. w/ Houston.
- Plan for the future- self govern!!
- Rezone postal service for Creekside resident. We are zones to Tomball, it is a long commute.
- Remain a township.
- Replace the constable.
- Residents have input on Development in Area COMMITTEE.
- Solve city incorporation matter.
- Stop spending so much of our $ so freely!
- Strongly consider/ plan smartly for incorporation.
- Upgrade access to local communication.
- Use funds wisely to maintain what we already have for Future USE.
- We need to incorporate to get more control of our destination.
- Would live for The Woodlands to delay incorporation. Let’s enjoy the system we have now unless significant funding sources discontinue by County for road construction/maintenance and/or security.

Maintain Community Character

- Anadarko towers destroyed George Mitchell’s plan on staying within height of trees.
- Appearance, Safety.
- Continuation of a community feel.
- Continue keeping it so beautiful & friendly.
- Continue vision of George Mitchell- maintain green space, sustainability goal.
- Don’t lose the neighborly feel.
- Focus more on preserving the spirit of what we’re built over the last 25 years. We moved here because of the peace & quiet and the ‘respite from the rat race’ it’s starting to feel a bit like a rat race here now- have we lost our way?
- Focus on maintaining residential Quality of life.
- Focus on Quality of life for existing residents, Stop trying to be a destination.
- Focus on residential Quality of life not commercial growth.
- Go back to the original Woodlands plan. Quit cutting down green spaces to get more residences in. Maintain the obscurity of the houses and commercial developments.
- Growing without losing quality of life. Prioritizing quality of life before business ($).
- Honor Mitchell’s vision.
- I would like The Woodlands to keep up with George Mitchell’s dream ‘a quiet and peaceful place’. He wanted it to be a small town with all amenities. too much expansion is ruining it. in bygone days everybody knew everybody. most importantly the sound breaker wall needs to come up as soon as possible because it is hindering resale value of our homes.
- I would like us to retain the small town concept as set by George Mitchell.
- Keep the aesthetic appearance!
- Keep the George Mitchell vision.
- Keep the same vibe, feel we have now. Planning for growth.
- Keep The Woodlands a very nice bedroom community for families. Don’t open up the roads so others can simply destroy our community so they can save a few minutes commuting...
- Keeping up the appearance & more cops during around to prevent crime.
- Maintain a quiet/peaceful community.
- maintain our neighborhood.
- maintain the bubble.
- Maintain the original values of Mitchell's Woodlands not that we have to conform to living in corporate environment.
- Maintain the quality of life. Do not let The Woodlands become a pass through suburb like Champions.
- maintain the visionary master plan.
- More focus on people who lived here instead of promoting commerce & business to make more money. So many visitors here residents can’t enjoy what we have.
- more peace and tranquility.
- Must maintain the appearance & reduce traffic congestion.
- Quality of life over making more money.
- retain the family and Nature atmosphere.
- Stay dedicated to George Mitchell’s vision of leaving some of nature intact. That’s what makes The Woodlands The Woodlands! It would also be nice to see The Woodlands adopt an approach of using more renewable energy and being much more forward thinking and innovative with regards to public space planning.
- Stop trying to become something that it’s not- Swanboats? Really? Arts center? Too much money.
- Sustaining the appearance and limiting sprawl.
- That old home town feeling is gone and needs to come back. events center around money making venues and not the town as a whole, as in the 4th of july parade is at the mall and not on parkway. lighting of the doves if it is even done is at the feeder road of I45, etc. etc.
- To remember why this master planned community was built. There are so many vacant buildings/strip malls yet the trees are being torn down to build more buildings. I don’t get the justification for doing this with volume of vacant building space already in existence.
- Try to maintain the 'home town' feeling. No more apartments!
- UPHOLD standards that Mitchell SET.

**IMPROVE RECREATION SERVICES, SENIOR SERVICES AND COMMUNITY EVENTS**

- An arts building for plays etc like the Alley theater
- continued Focus on park improvements, schools && recreation.
- have more events by waterway. more sidewalks bike trails in the Bayou/ green belt.
- Less events, Less obsession with Attracting visitors/ outsiders.
- Lower costs For residents to use pools- FREE Other than nominal charges For ID.
- making sure that activities do not overlap on Same day.
- more activities For seniors.
- more and varied cultural events.
- more cultural activities or events.
- more cultural activities- THEATER classical music.
- Offer community events geared toward 'empty nesters' and young, single or married w/o children adults.
- Outdoor summer activities for families that aren’t ruined by heat. Magically somehow.
- pools need facilities, esp. in Older villages. traffic stinks need more diverse, housing like East Shore would happily pay HOA fees For landscaping/ Clubhouse like East Shore more ENGLISH- speaking, permanent (full year) residents who are invested in community & vs. economy.
- pools open more time (during the school year ) For the public.
- Really enjoyed the boats! Please bring them back!
- Some more cultural facilities
- the swimming pools could be more accessible, i.e. LONGER season has.
- Would love an indoor facility for children to use during the winter and rainy months

**ENCOURAGE MORE LOCAL BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND AFFORDABILITY**

- 1) More commercial, business growth. 2) Address transient nature of residents.
- Add more new business.
- being more diverse in restaurants & Also using the spaces that are currently built. strip mall with the new AMC theatre is an example.
- Better mix a low & middle housing options. Gotten very expensive.
- Better quality restaurants that are unique in concept and not part of a chain.
- Better variety of healthy restaurants.
- bring in more entertainment venues
- Come full circle- update and maintain Grogan’s Mill Village.
- cost of living, rents.
- EXPAND more into land in Magnolia.
- Get a museum, more art in the Woodlands.
- Grow lifestyle amenities. (eg. like Market Sq., Watermark Hughes Landing, etc.).
- High end shopping- Why so to Calvin [?].
- I would love if The Woodlands were made more attractive to local businesses, such as unique restaurants and bars. Hop Scholar on Rayford, B-52 in Conroe, Hubbell & Hudson, and Fieldings are great examples of locally-owned businesses that are successful and their communities can be proud of. These establishments attract people from outside of their communities to visit. And, it is important to note that the aforementioned establishments retain staff. There is little turn-over.
- If it’s true that The Woodlands gets a % of sales from small businesses & restaurants, should consider the % & reducing it so these businesses do not need to leave The Woodlands. Rental prices for longtime shop-owners can stay.
- Less chains and more Mom & pop restaurants. Also, we need a luxury High rise Condo w/ concierge and gym where people could buy, not rent.
- Less Crime, more restaurants, Better lights (traffic) Less speeding.
- make Rent For businesses more market.
- more business
- more business, restaurants in Creekside park.
- more cultural life. there are No museums, Few art galleries. Also, more boutique stores and restaurants. most are chains today.
- more culture- THEATER, Dance, LIVE music. more places For kids to hang out interesting INDEPENDENT shops-books, music.
- more diverse food options. Restrict door to door solicitation.
- More family/chef owned restaurants with not so many chains
- more INDEPENDENT restaurants vs. chains though I See this difficult in higher Rent areas of the Woodlands.
- More mom and pop shops, one-off places to eat. Reduce focus on chain restaurants.
- More restaurants (not chains).
- more restaurants and malls.
- more restaurants in Creekside on Kuykendahl.
- more street rundown restaurants, shop in back of Woodlands.
- more thriving small business retailers.
- more town homes.
- need more restaurants in back of the Woodlands.
- Need new restaurants & new business in and around Sterling Ridge!
- New second outlet at Grogan’s Point Rd.
- See side notes I wish the township could ‘control rent’ or something to encourage small business owners to be able to afford to do business here. The super fancy chain is not always [?] pick.
- Supporting local artist and designers Less chains/ more original and aesthetic.
- Updating the mall and stores inside.
- White collar businesses, Congestion is a serious issue to resolve.
- with all the amazing artists in this Area, a FINE arts/ outsider art museum could be a goal For our future.

**Focus on infrastructure improvements/code enforcement**

- 1) Giving homeowner more say in what they meant to improve with their our homes. 2) Better community security.
- Better enforcement of covenants, etc., esp. in Older villages in Panther Creek.
- Better enforcement of Deeds Restrictions, covenants Better all or food view and respectful people of our community.
- Better median, pathway, streetscape, etc., maintenance, previous company seemed to do a Better job.
- build a central library!Four times the of existing branch, and Better Located in the residential part of the Woodlands.
• clean up the near areas.
• cleanliness, Tree trimming, Congestion during events/ Traffic.
• Concert venue needs to have volume restricted. Rattles my windows several miles from venue.
• Continue to update infrastructure to sustain growth. Covenant enforcement needs to focus on things that matter. For instance, we replaced rotten boards on our fence. We are keeping our house looking nice and get a notice that we didn’t fill out paperwork while other houses on our street have yards that are not maintained, etc.
• Cut back on Deed Restriction personnel. These peeps have too much time on their hands.
• Encourage renovation of Older homes & neighborhoods.
• ENFORCE APPEARANCE COVENANTS!
• enforce covenant & Deed restrictions.
• enforce covenants & Restrictions, Congestion especially from OUTSIDE the Woodlands.
• Enforce deed restrictions of businesses!
• enforce Deed restrictions.
• Enforce Deed restrictions.
• Enforce deed restrictions.
• enforce exterior residential lighting covenants.
• Enforce service companies (A & Lt, Xrinity, etc) to leave the work areas as they found them, they are destroying the common area!
• Enforcing deed restrictions and maintenance of single family dwellings by the owners/tenants.
• Enforcing the Deed Restrictions- reasons we bought here.
• Enforcing zoning & maintenance of property. traffic flow.
• Entrances to neighborhoods not maintained as good as it was in the past.
• Fix Older areas, original Development that are deteriorating.
• Give access to external utility providers: for gas, electricity and internet for residents
• HOA needs to Get under control.
• homes kept up.
• I would like Home Owners Association to go away or if they are going to complain about something they should look into the circumstances, for example, I was very ill. My lawn wasn’t done. They could have offered to help me.
• Improve older neighborhoods: improve neighborhood shopping centers, improve old school buildings to bring them up to the standard of newer neighborhoods. Kids need natural light!!!
• Improve the Water Districts.
• in the last year the parks and path and median are not maintained as well as They used to be.
• Increase maintenance with regard to aesthetics. Often there are light bulbs out, garbage found on medians and pathways, landscaping and tree maintenance could be done more often. Very strict adherence to covenants and deed restrictions.
• increased enforcement of covenants.
• Investment in infrastructure. Restrict growth.
• Keep roads, medians, ditches, flyovers, yards, Rec. facilities clean!!!!
• Less focus on which direction people’s fences face.
• maintain all medians, explore HOA rules, maintain the beauty of the Woodlands. Stop chopping down forests to build more houses and duplexes.
• maintain Better our covenants, parks and woods.
• maintain public spaces more frequently.
• Make more of an effort to make ALL of The Woodlands beautiful not just the expensive neighborhoods.
• Make sure that older parts of The Woodland don’t slide into disrepair bringing down the quality of The Woodlands as a whole.
• Mark with pavilion to consistently keep sounds to level of Houston symphony when it plays. if this is not possible, stop concerts at 10:00 so we working people can get rest.
• more frequent cutting & manicuring of Trees & shrubs. reduce traffic congestion.
• more infrastructure.
• More strictly uphold and enforce Residential Covenants and Restrictions. Aging homes need proper maintenance to keep property values high.
New landscape @ restaurant row & some areas along the boardwalk. Collect floating garbage in the lakes! Cigarette butt collectors! Fix the broken white chair by the lake! It’s [?] months.
noise abatement late at night (after 6:10) from pavilion.
Obey the rules & covenants deed restrictions, less traffic, do not let the cut thru of Woodlands Parkway, if you do, say goodbye to peace and a nice place to live!
Pass an enforceable noise ordinance. UPDATE THE WOODLANDS HIGH SCHOOL. I know that is ConroeISD, but the school is becoming very run down and it may affect home value - people preferring newer schools
planning Growth with regard to water (flood) drainage.
Please monitor homes, trash cans, please improve May Valley Drive, people drive too fast - Its like a highway cutting across 2978 to Greenmoor Drive- Very dangerous- I have talked to the township & sheriff.
Recycling and managing Trash better. Prohibit plastic bag use in the Woodlands. cell phone use while driving.
Reduce noise pollution on Research forest!
Stop allowing HOA to let me paint my own door or Other issues, Focus on big problem homes.
stores to stay open later than 9:30 p.m.
Street sweepers in the neighborhood Grogan’s Mill South height Oak’s.
take care of our facilities.
The shopping areas of target @ best buy need trees & some plants.
the water system- so you can drink the water.
to let the stores stay open later.
Trash cleanup along the drainage areas & wild space.
Ways to make sure that noise from traffic could be minimized. I realize that might not be possible, but if there are ways to do it. We should try.
work on drainage issues.
would like Garbage collection to have occasional bulk/debris pick up.
Other

- ‘I HATE THE WOODLANDS!!!’ PLACE BASKETBALL FACILITY IN FENCED IN AREA. I DON’T MIND NOISE, BUT YOU STOLE MY FRONT LAWN, ANY TIME A NEIGHBOR DECIDES TO USE IT. THEY CAN HAVE 3 BASKETBALLS AT A TIME & LAUGH AT ME EVERY TIME I RAN OUT & YELLED AT THEM.
- all above mentioned.
- allow Chickens in back yard.
- Attract more youngsters to The Woodlands- to their perception ‘There is no life in The Woodlands’
- Attracting mine/ diverse jobs to the area.
- Build a high school for the creekside area.
- CISD needs to pay more attention to the original schools in the heart of The Woodlands. Figure out ways to prevent crime that is increasing. Continue to attract businesses for jobs so that our homes hold value. Incorporate so we have control over our community.
- do not have gay Pride event.
- DON’T BUILD SCHOOLS ON MAIN ROADS, SCHOOL PICK UP AND DROP OFF NEED TO BE DESIGNED BETTER.
- EXPAND the acre age it hour.
- find a way to teach kids manners and respect in schools.
- Fix flooding issues around Hospitals.
- flood control.
- flood control.
- Get a High school for Creekside Park  Make it safer  Fast bike lanes
- Get rid of Howard Hughes Corporation.
- I have heard that the middle & High schools are not Great & need improvement in educational quality. more restaurants would be Great as well.
- I would like to See the Woodlands become more diverse today it is perceived as Christian and conservative I would like to See it more accepting both in word & Deed of Other cultures, lifestyles, religions, ideologies.
- I’d like to see more options for elementary students in CISD- STEM schools, language immersion, etc.
- Just Stop flooding issues.
- Keep the services, programs, and things that are currently available, available.
- Listen to the people who aren’t living in million dollars homes - The Woodlands was built to be affordable homes but now those neighborhoods are ignored and neglected. Let’s me ALL of the Woodlands beautiful not just the expensive neighborhoods.
- make Future residents take psychological testing.
- make sure schools stay High Quality and are not overcrowded. make sure needs of students who Come into schools with Poor ENGLISH skills are serviced in a way that does not take away resources from the ENGLISH speaking student body.
- make sure to pick a good Landscape company that actually do the job They are suppose to.
- Massive church signage should be prohibited, like for businesses; they impede view of natural surroundings. Biannual garage sale should be free to participate if we can’t hold our own. Hugely inconvenient to lug possessions across town for selling and it
- Mental health awareness & support to offset stresses early to prevent future problems for community possible community services- programs in which families or individuals could ‘earn’ access to activities, pools, programs.
- need a High school in Creekside.
- plans For flood control.
- Proactive flood control.
- Protect answers to #10 above.
- public Elementary schools with Pre K programs.
- Pull back Woodlands, ‘Gestapo’.
- reach out to the Poor people in Conroe & Spring.
- really way to find the stores along the Parkway.
- Recruit Talented Teachers & Increase Salaries/Benefits for Teachers
- reduce the number of random solicitors that APPROACH residential front doors. some are casing out place and return to break in.
schools transform from rigidity to encouraging creativity. the Woodlands is becoming a leader in sustainable growth.

See # 6 & 8.

See #6.

See #8.

See above notes.

SEE ITEM 6 ANSWER.

Sign age For businesses are sometimes hidden by Trees or shrubs.

some neighborhood are Better maintained than others. SHOULD be equal attention. Also, covenants/ Deed Restrictions are not upheld. one of the worst trends is the increasing number of parking spaces taken up by valet SERVICES. the mall is one of worst at this.

Stop the divisiveness that has entered our community in the last 8-9 years. It is destroying us.

to proactively protect our Area from Future flooding. Mistakes were made in the design of Timarron lakes that need to be corrected immediately.

with so many Trees, its very difficult to find things- shopping centers etc., may be have more signs.

would like residential garage sales in at least flea markets once a month.

NOTHING/KEEP THINGS THE SAME

do what you are doing.

Don’t change please! See #6.

I like it like it IS.

I like the way it IS.

I think The Woodlands is doing an excellent job. The schools are incredible, the people are excellent, and the environment is incredibly designed and taken care of. We are thrilled to live here.

Keep it like it is!

Keep it that way!

Keep up the good work.

Looks like improvements are ongoing- which is positive.

Mostly Keep what we have. we love the feeling of being close to nature.

No specific suggestion.

VERY SATISFIED WITH THE WOODLANDS. JUST DON’T CHANGE.

we Just moved from DFW- love the natural beauty & access to nature.

DON’T KNOW

Don’t know

Don’t know.

Don't know.

Don't know.

Don't know.

not sure.

not sure.

Undecided.
QUESTION 12 PART 2: WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED YOUR ANSWER? (PREVIOUS QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE HOW SAFE OR UNSAFE YOU FEEL IN THE WOODLANDS AS COMPARED TO HOW YOU FELT TWO YEARS AGO?)

CRIME LEVELS SEEM STABLE

- Although I hear about more incidents on apps like Facebook and NextDoor, I feel like the level of safety hasn't worsened.
- Crime appears to be level in The Woodlands.
- Don't detect any difference.
- Haven't noticed a difference.
- Haven't seen a decrease in criminal activity.
- Haven't seen rise or fall of crime.
- Haven't seen/heard of any increase.
- Hear about moderate level of issues—same as before.
- I can't see any differences.
- I did not see any change, improvements.
- I didn't see anything change.
- I do not believe crime has gone up in 2 years.
- I don't think much has changed. Please note that I don't feel especially safe...
- I have not noticed a change in anything.
- I just don't see any change.
- I see no difference.
- I'm not aware of much change from two years ago but I may be uninformed.
- I'm not aware of much change over past two years.
- It's the same.
- Level of crime seems about same.
- No apparent increases in crime.
- No change noticed
- No change.
- No changes around the area.
- NO CHANGES NOTICED.
- No evidence of change.
- No extra disturbances.
- No more crime or change from 2 years ago by us.
- No new bank robberies, but then again maybe it just goes unpublicized, i.e. kept quiet.
- No perceived increase or decrease in personal safety always have felt safe in general.
- No perceptible change.
- No perceptible difference.
- Not much has changed, but in same areas, due to influx, it is less safe.
- Not much has changed.
- NOT NOTICED THAT ANYTHING HAS CHANGED?
- Not to much change for us
- Nothing of note has change.
- No changes in last 2 years.
- Our neighborhood has not changed much in 2 yrs.
- Safety hasn't changed for me. Still a very safe place to live.
- Same experience no incidents.
- We have expressions no change to our safety, the area is very safe.
- We haven't seen any significant changes.
I’VE HEARD ABOUT OR EXPERIENCED CRIME RECENTLY

- 2 home robberies on my street! Treasure cove Dr. Bank & parking lot robberies. Muggings on bike paths.
- A crime at the forum- I.D.
- A little more crime has been reported.
- A lot more crime than 2 yrs ago.
- Almost being hit & killed (T-Boned ) by a red light runner on Lake Woodlands & Gosling. They never even slowed down!
- Amount of crime reported in local public.
- Armed men invaded a house in our neighborhood recently.
- Attacks on women walking the pathways, cars and trucks broken into, banks robbed.
- Auto & burglary.
- Auto theft, burglaries, joggers attacked on pathways.
- Break into vehicles.
- Break-ins in my neighborhood.
- Break-ins of cars & houses.
- Break-ins to cars, stories of crime at North Shore park.
- Burglaries happened in my neighborhood.
- Burglaries in our area 2010, more recently.
- Car break ins - in mall parking lots
- Car break ins currently in neighborhood.
- Car broken into- theft of purse.
- Car jacking’s, bank robberies, rapes, unsolicited people at door, people stealing packages home break-ins, car break-ins at people’s homes, seeing cars & people that I suspect are canvassing our neighborhoods.
- Car theft. Burglary.
- Crime
- Crime
- CRIME & PATHWAY ASSAULTS.
- Crime (burglary) has increased on our street.
- Crime car break ins, household break ins have increased.
- Crime going up.
- Crime has increase at bank, malls & homes.
- crime in my area
- Crime in the area- recent home invasion & drug house in Timarron.
- Crime is increasing
- Crime is increasing/ drug trade increasing.
- Crime is up.
- Crime level.
- Crime near shopping centers.
- Crime occurring near us all the time.
- Crime rates.
- Crime rising
- Crime seems to be fanning out to this area. There seem to be an uptick in theft around shopping areas.
- Crime stats- too many visitors.
- Crime that has been happening.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- Crime.
- CRIME. TWO BURGLARIES OF NEXT DOOR NEIGHBORS.
The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey

- Crimes rate has gone up.
- Crimes rate up. Resident robberies one up.
- Drugs use in area schools.
- Elevated crime stats
- Evidence of crime.
- Frequency of crime reports.
- Gangs/crime/coming into The Woodlands.
- Growth of crime
- Gun shootings in the back of neighborhoods, fireworks, traffic and cops not responding.
- Had my car broken into at my home.
- Have seen more crime as we have grown.
- Hear of more crime.
- heard more crimes in the news and from friends in the Woodlands
- Hearing more, robbery in my neighborhood.
- Hearing of incidents; most likes from increase population & now, from I-99.
- High burglary rate.
- Home breakins.
- Home invasions- rapes- attempted break-ins.
- Hurricanes, harasses of those on bikes.
- I am concerned about the safety of I-45.
- I am reading more about crime and traffic accidents in our area. As we grow I think it is a by product of it. Stop signs in The Woodlands are a suggestion. They are seen as a Yield sign. Texting and driving is unbelievable.
- I have been hacked recently with my credit card at local bank drive up ATM's. You have to be careful at the mall with your teens and pre teens for human trafficking. Drugs are huge here with cartels coming in from Mexico and just he affluence. We're so close to the border, we have gangs and more illegals coming in than ever before.
- I have heard about some robberies and assaults on people and cars.
- I hear a lot of a parts now about attackers on the paths.
- I hear many more robberies around.
- I hear more about petty crime on Next Door, but not sure it has increased significantly.
- I still feel safe, just concerned that any increasing crime levels within the broader region do not come into The Woodlands.
- I still worry about children/ and package thieves.
- I think we are more aware of the issues, its not less safe. Being aware is good.
- I'm noticing theft, littering, and bad driving.
- In general, I feel everywhere seems less safe.
- Incident rate increasing; more incidents occurring in residential neighborhoods, with no feedback to residents on arrests.
- Increase crime rate.
- Increase in crime rate and blatant disregard for traffic laws. E.g. 3 car injury accident just outside my backyard fence on interfaith Dr. yesterday.
- Increase in crime.
- Increase in crime.
- Increase in crime; homeless people surfacing.
- Increase in criminal activity due to increased population.
- Increase in reported crime.
- Increase in reported home crimes.
- Increase of excessive speed on roadway.
- Increased crime (or perhaps I'm more aware now that I am block captain)!
- Increased crime reports.
- Increased crime.
- increased neighborhood crime
- Increased number of car break-ins at night. Reading about more crime events.

Report of Results 163
Increased reports of kidnapping/ attempts as well as breakins to offices/ stores/ cars.
Issues that have happened in the Woodlands.
I’ve seen vandalism of neighborhood signs in Sterling Ridge.
Just the amount of crimes overall.
Knowledge of increased crime activity.
Latest events
Level of crime increased- (i.e.- Denny’s?) & lack of communication about these crimes.
Local crimes within the last 3 weeks.
More (small) crime
More break-ins in local businesses.
More break-ins in our area.
More break-ins lately that it used to be.
More crime
More crime and robberies have been reported.
More crime appears to be on the increase.
More crime- easier/ quick entry & exit available in Woodland than before.
More crime in my neighborhood.
more crime in nice neighborhoods; town becoming transient in nature with many not from the area
More crime is being reported.
More crime reported.
More crime- The Cartel has moved into Carlton Woods, along w/ their workers. They live in more modest areas. Cartel laundering money in businesses in Woodlands.
More crime!
More crime, break-ins, less police around.
More crime, not enough police presence, too much uncontrolled traffic.
More crime, robberies, car & home break-ins.
More crime, too much development right outside the woodlands attracting crime.
More crime.
More crime.
More crime.
More crime. More occurrences of women/children being followed around in stores. Heavily wooded pathways for joggers do not feel as safe.
More crimes in my area, easier & faster access for criminals with our ever-widening main roads.
More pathway attacks/ assaults, home invasions, increased solicitation.
More recent frequency in car break-ins.
More reports.
More robberies or deactivate.
More traffic crime.
More traffic-more accidents
Much less safe, more crime.
Multiple vehicle break-ins.
My apartments complex experiencing motorcycle stolen inside the parking area.
My best friend’s house get balanced.
My car was broken into in our driveway & eght.
MY DAUGHTER ALMOST GOT KIDNAPPED.
My garage robbed, neighbor’s home robbed. (However, I believe police response in both cases was excellent. Our thief was apprehended).
My increased awareness of the criminal incidents occurring in the neighborhood.
Nationwide increase in violence & shooting & police tension, decrease in general human decency.
Neighborhood teens caused more disturbances that followed with a call to local authorities and placement of outdoor monitoring system. More violent crimes in the woodlands- gunshots, murder, and drug usage.
News, word of mouth, events.
No crimes committed in my neighborhood or surrounding area, to my knowledge.
No immediate concerns but certainly aware of reports of burglaries (home, car).
Number of break-ins to homes and cars with no deterring them.
Obvious casing of houses; more traffic.
Our house was broken into almost three years ago. As a result, our feeling of safety decreased. And ever since we have lived here, the speed of cars on Crystal Lake Ln have presented a lot of dangerous situations - i.e., wrecks, cars in ours and neighbors' yards.
Parking lots- home break-ins.
People breaking into cars.
Petty theft of property. My belief is it is outside the community who come into the Woodlands......
Property stolen from home.
Rapes in apartments near me.
reading about the types of incidents reported and the crime rate, overall much lower than in other places. Law enforcement is service oriented. What has changed over the years is the reporting.
Recent crimes in our area.
Recent crimes in Timarron.
Recent crimes: McDonald's robbery, walkers and joggers getting mugged, human body pulled out of Lakeside Park creek, car break-ins, vandalism, increase in rental properties.
Recent family robbed in Timarron park area of Creekside- shocking!
Recent home invasion around our neighborhood.
Recent home invasion.
Recent home invasions in our area.
Recent reports of abductions at The Woodlands mall and jogging trails.
Reported burglaries.
Reports of increase crime rate.
reports of serious crimes in the past 6 years
Rise in crimes reported. Easier access to subdivisions.
Robberies, traffic accidents.
ROBBERIES.
Rumors that crime statistics are hidden! Especially personal attack on pathways!
Seeing crime all around.
Seems to be more crime happening in area.
Shop lifting & the mounting of horse backed into fleeing law & order.
Some amount of crime.
Some robberies- not too many.
Still slight increase about being near Freeway & Houston population.
The amount of speeding and near misses in the community is like nothing I have witnessed in my entire life.
The amount of traffic violations I see on a daily basis, entitled drivers, the fact that our house was broken into during the morning hours, etc.
The crime and people you have to be Leary of, always watching who/ what's around you.
The crimes reports based on the last years showing increase in crimes and the over development is not helping it.
The house next door to ours was broken into a year ago.
Theft at tennis courts during day. People driving through neighborhood. High publicity crimes (robberies) that have occurred.
There are still daytime robbery all over.
There is density more crime since opening up The Woodland to 1488 and 2978.
There is more vandalism and more traffic accidents than there were.
There was a home invasion in Timarron just 2 wks. ago.
There was a serious incident close to my house of a car jacking, but I believe that is part of being in a growing suburban community. I believe there are more police driving through my community and things like NNO really help to foster that sense of community, being a village and watching out for your neighbor.
Thieves have been breaking car windows to get into cars. Criminals seem to know that The Woodlands is a soft target.
Too much crime.
- Traffic running red lights make me fearful every time at intersection.
- Vandalism in East Shore.
- Vandalism, no cameras in apt. complex, stealing.
- Violet gun crime, crime in my neighborhood (home invasion).
- We were robbed.
- with crime increasing in Houston we are are facing the brunt too.

**Population increase/development has led to more or similar amount of crime**

- A lot of people that don’t belong filtering into the Woodlands.
- After Hurricane Harvey many residents began moving North and into The Woodlands. After Harvey I noticed an uptake in criminal activity/happenings around The Woodlands. It was mostly petty crimes, but it was a noticeable increase.
- Again we get new people all the time @ the house near us. Too many strangers.
- AMOUNT OF CARS NOT FOLLOWING DIRECTIONS, SPEED LIMITS, ETC.
- Amount of growth, traffic, lack of traffic enforcement.
- Amount of over population.
- Congestion has increased, I feel like trails aren’t as safe.
- Continuous grow of residents
- Cut through roads have created more traffic and more people to the area.
- Different groups have access.
- due to growth
- Due to Harvey flooding there are many rentals into which questionable people have moved (home invasion on N. Heritage Hill). This is due to the fact that house flooded during Harvey cannot be sold.
- Easier access to The Woodlands is not a good thing!!
- Growth has increased by not a STG increase in crime.
- Growth.
- Higher level of traffic.
- I’m afraid to let my kids go by themselves to parks because of traffic and cars speeding and people using phones.
- in our neighborhood cars and trucks are being allowed to park out overnight in driveways and streets. now we have increasing crime / break ins. yet even as this is occurring more cars and trucks are allowed to park outside overnight
- Increase in transient residents due to more homes becoming rental property.
- Increased solicitation.
- Increased through traffic/ speeding- esp. on Lake Woodlands & Woodlands Pkwy. E- Panther Creek.
- INCREASED TRAFFIC, INCREASE PASS THRU TRAFFIC.
- Increased traffic/ drugs/ more ways in & out.
- Just overall growth of this area. Need to eliminate gangs.
- Large population- brings in more crime.
- Less neighbor interaction, increased congestion- People become less courteous- At the same time The Woodlands attracts crime from surrounding areas.
- Location brazeness of peeps.
- Many solicitors.
- More apartments in the surrounding area. More crime.
- More apartments more riff raff.
- More business, more crime- more officers, less patrolling.
- More congestion- outside influences.
- More congestion.
- More development more people, accessibility with completion of Grand Pkwy/ 99.
- More outside traffic coming in from Research and Woodlands parkway allows easy hit and run crime
- More people = more crime; I never see any Police patrolling in my area :( More people in and out of The Woodlands and more people around without a vested interest. More apartments everywhere!
- More people in the area.
- More people living around, no police patrol.
More people - more crime.
More people, crazier drivers.
More people, more issues but its just relationship to growth.
More people, more traffic.
More through traffic leads to easier access for crime.
More traffic going through our neighborhood.
More traffic has easy access via Research & Kuykendall & Woodlands Parkway.
More traffic in my neighborhood
More traffic, more apartments.
More traffic, more people.
More weird people at the supermarket.
Much more traffic; people concentrating on phone more than road.
Neighborhood construction progressed so more neighbors around.
Numerous large apartment completes built along 2978 & 1488 have introduced a transient population as well as crime associated with that.
Obsession with growth.
Opening Kuykendahl, research and parkway has made us a larger growth on 1488's.
Overcrowding.
People here now.
People presence not frequently needed.
People wandering.
POPULATION DENSITY.
Population growth.
Population - growth.
Since Harvey more homeless mentally are living in the streets here.
Solicitors constantly casing our neighborhood for burglary rings!
Solicitors.
The opening of roads to busier highways.
The Wdlds. is growing quickly - more thefts & robberies & drugs in news.
There seems to be a lot of new people in the area that don’t understand the rules/laws of The Woodlands. Feels like there is more crime.
Too many more people here.
Too many new people/ renters come & go fast.
Too many people coming into the community to work.
Too many people moving to The Woodlands. Shopping mall parking lots are targets for crime.
Too many threw roads, too many people.
Too much cut through traffic - speeding is making navigating the Woodlands crazy.
Too much development more people leads to less control avenues being extended, bringing people from other areas.
Too much outside traffic causing problems.
Too much people in The Woodlands condition of roads and driveways is poor.
Too much people; many outsiders.
Too much road open around.
Too much thru traffic. Has grown too much.
Too much traffic
Too much traffic going thru.
Traffic from so many businesses (Hughes Landing), robberies.
Traffic.
Traffic.
WALMART & APARTMENTS.
We seem to have an issue with tenants in this area (Creekside).
X - Outsiders cutting through- apartments. Y - Limit the leasing of houses.
**Police have done a good job / I notice more police presence**

- Appearance of law enforcement on the streets
- Cops & lightning.
- Cops around, people movement in area.
- Enjoy seeing officers patrol neighborhoods.
- Good police.
- Good response times for emergency services.
- Great law enforcement presence.
- How often we see law enforcement and how friendly they are.
- I am seeing a greater presence of 4th precinct constables.
- I appreciate seeing the officers patrol our neighborhood. I have called the non emergent line and the deputies respond to my concern.
- I like having our neighborhood patrolled by law enforcement.
- I like the visible presence of sheriff- constables.
- I see an adequate presence of law enforcement patrolling the areas I frequent. I also think doorbell cameras have helped law enforcement do their job.
- I see police in the area but also hear about crime.
- I see police patrols regularly. Have not had any incidents in my home or neighborhood. Feel like my teenage children can be out safely with friends.
- I think law enforcement is great.
- I think the police do a great job but it feels like crime has increased in frequency and magnitude.
- I walk The Woodlands trails and have seen our law enforcement presence.
- Increase in law enforcement presence and also the addition of better security technology that has become much more affordable for all home owners.
- Increased capabilities to contact local law-enforcement regarding non-emergency matters.
- Increased law enforcement.
- Increased visibility of law enforcement in all areas.
- Larger police force.
- Law enforcement in doing a good job, keeping public informed.
- Like the vacation watch by Sheriff department.
- MORE INFORMED ON LAW ENFORCEMENT IN GENERAL.
- More law enforcement presence.
- More law presence but all in all more needs to improve.
- More obvious law enforcement presence.
- More police officers are visible on streets, along highways; crime reporting- police appear to be doing their best to prevent & respond wall.
- more presence of Sheriffs
- More visible police presence.
- My wife and I walk late in the evenings/ always see Rangers.
- Notice area patrolled more frequently.
- Our police is always on the move and get to an emg. Fast, thank god.
- Patrols.
- Police actions.
- Police are very present and noticeable which is great.
- Police force
- Police in area.
- Police on watch.
- Police presence and enforcement
- Police presence- especially mounted police.
- police presense
- Police visibility.
- Police visibility.
Policing has increased but so has number of outsiders/population.
Presence of law enforcement officer.
Presence of patrols, horse patrols, communication from neighborhood watch.
Presence of police.
PRIVATE SECURITY PATROL IN EAST SHORE & IN ADDITION SHERIFF'S DEPT. MAKES WEEKLY VISITS THROUGH THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD.
Quick response & effective arrests.
Respond quickly when called.
Response time has improved even w/ false alarms.
Response time of our police when there is a problem. We have polite, nice officers.
RETIRED LAW ENFORCEMENT
Same level of policing.
Seeing frequent patrolling.
Sheriff vehicles are very visible and patrol often neighborhood watches are organized.
Vacation watch program & seeing regular patrol cars in the neighborhood.
Very grateful for increased police since we moved here over 13 years ago. Love seeing them around town. Would love to see them walking around too & chatting with people.
Visibility of law enforcement/neighbor involvement.
Visibility of police & fire trucks & personnel.
Visible police presence.
VISIBLE PRESENCE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT/CRIME STATISTICS.
Visual presence of police patrol, gated community.

CRIMINALS ARE COMING IN FROM SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES
I still see car from outside drive around the neighborhood.
Local events have less local people & more visitor from other areas.
May non-Woodlands resident using 2978 & Greenmoor Drive. Many non-residents looking for trash outside to collect before garbage day!
Nonresidents being dropped off in the area to peddle goods. Vehicles driving up and down the streets to peddle their services or whatever.
THE OPENING OF KUYKENDALL AND RESEARCH INCREases NON RESIDENTS TO THE AREA. TRAFFIC IS BAD WHERE KIDS GO TO SCHOOL.
Too many illegals at local ‘y’ one man told me there’s 5 of us to one of you. Your all on drugs & this is our country now. He was from Guatemala.
Too many outsiders committing crimes.
Many outsiders have come to la-la land due to easy targets for crime.

NOT ENOUGH POLICE PRESENCE
Appears to have less police presence/crime not reported openly.
Don’t see any Sheriff cars in our area.
I don’t see patrol vehicles by where I live.
I NEVER see sheriff patrols in my neighborhood - and I work from home so I am here all the time. I hear first hand stories about car break ins that happen in people’s driveways at night. I hear stories from other neighbors about scary things at some of the parks.
I never seen police.
I’d like to see more visibility of police in the neighborhood- Driving with windows down.
Lack of officers patrolling the streets.
Lack of police surveillance.
Less police presence in the community.
No police cars patrolling our street.
No police present.
Police aren’t seen around as much.
Traffic enforcement has not sufficiently addressed rude, aggressive driving - this is not an endorsement of camera enforcement.

I HAVE NOT EXPERIENCED CRIME/I HAVE HEARD ABOUT FEWER CRIMES

- 15 years ago, we had teenage crime stealing from our garage - none since.
- Always felt safe (especially compared to living in Houston).
- Always felt safe.
- Crime is consistently low; don’t see much trend either way.
- Crime rate remains low.
- Crime rate, bank robberies down.
- Crime seems to remain low.
- Do not witness much crime, know what support are available from the law enforcement services and their response time.
- Doesn’t seem unsafe.
- Don’t get out much.
- Don’t hear of much crime in my neighborhood.
- Experience w/ crime is low.
- Good neighbors, safe neighborhood.
- Have always felt safe.
- Have been lucky not to have anything happen in my Cul de Sac so feel safe.
- Have had no issues.
- Have had no problems.
- Have not had any safety issues or law enforcement issues personally in last 2 years - but others have.
- have not heard of any recent crimes in my area
- Have not noticed much crime, there have been some issues at our apartment complex, but nothing serious. As long as I can feel safe walking outside, I’m happy.
- Haven’t felt unsafe in the 19 yrs. here.
- Haven’t had any incidents?
- Haven’t had any issues in our neighborhood that I am experienced or heard of as of yet...
- Higher income more professional people less crime.
- I CONTINUE TO FEEL GOOD.
- I don’t feel unsafe in The Woodlands, and choose to stay away from locations that tend to have the most crime such as the mall.
- I don’t look over shoulder when I leave the house.
- I feel safe.
- I feel very safe compared to friends in Houston.
- I feel very safe here.
- I have always felt safe in The Woodlands
- I have always felt safe in The Woodlands.
- I have never felt unsafe here, although we are not immune to crime, I believe it is minimal here compared to other areas.
- I have no concerns about my safety.
- I lived here for many years.
- I think I live in a relatively safe area of The Woodlands.
- I walk the trails everyday, usually before sunrise. I feel safe.
- IT WAS GOOD 2 YEARS AGO.
- I’ve always felt safe here.
- I’ve always felt safe.
- I’ve always felt safe.
- I’ve lived here over 20 years and always feel safe.
- I’ve not have any issue in The Woodlands.
- I’ve not personally affected although I am aware of the level and types of crime.
- Just feel safe.
Keep safety
Lack of crime in our neighborhood.
Lack of known incidents in the area.
Less to same. Moved to TW thinking safety versus other parts of Houston (N.H.) and break-ins do not help feeling safer.
Local feedback on crimes in the area. Have not experienced a crime myself since moving to The Woodlands in 1998.
Near about less crime.
Neighbors, security system, police presence.
Never felt unsafe; just know we always need to be on-guard. That is everywhere.
Never had a problem.
Never had an issue.
Never had any problems.
No [?] incident in neighborhood.
No crime observed by me or experienced by them I know.
No criminal activity reported.
No incidents in own neighborhood.
No incidents to assess, luckily.
No incidents.
No incidents.
No issues here.
No issues that I know of in last two years.
No issues.
No issues.
No issues.
No large crime issues that I know of.
No overt criminal activity in my neighborhood.
No problems in our area.
No problems in this area.
No recent incidents in the neighborhood.
None.
Not aware of an increase in crime rate.
Nothing happened.
Peaceful neighborhood/ no incidents.
Personal experience; however it seems property crime is increasing.
Reduction of home burglaries in our neighborhood.
Safe neighborhood/ distance from I-45.
Safe.
The type of people that live here in the woodlands, are hard working and honest people.
Think with more people, more crime can occur; but, honestly, have always felt safe in The Woodlands and that continues today.
Watchful community.
We are on the trails many times a day and never worry about crime and never feel unsafe. I even walk at dusk many nights and do not feel threatened in any way.
We came from an area of very high crime, moved in to The Woodlands in 2016 and have felt much, much safer ever since. When I reported graffiti on a nearby pathway/bridge, my concern was given a swift response and cleanup.
We have always felt safe in The Woodlands.
We have had no safety issues since moving here in June 2012.
We have not experienced any situations involving law enforcement and therefore don’t have a strong point of view.
We just moved here from Magnolia- we were in a ‘restricted’ area surrounded by ‘non-restricted property’ - feel safer here.
When I am out walking on the paths, I feel safe but still aware of my surroundings. My home and neighborhood fees safe as well.
After living in The Woodlands over 15 yrs.
Appearance of properties.
Area.
Everything.
Gun control.
Had to install security system to fall safer.
Had to once, told I was lucky he was free or couldn't have come.
Having an LTC and encouraging my neighbors to get their LTC's. Also, having neighbors that take care of potential threats by approaching strangers.
Holly Creek apartments.
I am more elderly.
I lived in this area several years.
Just depends on the individuals not the force as a whole.
Just how I feel.
Law enforcement can only do so much. Individuals are largely responsible for their own safety by following logical practices/behaviors for their own personal security.
Mental health residents allowed senior facility.
More services available and conveniently located.
Moved here 35 years ago- very different now!
Moved to quieter neighborhood.
News.
No place is ever truly safe. It is naive to think otherwise.
Nothing in particular, just a feeling.
Observation.
Population growth does not reflect growth in safely equipment.
Posting on neighborhood watch.
Quiet areas.
Robberies and assaults- almost none are reported. That is very disappointing- many people talk about it.
School & playgrounds has changed. Hailey school numbers are concerning.
School zones could be improved and safety or children could be improved.
See #8.
The media.
Too many area along tree line to hide.
Too many people use social media to ‘report’ crime as opposed to calling police.
Used to live in Montrose.
Watching local news on TV.
We have had no alarm system. Btw. wish there wasn’t a township charge for that!!!
We lived here for 2 years.
What I hear in the news.
You are never really ‘safe’. You should always be careful.

Don't know, N/A, I have not lived here for 2 years

2 years ago I was living in Houston- Montreal area.
DID NOT LIVE HERE 2 YR AGO BUT WAS BURGLARIZED ONE YEAR AFTER MOVING.
Didn’t live here 2 years ago.
Have been here only for 11/2 years.
Have only lived in the area for 11 months.
Haven't lived here 2 yrs. yet.
Honestly, just moving here in march has made me feel a lot safer.
I didn't live here 2 years ago.
I don't read any local news.
I have only been here a year.
I lived elsewhere 2 years ago.
I used to live outside the woodlands
In my 7 months Woodlands residency there have been only positive civic experiences.
I've only lived here two years.
Just moved here in journey.
Moved back to Woodlands 1 year ago after moving out of state 10 years ago.
Moved from Houston.
Moved here one year ago.
Not a resident 2 yrs. ago.
Not sure.
Only been here 4 months.
Only live here 4 mo.
Only lived here one year.
Unsure, moved to The Woodlands 05/17.
We are new in The Woodlands.
We are new, first year in Woodlands.
We use to live in the Heights.

**Question 15: What improvements should be made to Law Enforcement?**

*Increase Law Enforcement Visibility/Have More Patrols*

- # of patrols.
- ...more patrol.
- 1) Stepped up patrols. 2) Stronger precaution of conducted criminals. 3) Stop suppressing the facts on crime and put the details in public so we can all be better aware. 4) More neighborhoods watch programs & investment.
- 1) Wish the station was more open, friendly- I don’t even know where it is. 2) Would like to see them drive around on neighborhoods.
- Add more vigilance
- additional patrols driving through neighborhoods.
- be more visible.
- become more visible.
- being present in our neighborhoods.
- Believe we need more police on the roads, making routine inspections in the neighborhoods, and visual appearances during local and major events or holidays
- Better patrols.
- Better police presence.
- Better visibility.
- Constant monitoring of neighborhood or subd.
- Constant PATROL.
- Continue to be visible.
- Continue to have police cars driving the streets.
- Crime has increased, so more protection.
- Drive around more often!!!
- Efficiency- too many cars parked- need more police out on the roads.
- EVEN greater level of visibility.
- Foot patrols through shopping areas.
- have a Better watch on our kids in the WOODEN areas at the time of taking buses.
- having them Patrol residential areas more frequently.
- I am glad to see some officers patrolling areas.
- I am SATISFIED with Law enforcement possibly more enforcement around the schools.
- I only see them at the beginning of the month or mid month after that we don’t see them.
- I think they are very visible, just need to keep that up.
I would like to see more drive-bys by police around the neighborhoods. I never see patrol cars in my area.

I would like to see more police on patrol.

If officers are assigned to neighborhoods, they need to drive streets instead of sitting at parks.

In Harris County, our MUD district paid for a contract to have extra constables patrolling the neighborhoods included in the MUD district. We paid for this. So the MUDs can do this, I don’t know if the Township can. Figure out a way to pay for more law enforcement so we have more patrols.

Increase budget to allow for more visibility.

Increase feet in the street.

Increase neighborhood patrols.

Increase number of patrol cars and time on duty.

Increase patrols in neighborhoods.

Increase police presence, hire more officers and get them on the streets. Stop spending money on sculptures & fancy benches etc. and spend more on good law enforcement.

Increase presence in recreation and retail areas.

Increase presence, not only with vehicles (e.g., foot, bikes).

Increase the number on patrol.

Increased neighborhood patrol & pathway patrol - car & bike - weather permitting.

Increased neighborhood patrol.

Increased patrolling areas.

Increased physical/visible presence.

Increased police patrols stronger neighborhood watch.

Increased police presence in all neighborhoods/employ more officers/control excessive speeding & noise.

Increased presence around shopping areas.

Increased visibility.

Increased visibility, patrolling.

Increased visibility, vigilance in pursuit of solicitors and others lingering in and scoping out neighborhoods.

It would be nice to see an increase in police presence.

Just be visible & thank them for their service.

Keep a good police presence without the hassle factor. Doing a great job?

Keep doing neighborhood meet and greet with dogs.

Keep staying visible.

Law enforcement patrolling pathways.

Law enforcement should be patrolling the neighborhoods more often, and meeting the people by leaving phone numbers and other safety tips.

Less on speeding more on safety (home) patrols.

Like to see more sensitive patrols. Not so many cars parked.

Maintain patrol presence.

Make more visible.

Mall needs more ‘Boots on ground’ for a while till the troublemakers move on.

More active policing.

More cars to patrol more bike officers to patrol paths. Less typing & texting in cars while driving and more observance of law infractions.

More community presence, walking on market st. or railways, not just driving about. Enforce red light violations & speeding violations.

More constable patrols.

More cops driving around & patrolling.

More cops on the road.

More deputies on the street.

More emphasis patrolling, less emphasis on speed radar.

More enforcement.

More frequent patrol.


More frequent patrols.
More IEO on May Valley drive- watch non-residents coming through my & other neighborhoods looking for items left out!
more lands on.
More neighborhood drive throughs
more neighborhood PATROL.
more neighborhood PATROL.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
more neighborhood patrols.
More of a presence, I see plenty of Sheriff s driving about but I also have seen them ignore speeders and situations that they should add[?].
more of a presence.
more officers on Patrol at all times and not Just in commerce sections.
more officers on Patrol- visibility.
more officers on the streets.
More officers patrolling the neighborhoods!!!!
more officers to Patrol the back of the Woodlands.
more on the ground & patrols of neighborhoods.
more oversize of school zones.
More patrol
more Patrol at night.
more Patrol cars driving through COMMUNITIES.
more Patrol cars.
more Patrol cars.
More patrolling/presence in neighborhood village centers as well as main shopping areas.
more patrolling in areas that report crime.
More patrolling, in unmarked cars
More patrolling, less ‘meetings’ of 2-3 police vehicles in the parking lots.
more patrolling, more presence of law enforcement
more patrolling.
more patrolling.
more patrolling.
more patrolling.
More patrols @ night.
more patrols at night.
more patrols have those High elevated stands at mall parking lot.
more patrols in complex.
more patrols in neighborhoods.
more patrols in the township on a Regular basis.
more patrols of neighborhoods.
More patrols of neighborhoods; traffic enforcement.
More patrols on neighborhood streets. It is a tough job- please thank them!
more patrols, increased presence.
more patrols.
more patrols.
more physical police presence.
more police monitoring throughout neighborhoods and traffic enforcement.
more police officers seen patrolling.
more police Patrol around villages.
- more police PATROL.
- More police presence during peak shopping times (November-December).
- more police presence.
- more police present.
- More police visibility.
- more presence during school hours, standard school zone time in Creekside (two different times??) WHY?
- more presence in neighborhoods not driving on main streets all the time.
- more presence in the village of Sterling Ridge. Lots of speeders down Woodland PARKWAY, especially at night.
- more presence.
- more presence.
- more presence.
- more presence/ patrolling? Lets Keep schools safe, especially.
- More prescence
- more roaming in neighborhoods.
- more vigilance. PAhol OFTEN.
- More visibility and more law inforecment
- More visibility and more traffic check points for speeding, DUI and red light.
- more visibility in neighborhood.
- more visibility in neighborhoods.
- more visibility in neighborhoods.
- more visibility in our neighborhoods instead of only on major thorough fares.
- More visibility maybe? Crack down on teenagers speeding on Woodlands Parkway and Cochran's Crossing.
- more visibility, traffic enforcement.
- more visibility.
- more visibility.
- more visibility.
- more visibility.
- more visibility?
- More visible and start cracking down on the government apartment complexes that are bringing in more crime.
- more visible Law enforcement w/l neighborhoods.
- more visible patrols.
- more visible presence & traffic enforcement.
- more visible presence on the streets.
- more visible.
- more visible.
- more visible.
- Motorcycle Patrol of jogging paths and transparent publication of statistics Re Same.
- need to See more of a presence- to enforce laws and prevent crime.
- Neighborhood 'Beat' patrols.
- new to Area but more visual Drive-bys of security seem to be a good deterrent to crime.
- Night patrol
- night patrols.
- NONE, visibility on roads maybe maintain safety at small. people Drive to shop there For the Safety.
- Patrol cars around in the evening.
- Patrol common areas, help with school zones and crosswalks.
- Patrol neighborhoods not Just public areas & main streets.
- patrols through neighborhoods, meet and greet with neighbors and officers.
- Police cars in the neighborhoods
- police parking in the neighborhoods.
- Police spend too much time sitting and trying to give tickets in 30 mph zones vs. patrolling neighborhoods.
- police vehicle presence SHOULD be seen more.
- Presence, Presence & Presence!
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- Regular Patrol in neighborhood.
- Regular patrols in the neighborhoods.
- school zones needs Better enforcement also.
- Sheriff office SHOULD be more Proactive in residential areas.
- Some more neighborhood patrols
- the more visible Law enforcement , the better.
- the more visible, the better!Though, I am not complaining About the present visibility.
- the trails and parks are relatively unpatrolled. bike patrols might help, since children often use them alone.
- to be more visible in neighborhoods.
- To be seen in the subdivision streets not just the main streets.
- visibility.
- visibility.
- visibility.
- We could use some law enforcement presence in the school parking lots at a minimum, especially during drop-off & dismissal.
- We need our law enforcement to grow with our community. I am starting to see more cars in the area, but I think we need to see more of that.
- Wouldn’t mind seeing more patrol cars.

TREAT ALL RESIDENTS FAIRLY/IMPROVE LAW ENFORCEMENT & COMMUNITY RELATIONS

- Better communication between youth & police.
- Better communication with residents About safety concern, events, efforts made to.
- continue not to harass residents and not be like Conroe quota tickets
- Give education to civilians in how to protect your house.
- Hire fewer assholes/ Less aggression.
- Hire more individuals with good hearts!!
- I think there should be getter commuicatation from the police department as far as what they are doing to improve the safety of our community.
- Keep our Same local officers, Briour, who worked to Get to know our neighborhood.
- Less ticket more Crime watch.
- Make sure Family Friendly officers remain to teach and not just enforce.
- more news on crime in The Woodlands
- more officers more involvement with community.
- More public information on what’s happening crime wise.
- people who Come from Other countries of origin do not know the laws and the traffic rules. Conducting workshops to learn them generates a bond of respect with the new community.
- Public texting by law enforcement about wrecks, robberies or assaults on bike paths- w/ pics so we can work with them if we have info- if we don’t know it happened then how can we help or be aware?
- the Law enforcement officers I have MET are ABUSIVE, ARROGANT, and think that They are above the Law They REPRESENT.
- They SHOULD be nicer.
- Transparency is important. They have to report clearly and in timely fashion to keep confidence in services.

IMPROVE TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT

- All school zones should have flashing lights (not just signs w/ times on them no one reads). Active patrolling and traffic enforcement. Officers or bike paths.
- Ban all solicitations at residences & enforce it rigorously!Increase traffic enforcement dramatically.
- Better enforcement of school zones meaning processes that help move traffic when kids are present that doesn’t slow to a crawl.
- Better enforcement when it comes to dangerous driving.
- Better monitoring of speed limits/ drunk drivers- more like Shenandoah with patrols of neighborhoods.
Better traffic control. More people speed excessively & run red lights in Woodlands than anywhere else 2 have lived.
More presence in residential areas.
Better traffic enforcement/ and control of OUTSIDE folks who Come to the Woodlands to commit crimes.
control speed on Woodlands Parkway.
Crime & speed limit/ signal enforcement.
Decrease the speed limit on Crystal Lake Lane. Install speed bumps on Crystal Lake Lane. Patrol Crystal Lake Lane for speeders.
double the number and you will still not have enough. traffic only follows the rules when they see police. 5 cars thru an intersection after the light is red is dangerous. if traffic is controlled better the rest of the county will not keep cutting thru our town
enforce school zone speed limits better. do a Better job of staying on top of the bad guys.
enforce speed limits and runnning red lights.
enforce speeding laws at night in residential areas.
enforce traffic signal laws- bring cameras back or CATCH more red-Light runners.
enforcement of traffic laws in school zones.
enforcement of turn signals and phone use while driving.
Enforcing traffic laws.
Feeder roads are similar to INDY 500 in the rush hours ticket unsafe drivers wearing in and out of traffic.
Focus more on dangerous driving (speeding/ No stopping) in neighborhoods.
focus on speed control on Woodlands Parkway
I dislike the amount of people who don’t stop at stop signs. I dislike the amount of super loud cars that I can hear a 1/2 mile away. I walk several miles every night and I almost never see law enforcement.
I would like to see red light cameras, and traffic enforcement.
Increase enforcement of traffic laws. more police presence.
Increase patrols of speeding and stop sign violations. Have cyclists in the community adhere to proper rules.
Instead of law enforcement sitting at a three-way stop (not a dangerous or heavily traveled intersection) and giving out tickets have them patrolling more important areas.
its Great their presence is known, but start pulling people over it speeding.
left turn lane Westbound on Lake Woodlands onto split Rock SHOULD be flashing yellow.
Less concern About traffic violations and Focus on Real crime.
less tolerance for speeding and reckless driving
maybe a Few more police cares For speeders on Woodlands Pkwy.
Monitor speeders and red Light runners.
more DWI Check points.
More enforcement of school speed zones- more flashing signs- particularly one 6 lane roads placed on both sides and beginning and ending signs.
more enforcement of speed limits.
More enforcement of: not texting while driving, turn signal use, burnouts at stop lights and stop signs, actually stopping at stop signs
More LE presence on road ways to enforce traffic laws. More parks and pathways patrols.
more parking lot and street patrols, catching more red Light runners.
More Patrols. Too many drivers are speeding down Research Forest.
more presence patrolling streets informing the citizens of criminal activity.
More proactive enforcement of traffic rules, e.g., speed, four-way stops and running red lights.
more speed traps and fines.
more traffic control.
More traffic enforcement. Speeding and running red lights is getting to prevalent
more traffic stops For unsafe driving.
more visible speed and Stop Sign/ signal enforcement.
need a more red Light runners.
Neighborhood traffic enforcement. 15 mph over on a major road like the parkway poses less risk than cars in residential areas where kids play. Need more enforcement in those areas.
people obnoxiously speed between the Panther Creek streets on WL Pkwy all hours of night.
plastics bags prohibited and use of cell phone while driving more strict.
- police Patrol, For speeding on main streets Research Woodlands Pkw. at list.
- safe transportation.
- safety/ not speed limits.
- See #11 or return to red light cameras!
- set up radar traps on These streets.
- Signs on The Woodlands Parkway - warning of Tailgating / Aggressive / Dangerous Driving - And more cops handing out tickets like candy to all violators! Yes I said that more cops!
- slow traffic down: speed limit SHOULD be the maximum speed.
- speed control improvement.
- speed limit.
- speed limits enforced much Better/ people are speeding on every road.
- speed monitoring one Woodlands Pkwy Better enforcement.
- speeding in neighborhoods still big issue.
- stop being so eager to hand out traffic tickets
- Stop making traps to motorists so They can Give speeding tickets.
- Stop speeders on Woodlands Pwky.
- Stop speeding & red Light runners.
- Strict to speed limits around school areas.
- They should worry less about speed and stop lights signs. Some of the speed limits do not align w/ general transportation engineering guidelines and it seems a waste of their time to enforce (too slow, poor placement, timing).
- Traffic and speeding are a real problem. To say they can’t hand out tickets on Woodlands Parkway because of too many cars and can’t use their radar is poor excuse.
- traffic cameras of Stop lights.
- TRAFFIC CONTROL: ENFORCE SPEED LIMITS/ NOT ABSOLUTES- WE DON’T NEED SPEEDERS GOING 70 MPH ON R. FOREST.
- traffic control in school neighborhoods. have detectives who solve cases reported. the solve rate need to be greatly improved.
- traffic enforcement, more Drive through in neighborhoods.
- TRAFFIC LAW ENFORCEMENT!
- Traffic speed enforcement needs more work.
- Vigorous implementation of DUI laws.
- watch & Stop speeding cars.
EXPAND POLICE/SHERIFF FORCE

- Add more & give them a raise!
- Add more COPS.
- Add more officers, and train all new and existing law enforcement personnel in how to avoid possible violent encounters with community members by not profiling or assuming guilt or suspicion of guilt by common denominators frequently utilized in officer training across the county, state, and country as evidenced by the horrendous occurrence of police violence.
- Add more Sherriff deputies.
- Add more.
- Add more.
- additional officers hired.
- Always best to have a lot of them.
- As we acquire more residents we will need to add more policemen to maintain our level of safety.
- can never have too many police officers.
- Continue to support those we have and recruit new officers if the population requires.
- Give us more.
- greater number of Law enforcement personnel.
- Hire more officers.
- Hire more pathway patrols. Hire more SHERIFFS or constables.
- Hire more people, Improve WAGES.
- Hire more public officers. make all areas gated COMMUNITIES.
- Hire more/ Increase their presence.
- I think They are Great might hard a Few more.
- Increase #’s.
- Increase in number of policemen & technology.
- Increase police force, build camera monitoring systems on major roads perhaps!
- Increase size- more cars patrolling and more constables to answer calls when help NEEDED.
- Increase staff.
- Increase staffing.
- Increase the number of Law officers and patrols through the neighborhoods with Better trained officers on what to look for.
- Increase the number of Montgomery sheriffs.
- Increase the number of officers.
- Increase the NUMBER.
- Increased.
- is there adequate number of officers on Patrol, especially around the mall and densely populated area?
- Keep growing force as population increases.
- Keep steady Growth to Keep up with Growth in the community.
- larger police force to address traffic issues, school zone issues, park safety etc.
- Larger staff; more patrols.
- Law enforcement does a good job- maybe more deputies.
- Law enforcement is FINE. this is the only under staffed dept. at the Woodlands township.
- maybe Add more.
- maybe more police.
- maybe more policemen.
- more & more visible.
- More & pay so they will be out in neighborhoods, parks, shopping centers & ticket dangerous drivers!
- more COPS.
- more deputies.
- More enforcement
- more is better.
- more Law enforcement officers volunteer PROGRAM.
more officers
more officers as the population increases.
more officers if funds will allow.
more officers on PATROL.
more officers out and about. Controlling speed limits.
more officers- visibility in neighborhoods.
more officers, more patrols.
more officers.
more officers.
more officers.
more officers/ OT I pay raise, more reassured.
more offices & patrols.
more pay, more officers and the ability to be our own city with our own police.
more personnel.
More police & give them a raise!
more police officers.
more police presence as town grows.
more police, Sheriff, DPS drills For local emergencies.
more police.
more police.
more police.
more police.
more police.
More!
more.
need more of them.
need more patrols- or security cameras. need more officers.
police substations in Woodlands & more patrolling.
Stricter with criminals. more police.
They do a fabulous job! I think we need more officers. There cannot be enough of them to control all that is going on now.
we need more officers.
we seem to need more officers and They need to be a lot more visible.

Focus more on drugs, violent crime & property crime (not on traffic enforcement)

Aggressive capture of criminals.
As previously stated, I respect and appreciate our police/ law enforcement. (I am a teacher and have never had a criminal record). Please, focus on major, serious issues, not minor ones, I've been treated well, but not everyone has.
be BRAVE to Come ASAP when your business is BROKE during the night.
Can’t think of anything major. More focus on crime and less on traffic enforcement. Let traffic flow naturally.
Focus on Crime, not Minor infractions, malls, shopping centers, neighborhoods, not Stop signs and speeders.
Law enforcement is good. They can’t keep up with drugs and increasing criminal target environment.
More enforcement on drugs and the selling of drugs here, and the traffic and crime coming here from Houston.
more focus on known areas of property crime, keeping an eye on repeat offenders, working with county/state/feds on major crime rings; and #1 turning over illegal alien criminals to ICE
police did Nothing For my sister when her HOUSE was robbed- or when my parents rod-iron gate was stolen.
spend Less time handing out speeding tickets/ traffic violations & more time pursuing criminal activity e.g. drug dealing & robberies.
Stop worrying About petty crimes & sitting in parking Lots eating donuts & fight Crime, fight drinking & driving.

None/Law enforcement already does a great job

All is good!
appear to be Doing a good job.
Doing a Great job.
Doing good job.
Doing great
Don't know.
From what I know it's good.
Front 4 does a good job considering how much area they have to cover including us.
good at this STAGE.
I believe They are Doing all They can with resources They have.
I do not have any complaints about Law Enforcement.
I don't have any suggestions.
I don't know that it is law enforcement that needs improving.
I like it right now.
I think it is FINE as it IS.
I think our Crime rate is low compared to Other COMMUNITIES.
I think They are Doing a good job.
I think they're doing a good job.
It's fine the way it is.
I've had no issues so I really can't say what should be better.
Keep just as it is!! Law enforcement is excellent!
Keep up the thankless- great job here in blue!
Law enforcement does a Great job.
Law enforcement is FINE.
Law enforcement is top notch!Quality personnel.
leave that to experts.
maintain existing.
N/a They are Great
Nil.
No comment.
No comment.
No suggestion.
None
None
None
None- doing great!!
NONE required.
NONE that I can think of.
None- they are doing a great job!
NONE, everything is looking good at present time.
NONE, I assume the Crime rate is low.
NONE, They are Doing a good job.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None.
None. Our Law Enforcement is excellent.
None. The LED’s the township employ all seem very professional and it appears the number is sufficient, especially as many folks have LTC’s here.
not sure. I Feel They do the best They can.
Not sure. I think are doing a great job!
nothing that I’m aware of
Nothing.
officers do a good job- very friendly & helpful.
OKAY as it STANDS.
Satisfy with current conditions.
the relationship we currently here with the County Sheriff works well.
They are great.
They do a good job. make sure you support them.
They do a Great job/ very happy w/ Law enforcement in the Woodlands.
They’re awesome.
They’re doing a fine job.
They’re very good.
We are very satisfied with law enforcement.
What I’ve seen is good. I don’t know quantitative data.
Woodlands is Doing FINE.

OTHER

- More cameras. - Public lights (most of them don’t work properly).
- #1.
all seems good, we SHOULd make sure our fire, EMG and park service employees Get paid up to or above standards.
Ask them what They need and Provide the funding to them.
Attitude residents are not criminals.
Ban doors to door solicitors.
Better gun laws.
Better pay For them.
Better PAY.
Body cameras if NONE already.
build a larger jail and hold criminals longer.
Built a court HOUSE and a jail before you build Skating rings and pool/ parks.
Burning our own city & housing our own police department.
camera to be installed. warning signs posted around the Woodlands.
cameras at lights.
catch non-local criminals at exit choke points of The Woodlands
community policing with mental health/ drug treatment For in mates.
consider improved Closed circuit TV
Crime prevention programs.
Don’t let it become a sanctuary city.
Don’t make any more streets go thru to other places, officers patrolling at night.
Eliminate mounted Patrol and Better use of money.
enforce school zones.
Ensure adequate training, salary, & benefits.
Ensure the safety of the residents and homeowners of The Woodlands.
Enterance the law!Many times NEXT to a police car and somebody is breaking the car of their eyes.
- Fix issues outlined in #8.
- Get some cameras mounted for law enforcement to track cars coming in and out of subdivisions.
- Have more patrols towards the middle and back of the area.
- I actually have noticed sheriff cars patrolling through the neighborhood.
- I am all about safety. I am happy when the police are monitoring speed in school areas but I really do not want to pay police to hide and try to catch speeders. In the past 2 years I see more and more of that.
- I don’t know how expensive it is to have the horses...but if there are less expensive options that fulfill the same law enforcement needs, I think that we should explore those options in lieu of the horses.
- I don’t think security is any worse than it was 2 years ago, but there are just so many more people than 2 years ago that I think security isn’t keeping pace.
- I think The Woodlands would benefit from photo cameras all over the place to bring the speeding issue to the forefront of the community. I live in May Valley where Branch Crossing and May Valley Circle speed limits average 45-55. The Greenmoor entrance into The Woodlands from 2978 is the preferred alternative to staying on 2978 and turning right onto Woodlands Pkwy.
- I want this continued type of service.
- I worry about women alone on jogging trails.
- If we became incorporated, we would have our own police dept.
- Improve diversity.
- Incorporate and have our own police department!
- Incorporation! This would allow us to enforce city laws. we can turn covenants into laws and then city will have tools to enforce like a normal city.
- Increase #9.
- It appears that all of the law enforcement cars are SUVs. Bring back the police sedan.
- Keep alpha & omega; consolidate Ems/ fire/ police into ‘first responses hubs’. This will help consolidate services, improve coordination of police & fire & save tax payers in may if we have to purchase buildings to house Ems/ fire/ police once incorporated.
- Keep out law enforcement happy and they can do great job for us.
- Keep outsiders from coming in & robbing & stealing.
- Keep up.
- Less speeding tickets and they SHOULD give warning for minor things.
- Let them do their jobs with respect.
- Look into 40 years old men wearing backpacks and riding bicycles at all hours of the night. I have been approached by men asking for money at Walmart - college park and target I-45 The Woodlands both at night.
- Make quick call tel # readily available.
- MCSO is fantastic and I think they are doing a great job. I do not think this issue has to do with the quality of our law enforcement but the type of residents who have now been moving into the Township.
- More activity at night.
- More community policing.
- More crime prevention.
- More equitable distribution of resources. South County Takes a huge amount of Mont. Co. police force away from other parts of the county.
- More stringent enforcement.
- Need to be more diverse in personnel.
- Need to take citizens complaints more seriously. They seem to be complacent if not major crime.
- Our own department.
- Pay our law enforcement more. I don’t know how productive the police on horseback are, so I have no opinion but know if they had to get off he horse and run, they couldn’t. I think our police force should be in good physical shape as a requirement.
- People don’t trust law enforcement, due to corruption in past law enforcement leadership.
- Prevent crime.
- Probably more PAY.
- Real time crime enforcement and recording of locations.
- Reduce noise pollution.
review rental or lease laws; most crime is tied to those houses. Ensure leasors are vetting leasees
security gate cameras that work. more the guard shack close the gates.
See 8 & 11.
See above.
See previous answer.
Stop solicitation.
Surveillance cameras NEEDED.
teach them our covenants.
the more profile the better.
They are doing a good job. It is a disadvantage that comes with the growth.
They do a good job. I hear about crime in the mall parking lot but don't have any other knowledge of any incidents.
Think its brilliant to 'rent' county Sheriffs. Why pay extra infrastructure costs to have a 'self contained' police force?
important to have more cameras in the parks, parking lots. more street lights.
Traffic in TW is horrible and police make it worse by enforcing ridiculous speed limits. I'm afraid to go to Town Center
at night because of fear of getting arrested for P.I.
use our own police force/ Sheriff with salaries, funding provided by the township (Again, we need to become our own
city) & police chief elected by the residents.
Vacation watch from Montgomery Sheriff excellent!!
We have a lot of deputies in an area with a relatively low call volume. Either the Sheriff should ask his deputies to run
more traffic when call volume allows or we should contract with the Constable's office to provide a few deputies
dedicated to traffic enforcement.
Woodlands is too accessible to OUTSIDERS now.
Zoning enforcement - our covenants should be enforceable, even to the extend law enforcement can be empowered to
act. 

DON'T KNOW

Can't say.
Can't tell.
Can't think of any.
Don't know
Don't know
Don't know enough to know.
Don't know- never see them.
DON'T KNOW.
Don't know.
Don't know.
Don't know.
Don't know.
Don't know.
Don't know.
Don't know.
hard to grange, with No feedback to resides their activity and results.
hard to say.
Haven't had to deal with them- can't answer this question.
I believe our neighborhood is very safe and don't know how it could be improved.
I don't know enough about what they might need.
I don't know what problems and challenges they are having to appropriately answer this question.
I don't know.
I don't know.
I don't know.
I don't know.
I don't know. I defer to the law enforcement professionals on this.
I honestly don't know. My experiences with law enforcement have, for the most part, been very positive.
I'm not qualified to answer this.
Lacking informative to say.
No clue, leave that to the experts!
No idea! Leave it to the experts in Law enforcement. I bet they have ideas.
No idea.
not certain.
not familiar impacts.
not sure how to fix it. Increase numbers or owners?
not sure yet.
Not sure!
not sure.
not sure.
not sure.
not sure.
not sure.
not sure.
not sure.
There are probably some. I don't know of any.
Unsure

**Question 17:** How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?

**Other (Please specify):**
- AB & SR FB pages.
- Alden bridge Facebook groups.
- Alden bridge FB page.
- Alden bridge FB pg.
- APP NEXT DOOR.
- Been here since 1990 & expect certain events at certain times of the year.
- Clubhouse Staff
- Email.
- Emails & looking around.
- Facebook neighborhood page.
- Going to places like the library.
- Google news.
- GPRA monthly newsletter & neighborhood watch emails.
- hear nothing, see nothing
- Hello Woodlands.
- hellowoodlands.com
- I also have received emails
- Internet.
- local business Facebook pages
- local FB pages.
- local newspaper.
- local signage.
- my leasing office.
- need a Better way internet maybe?
- needs improvement. Announces events after they have happened.
- Neighborhood app
- Neighborhood email newsletters
- neighborhood newsletter.
Neighborhood website "Next door".
next door app
NEXT door app.
NEXT door app.
NEXT door app.
NEXT door app.
NEXT door app. Grogan.
Next Door Social Media App
Next door website
NEXT door website.
NEXT DOOR.
NEXT DOOR.
NextDoor app
Nextdoor Digest.
Nextdoor.
Nextdoor.com
Nextdoor.com
nextdoor.com
Nextdoor.com.
Note- not Everyone is up to speed with their tech. skills.
road signs. Gosling/ Woodlands Pkwy.
Rotary.
signs around town- banner presently For BBQ at town green park- Market street signs.
signs on town green park.
Social Media
Social media.
Text alerts
the forum.
the neighborhood website.
The Woodlander (e-mail).
V.F.W. programs at the town.
village Facebook page.
village Facebook page.
village Facebook page.
village Facebook pages.
WALKING around.
Wood notes (Ashley- Greens newsletter).
Woodlands app. For reporting issues.
**QUESTION 21: HOW WOULD YOU RATE YOUR OVERALL COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE TOWNSHIP? PLEASE RESPOND REGARDING THE DIVISION OR DIVISIONS YOU CONTACTED OR WHO CONTACTED YOU.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other (please specify):</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Throwing unwanted villager- I Get it ONLINE.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No one has contacted me/ I have not contacted any one.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’re not helpful at all.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep the trees!!</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management staff.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIVE at conservatory, utilize little SERVICES.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map to locate new clinic.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flooding- Woodlands board.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>street lights out.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communication with HOA is horrible, non-responsive.</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies for #numbering house#.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>need to be notified further in advance of meeting affecting residents.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not helpful on paint disposal indifferent to my questions.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining trees.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I PERSONALLY THINK IT IS OVERDEVELOPED/ BUT I UNDERSTAND THE ECONOMICS- SO IT’S BETWEEN “OVER” &amp; “JUST RIGHT”.</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud’s, joint powers.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I really don’t know what’s going on unless reading the villager?</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in a retirement community that takes care of question 19.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>board member.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction management.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands township permit office.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree decoration.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>township meetings.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>board.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renters not keeping the houses like owners.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>driveway.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the drivers run over and damage yards, Garbage cans, circled areas in Cul De Sac. my Garbage can Looks like Trash itself. They Always break the wheels off. These GUYS do not care.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deed bind in backyard.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth at Y open house.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arts center &amp; museum COMBO.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communication was good but pathway was never cleaned.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flood prevention.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>have tried- No response.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey flooding.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stupid question.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.e., Woodlands Marathon.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year round ice skating rink. 50 meter pool!</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 24: What other types of additional entertainment venues would you like to have in The Woodlands?**

**Arts/performance venues**
- a Comedy club and a venue for plays or musicals.
- A community theatre other than Nancy Bock. An aquarium or natural history museum.
- A quality theater venue
- a venue for plays.
- An arts center for theater, symphony, etc.
- an enclosed air conditioned stage venue for concerts, Better healthy restaurants.
- an indoor venue would be great for performances.
- Another mall in the new area of the Woodlands, and Parkway show so another Theater will be nice not just the pavilion.
- art & love the concerts.
- arts & Theater venue.
- arts Theater- like the Alley indoor concert venue.
- Broadway plays; Natatorium!! Local live theater.
- Chinese community center
- Cirque Du Soleil.
- Comedy club, small medium-sized dramatic theaters.
- community playhouse.
- community theater
- community Theater permanent ice skating.
- community THEATRE.
- cultural- like a theatre to see professional plays.
- enclosed Concert hall.
- enclosed the Outdoor THEATER or build one.
- enclosed THEATRE.
- enclosed venue to bring plays a musicals to area.
- FINE ARTS INDOOR FACILITY SIMILAR TO HOUSTON WORTHAM CTR TO ALLOW PROFESSIONAL PRODUCTIONS (BROADWAY).
- Full scale performing arts center.
- I would love to see a non-profit community auditorium, ballet recitals, seasonal productions community plays, private school events, guest speakers- I feel like people would be willing to fund raise for that- we are big enough for the arts. College Park is too expensive for most non-profits.
- indoor Concert entertainment venue.
- Indoor concert hall (large one). Comedy club, playhouse.
- indoor Concert venue.
- indoor symphony.
- iPic theaters or similar. Separate venue for Houston Symphony and HGO to visit or just more instances for them to use the Pavilion. Specialty markets like imported grocery
- it is urgent to have bike lanes.
- It would amazing if we could get an off Broadway theater here in The Woodlands. I believe there was talk of this a few years back, but I’ve heard nothing since. My family would certainly get seasons tickets!
- LARGE INDOOR PERFORMING ARTS! This needs to be the size of the Cynthia Woods Pavillion. Maybe it is time to enclose that venue with a dome, so that Broadway theater, Ballet, Symphony productions could perform. We need something for events other than rock concerts!! THE WEATHER IS A MAJOR FACTOR in establishing a need for an enclosed large facility. (not just an art museum)
- LIVE entertainment/ THEATRE.
- LIVE music.
- LIVE THEATER
- Live theater
Live theater (like the alley), live indoor as the new one in Sugarland (like smart-fin center indoor performance venue).

LIVE THEATER with shows?

LIVE THEATER, Comedy club.

LIVE theater.

LIVE theater.

LIVE theatre venue.

local theatre For plays and musicals.

more BROADWAY musicals- clean entertainment Get For Christian families, more handicapped parking.

more concerts, more things For kids & young adults to do.

More concerts/shows at the Cynthia Woods Mitchell Pavilion. Seems like the Smart Financial Center in Sugarland has taken away many of the good concerts/shows that appear in the Houston area.

more music venues smaller to compete with pavilion. not there small ones, more medium sized events.

more pavilion concerts in the fall & Spring rather than primarily in summer heat.

Musical THEATER/ Dinner theater.

open air concerts in Creekside.

Outdoor [?] amphitheater- small one.

Outdoor amphitheater like the Miller Outdoor Theater.

Outdoor concert venues in the parks, we really enjoy the live music series!

Performing arts center.

Performing arts center.

Performing arts center.

Performing arts hall.

Performing arts indoor theater.

Performing arts theater.

Performing arts.

place to go to concerts but inside like the one in Sugarland so No matter if it rains it is all Closed, small, well maintained. that venue SHOULD be here in the Woodlands. CWMP it is Nice but not fancy enough.

play venues like Conroe theatres.

Playhouse/ Performing arts.

plays- Dinner THEATRE.

plays- theater.

Quality LIVE THEATER i.e., Alley & Stages productions.

roller rink & Ice skate permeate.

Small classical music venue (indoor-year round).

small Concert venues/ restaurants with LIVE music.

small LIVE band music venues, art HOUSE movie theater.

Stage theater (like Crighton & Owen theaters in Conroe).

the Mitchell playhouse was to be built next to the CWP, but current owner Hughes corp reneged on following through.

we dont need additional venues in the woodlands, its best we travel to see major events instead of hosting. if anything we built the infrastructure to host the houston open - it needs to come back.

Theater

Theater for live pays; small concert hall.

THEATER For plays & musicals & symphonies.

Theater like the Alley theater

THEATER or playhouse.

Theater venue (plays & musicals).

THEATER, art museum, BROADWAY across America.

THEATER/ stage productions.

theaters.

theatre For BROADWAY shows.

theatre plays, FREE activities.

THEATRE.
THEATRE.
venue For theatrical productions.
visual art center For teaching, displaying, collecting.
We could use a theater that plays movies that aren’t as mainstream. Something like River Oaks (Landmark) or an Arclight theater.

MORE SPORTS/RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, TRAILS AND OPEN SPACE
- minor league baseball team.
- 1) Golf driving range along 242 cr I45. 2) Par 3 or executive golf course.
- 50 METER OUTDOOR SWIMMING POOL.
- a place where the family can go to play ping-pong (maybe I should call the rec center and see if they have that)
- Adult pool.
- Baseball team/ sports team.
- Better access to W G Jones State Forest, create an access point south of the park. It’s close to impossible to go to this park not using a car.
- bike park.
- Continue to improve bike lanes/paths
- Equestrian facilities.
- Extreme sports complex/ facility.
- golf, theater.
- horse riding, zoo.
- I would like to have a skating rink
- Ice hockey rink.
- Ice rink (year round & able to play hockey at).
- Ice rink- permanent.
- Indoor rock climbing, trampoline park, obstacle course. Something for indoor physical activity.
- K- one speed indoor electric cart track.
- Larger climbing gym, year round outdoor pool (like the Gd[?] WAC).
- larger skate & Bmx park.
- make a few very wide very long bike/hike paths to get those damned bicycles off the streets
- mini golf, theme park, Lake swimming/ beach area.
- Minor League Baseball water-parks.
- More open space with hike/bike trails
- more recreation
- more shaded parks, splash pads, etc.
- Movie Theaters, indoor soccer fields/swimming pools, full time ice skating, kayaking in Creekside, Zoo, art district ala Houston, including real/big children’s museums.
- Offtrack/ off road cycling. Safer road cycling For commuters & sports cyclists.
- open mic, LIVE music, Outdoor venues, zip line/ obstacle course.
- Outdoor adventure activities (high ropes free course).
- Outdoor parks/activities- long walk/ bike trails.
- parks RENTAL shops.
- Permanent ice rink
- putt putt golf, science museum
- Real Ice Skating rink all year round. more concerts at the pavilion.
- Rock climbing! Science museum, Other museums, FINE arts/ performance venues.
- Seems like we could have a minor league sports team in the area that would have good attendance. Something like independent or minor league baseball, a G-league basketball team, or tier 2 or 3 soccer professional soccer team. Alternatively, it also seems like there should be a museum of sorts, or one that can host exhibits/galleries that alternate throughout the year and/or a theatre that would add another small audience venue (200-250 people) for stage productions, comedy, or music (for things that would not necessarily compete with the pavilion)
the use of all that money to build SUCH a small Ice rink was a waste of our money w/out any input from Woodlands residents. that money could have been used to Invest in a Real Ice rink that our H.S. hockey teams could use. Other people could use For lessons etc.

Top Golf would be a great addition to The Woodlands.

Year round ice skating rink. 50 meter pool!

MORE RESTAURANTS

- bars, nightclubs.
- Better restaurants.
- Better restaurants.
- Chef inspired restaurants not chains
- Cocktail bar, children’s museum, live music/ restaurant.
- Dinner theater.
- High end restaurants.
- I would love to see a few non-chain restaurants. (Like Tris!) Not in new facilities but in the many restaurants that are now vacant.
- Jazz/ blues music restaurant venues, Less chain restaurants.
- Less chain restaurants to more diversity in food.
- local restaurants.
- Locally owned bars, restaurants, breweries, and small music venues. Our children are older now, but the child-friendly restaurants in Austin & San Antonio would do well here: good beer selections and food with places for kids to run around.
- Mid-priced dining Options in village shopping centers.
- more bars, some museums and more restaurants.
- more High end restaurants.
- MORE IRISH/ ENGLISH PUBS! ‘CORNER BARS’.
- more restaurant selections
- more restaurants & fast food business.
- More restaurants (comes w/ better rents). Ipic movie theater.
- more restaurants in the back or in Creekside & more family STYLE ones & more like Hughes landing too.
- more restaurants.
- More restaurants/ areas to walk to, more ethnic food/ (less chain restaurants).
- music bars, craft brewery pubs- would like more of a natural Feel to this land of fan like in Austin or Houston.
- non-chain restaurants (like Houston Heights), better shopping besides the mall (Crate & Barrel, Restoration Hardware)
- one of a KIND CHEF OWNED restaurants.
- Pappa’s steakhouse and El Tiempo Mexican restaurants! Perhaps a Friday night or Saturday night bus shuttle downtown - 7 to 11
- restaurants to close late at the weekends.
- restaurants, designer shops.
- restaurants, more For visitors, more in the back of the Woodlands.
- Upscale loans/ restaurants distributed then out.
- World class restaurants.

MOVIE THEATER

- High and bowling, movie tavern (dine-in movie) small live music venues, restaurants w/ great portion (not 2-4 tables next to the parking lot).
- Movie tavern, would love drive in theatre
- movie THEATER- which I hear is under construction.
- Movie theatre which offers ‘Artsy’ films- like River Oaks.
- Outdoor movie, roller Skating ring.
- Upgraded movie THEATER by mall.
Museum, Libraries or Zoos

- a good museum.
- a museum of the Woodlands - a place for our History and a place to HOUSE typographical table from information center, etc.
- a Science museum.
- additional satellite museum locations.
- an art gallery.
- Aquarium.
- art center.
- arts corner & museum combo
- ENHANCE art museum - GLADE.
- FINE arts museum/ outsider art museum.
- improved childrens museum (partner with Houston Childrens museum?). With all the children in the area, its disappointing that we do not have a substantial childrens museum maybe not on the scale of Houston but at least as interactive.
- Local history museum about The Woodlands
- maybe a museum - we have many venues already, the pavilion class act etc.
- Montgomery County museum.
- more museums, art galleries, restaurant and shops. Less cookie outlet and more unique things.
- Movies, restaurants, gym in Creekside park.
- Museum
- Museum of art or science
- Museums
- museums, galleries, bars & pubs, music place.
- museums, the arts, Perhaps on Extension of MFAH and HMNS.
- Museums?? No more movie theatres!
- our own central library, an art gallery, a community THEATRE.
- Satellite Natural history museum, imax, planetarium
- Science museum, art museum, FINE arts auditorium.
- Science museum/ performing arts center (indoor).
- the Woodlands needs to establish a museum dedicated to the History of the Woodlands so this story is not lost and new residents can learn & APPRECIATE the history.
- World class museum, plays.

Activities for Specific Age Groups (Kids, Teens and Seniors)

- a water & amusement park would be nice.
- Additional options for minor children, increase diversity of acts held @ Pavilion.
- Dave & Busters type places.
- Disco, youth clubs For teenagers, internet cafes community center For seniors.
- entertainment For teenagers, not just the mall, more events that bring neighbors together & builds community.
- Less chain restaurants.
- LIVE programs/ plays etc., suitable For seniors. more activities For seniors who are increasing here like elsewhere.
- Local grocery markets- restaurants (no Mexican), kids activities (museums, craft), activities for teens (Karaoke piece).
- more activities For teens, Less For them to Get in trouble, spots to hang out, safe places, off streets, making bad choices BECAUSE bored.
- More family activities
- More family/ kid (centric) and less young adult/ 'singles-scene' type.
- More options for live music for families
- more places For teens and grown ups to have fun.
- more safe place For teens.
Places of entertainment for young people/ open spaces in the woods to listen to music (no live concerts) classical music with reading areas and seats for listening to music. ‘Audiorama’ is a specially designed acoustical space.

small adventure park or other activity besides shopping.

the teenage kids really do not have many choices that are unique, interesting. Woodlands really needs to support them better.

OTHER

- a bike road.
- a park dedicated to big events and (?)such activities.
- a smaller- more intimate Concert venue.
- access/ parking to existing venues.
- Arcade/gaming hall.
- art oriented venue For developing art skills. movie theaters in back of Woodlands.
- as controlled w/ PROPER infrastructure.
- balance the village shopping ctrs. Keep them occupied.
- Better farmer market.
- Comedy Club
- Comedy club, poor hall, more festivals, play venue (theatre).
- craft brewery.
- cultural events.
- Dance bands.
- Dance places.
- Develop the waterway to include restaurants & shops- similar to San Antonio. Use 4 pontoon boats to replace the water taxis. Develop more waterfront restaurants on lake Woodlands.
- Dueling piano bar.
- Eliminate ironman & triathlon- too disruptive & rude.
- Enclose & air condition the pavilion.
- Great news!
- Great question, well if there was a venue that could care for children, on demand, available for drop off to midnight; my wife and I might actually patronize the entertainment venues that already exist.
- Guided tours
- Hobby center in the Woodlands.
- I don’t get’ the popularity of the Ice Rink, but that’s built now. It seems like there are parking issues with the Pavilion.
- If placed appropriately, some sort of sports team in or near The Woodlands would be nice. Traveling to Houston to do any sporting event is frustrating. Maybe more of a Houston metro area discussion than The Woodlands in particular but... get the Dynamos to move up here! The Woodlands has their largest youth academy - I think but I’ll Fact Check that too :)
- Jazz club.
- Less triathlons Marathons, etc. more FREE admission festivals.
- loved the boat rides to Market & shopping center.
- Lower taxes.
- Micro brewery.
- Minor League baseball.
- Minor League Baseball/ Rugby, Opera Howe, Race track (i.e. LeMans).
- More cultural
- more everyday thing to do.
- More lights in trails after dark!!!
- more music events at parks.
- more supervision, more jobs For professionals.
- More Venues like Market Street, less strip mall types & more of an ability to walk at 4 destination- not dodge people trying to park.
- music in towncenter.
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- NFL football.
- None.
- Outdoor mini golf.
- Outdoor seating, safe for kids, not expensive. Like Deacon Baldies on 1488. I have nowhere to take my kids where I can relax as well. Businesses are too ‘corporate’ or sterile. Lacks culture, very generic place.
- Parais.
- Performing arts theatre For plays and musicals.
- piano bar, also somewhere to sit casually have an adult beverage that feels more like a neighborhood bar
- places that have we music & dancing.
- Please lessen traffic tie ups- Re:Marathon events.
- PROFESSIONAL football.
- RC boat racing, RC planes, field.
- Skating (roller) rink.
- smaller music venues/ breweries.
- Something like smart center in Sugarland For year around climate controlled entertainment.
- Stop blocking Woodlands parkway with ‘events’.
- Stop sprawling- big store fronts.
- TEXAS HONKY TONK- or- LIVE music DANCING venue.
- The amount of development is not the problem, the quality of some of the new development is
- the elderly seem to be forgotten in the Woodlands.
- The Mall should be expanded (another floor), and easy to reach / sufficient parking facilities
- traffic is so bad we RARELY go to Towns center anymore.
- TUTS like venue.
- We are slowly becoming The WoodLAND. Economic Development is of course important, but why don’t we renovate some of these older buildings rather than always clearing land and building more.
- we have Lots of choices, any more we can go into Houston.
- We have the house of Blues venue @ Pavilion- needs to be utilized for local acts!
- we need a Bus line to go & Come to downtown.
- Wine festivals; Y’all do a great job!!
- You make my point critical development issue so you ask ‘need more music’? Can we build a bike lane?

NOTHING

- (I think we have plenty! already).
- About right- don’t want to use tax funds to build a performing arts center or polo field.
- ALL IS GOOD. I’M HAPPY WITH WHAT WE’VE GOT- RESTAURANTS, PAVILION SHOWS, ART SHOWS, ACTIVITIES, SHOPPING, ETC.
- Beer garden & food truck venue for adults; they have many of these types of venues in Houston and they are extremely popular & well attended
- By agreement w/ Houston we are already paying for just use facilities. Don’t need Arts museum.
- Can’t think of any/ many.
- currently SATISFIED with options.
- I am OKAY with what exist now.
- I can’t think of any.
- I don’t have a suggestion.
- I think we exceed entertainment venues here. I love that.
- I think we have all we need.
- I think we have enough - let’s stop building and leave some of the beauty
- I think we have it all.
- I think we have plenty.
- I would like For the Woodlands not to turn into Downtown. there is SUCH a thing as over development. we like the current balance.
- I’m satisfied.
- it's FINE the way it is to me.
- It's perfect the way it is.
- Just About right.
- Just Keep what you have in good shape.
- Just right.
- Just right.
- Lol!
- No more if it means tearing down trees.
- No more!
- NO MORE!
- No suggestions.
- non, Thanks.
- None
- none
- None
- NONE at this time.
- NONE NEEDED.
- NONE specific.
- NONE- there are Plenty now.
- NONE- there are Plenty now.
- NONE, current entertainment About right.
- NONE, it is well balanced, Just right.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.
None. We have enough.
None. Cynthia Woods is an amazing venue as well as North Shore park. No need for additional venues.
None. I think the pavilion is great.
None. too much construction. needs to Stop to preserve.
None. We're good.
Nothing more, Stop the growth.
Nothing, there are plenty
Nothing. the Woodlands has reached a comfortable Development level.
Nothing, there are more than enough.
OK with what we have.
Outdoor put golf with Outdoor rides.
Plenty of venues.
The current level of entertainment options available is satisfactory to me.
The current venues are adequate.
there are enough.
There are plenty already.
there is enough shopping & more than enough restaurants.
think its pretty good now.
We do not need any additional entertainment venues.
We have enough already!!! Thanks.
We have enough!
We have enough. This area was meant to be peaceful and a residential community....not a big city!!! We can travel to a big city if we want to.
we have everything.
We have plenty!
we have plenty.
We have what we need & then some!
WE'RE GOOD. NO MORE.

DON'T KNOW/N/A

Can't think of any.
DON'T KNOW.
Don't know.
Don't know.
I don't know.
N/A
No idea.
No opinion.
Not sure, but I love the Swan additions!
not sure.
**Question 24: How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?**

*Other (please specify):*
- 1 working - not in Woodlands.
- 6
- All retired.
- Both are retired but both worked in the Woodlands.
- DNA.
- Home office.
- Husband worked here over 20 years
- I work as an unpaid volunteer language teacher at the community center.
- My relatives, but not in my household.
- one family member works from home about 80%
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Spring exxonmobil.
- Traveling.
- We have grown daughters who work here.

**Question 25: How many members of your household work outside The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?**

*Other (please specify):*
- Retired.
- Retired.
- Out of country.
- DNA.
- All retired.
- CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED.
- All retired (3 Adults).
- 4

**Question 27: How do you get to your job?**

*Other (please specify):*
- Air.
- Airplane.
- Company car
- company vehicle.
- home office.
- home office.
- home office.
- I work from home remotely
- I work from home, but I don’t think that ‘Walk’ really counts.
- I would like to bike but not safe.
- Mostly, work from home.
- on-line.
- Plane.
- Self Employed from home
Tele commute.
Telecommute.
Uber, lyft.
Walk into my kitchen
Work @ home.
Work at home
work at home.
work at home.
work at home.
work from home
work from home
work from home
Work from home
work from home
work from home- remote access to Houston company.
work from home sometimes.
work from home.
work from home.
work from home.
work independently OWNED service business- travel throughout the Houston area.

**QUESTION 28: WHAT CAN BE DONE TO IMPROVE TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY?**

**IMPROVE AND/OR CREATE MORE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION**

- 1) Local buses East/ West in the woodlands.
- 2) Buses serving lone star college campuses.
- a Bus down major thruways.
- a Bus system all throughout the Woodlands.
- a regional metro system.
- a train to downtown would be stellar!
- a) within The Woodlands; add bus lines from the neighborhoods to market street etc. 
  b) high speed train / metro from The Woodlands to various key areas within Houston
- Add a park and ride for creekside
- Add metro/ train.
- Add more Woodlands express stops in Houston (Galleria area).
- Add trolley routes to each village that connect at a hub in town center.
- adding Buses For local transportation which will Also Eliminate car Traffic.
- additional/ later rooms on the park & ride.
- at least some public transportation.
- Better public transport
- Better public transport to airport (bush).
- Bus lines to all neighborhoods.
- Bus routes.
- Bus service between villages centers.
- Bus service locally.
- Bus service throughout?
- Bus service would be nice.
- Bus service!! (Locally).
- Bus service.
- Bus system- to/ from mall/ neighborhoods.
- Bus system.
- Bus to the energy corridor.
• Bus to.
• Bus transportation to different areas of town, not just downtown.
• Buses for all the area.
• Buses for low-income to get to work.
• Buses from the villages to town center on weekends?
• Buses locally. park & rides to more places downtown.
• Buses to major events.
• Cab services/ bus.
• Community shuttles would be nice.
• Commuter rail to Dallas, Houston & Austin. The Woodlands express park & Rails is a great service and I used to use it however my job changed and the service does not reach my work location. If it did (NRG stadium) I’d take the bus.
• Commuter rail to DOWNTOWN Houston. Bus service for all neighborhoods.
• Commuter rail to Houston, expand trolleys to all village shopping centers & med. centers.
• Continue & extend trolley svc. from Hughes landing & market street.
• Coordination with other municipalities (local) on creation of plan. Woodlands express is great, but only helps if you commute to Downtown.
• Create a part time bus system, build more pedestrian over passes in busy intersections.
• Create a taxi system - does not have to be bus but something other than the mall transportation & the DOWNTOWN SERVICES.
• Creekside should have a trolley service to help seniors get around.
• Each shopping center have a lease down & back to the mall, morning & afternoon.
• Expand trolley & express services.
• Expand trolley service. Keep up with synchronizing lights.
• Expand trolley system to all villages dedicated bike lanes.
• Express rail downtown!
• Extend trolley service.
• Extend woodlands parkway to tomball parkway.
• Faster bus access from park & rides to I-45.
• Free city bus.
• Frequent public transportation, including the villages.
• Give bus/trolley routes into the neighborhoods to connect with Woodlands express or to go to business centers with waterway. Market street, village shopping centers.
• Growing up in westport, Ct., we had a minibus system. It would pick up people - great for students - and drop them off at set spots. They would buy a pass ahead of time. It was great! we could easily do this with our trolley up & down Research, lake Woodla.
• Have public transportation for elderly & disabled.
• Have the trolley stop at forum, doctor’s buildings, grocery stores continue with library, mall, on a predictable schedule, provide benches to wait for trolley.
• Having public transportation to downtown Houston more frequently (evenings/weekends).
• Houston and The Woodlands need rapid transit!
• Houston area light rail. The bullet train can’t get have fast enough.
• I think expanding the trolley to the Park and Ride or to villages in the neighborhoods might help. It’s hard because everyone in Texas thinks they have to drive their own car everywhere. I am not in favor of bringing METRO to our area. Look at what happened to the 1960 area when they expanded METRO. It’s a crime ridden disaster and the businesses are suffering because of it.
• I think it is a Houston issue. public transport is abysmal. the express system is very good.
• I wish the park and ride was not only into town in the morning. Many times I want to meet my husband after work and I have to drive into town. I cannot leave my car in park and [?] late in the evening be use the lot closest.
• I would like to have access to light rail.
• Improve public transportation within the Woodlands to help curb individual car traffic.
• Improve The Woodlands commute to the IAH & don’t close down so early. Airport - add a lot of people work at IAH.
• Improve Woodlands express fare rates, locations and stops.
• In Woodlands bus service.
- Increase number of Buses. Extend working hours later into the evening.
- Increase routes through Park & Ride
- It will be very Nice if we have local bus.
- Later has service for Woodlands park & ride.
- Light rail from market street to FM 2978.
- Light rail to Houston.
- Light rail/train into Houston
- Local public transit.
- Local transportation.
- Make bus more timely - pick up in Houston is often late. Sometimes bus does not come @ all or 2 back to back.
- Make Buses reliable time for DOWNTOWN pick up.
- Mass transit into Houston.
- Mass transit like commute rail not buses.
- Mass transit or bus service - parking Garages.
- Maybe a bus going all the way in the main streets like - Woodlands Pkwy, Kuykendahl, Lake Woodlands, Gosling.
- Maybe a rail system.
- Metro
- Metro rail (though unlikely) to Houston.
- Mini Metro.
- More Affordable bus service.
- More and efficient public transportation.
- More bus lines, from local community on local community.
- More bus stops for those that don't have a car.
- More bus systems to commute downtown and other parts of Houston (e.g. Katy, Sugarland)
- More buses & routes: not just to downtown, but also to Galleria area.
- More buses on public transportation.
- More frequent express park & ride, including weekends.
- More jitney stops.
- More mass transit options.
- More public transit.
- More public transport.
- More public transport.
- More public transportation (buses).
- More public transportation options
- More public transportation, the buses have very limited time schedules in and out of Houston.
- More public transportation.
- More public transportation.
- More public transportation.
- More public transportation.
- More publicity for public transport e.g., Woodlands express.
- More stop locations for commuter buses going to Houston.
- More street cars like flooded street to important areas of the Woodlands. More transportation for the elderly to doctor's office or hospital.
- More the Woodlands expresso park and ride.
- More transportation.
- Need bus.
- Nice if you had an option to take bus back home vs. the bus running empty on return.
- Options for metro to downtown so Woodland residents would have more access to the amenities of the city (museum, plays, etc.).
- Park & Ride to more Houston area locations
- Park and Ride to the Galleria area.
- Possible look. Bus stops/ not sure though how it work.
Provide more trolley access.
Provide park & Ride services to Few more Houston locations, like Uptown.
Provisions For public pick-up For elderly.
Public bus service!! Please!!
public transportation- bus.
public transportation maybe.
public transportation- rail.
public transportation- street car/ Light rail.
Public transportation to the universities on Friday, Saturday and Sunday in an area 3 to 4 hours away.
public transportation- trains.
public transportation.
public transportation.
public transportation. metro & bus.
Public transportation.(Bus, train??).
rail service to downtown and Dallas along I-45
rail service.
rail/ Buses to Houston.
regional Mass transit.
Regular busses nightly too to Houston.
Routine Bus service into Woodlands.
Seniors need some kind of transportation available as they age. I don't know how that would happen, but the need to great.
Shuttle service to Bus transportation.
Shuttles from village centers to Downtown. the Woodlands where you can take the trolley to Get around.
Shuttles to/ from park & ride.
Subway system.
SUPPORT A TRAIN DOWN I-45 TO DOWNTOWN.
The whole Houston area really needs to consider a rail system. The roads are too congested. Have you ever tried to go into Houston from the north side of The Woodlands at 4 in the afternoon?? It is a nightmare! The bus system to Houston is good for those who work in the core of the city...but there are jobs all over.
The Woodlands needs a bus system within the community. Also, as a serious bike rider, The Woodlands needs bike paths on the roads where bikers can ride at faster speeds than is allowed (or safe) on the pathways. This would allow for commuting to and from work and other venues within The Woodlands.
to establish public transportation.
train service.
train to Houston and IAH.
train to Houston.
Tram to Downtown.
transit train going to Houston.
trolley access For small town atmosphere.
village trolleys to mall/ town center/ concerts.
we need Affordable public transportation.
We need shuffle bus service from the various villages to the town center. To do so we need parking lots as hubs for people to walk to or drive to and park. Ex-church parking lots [?] week & schools dream weekend.
What about a direct service that would take us- Woodlands to Houston Zoo? Woodlands to Theater District? (for minimal charge).
when I worked loved the Woodlands express Bus to Houston.
wider roads w/ more lanes, Faster speed limits.
within Wdlds. - train Wdlds. Houston actual Mass transit.
Woodlands express routes are limited and inconsistent. need metro partnership.
Woodlands Express service to the Energy Corridor
Woodlands express service to the Galleria area.
would consider using the Woodlands express park & Ride if Had more drop off areas in DOWNTOWN Houston.
**IMPROVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING**

- (1) Stop putting in traffic lights & stop signs everywhere. (2) Work crew work 24 hours on projects (3x8 hour shifts).
- ...more lights/signals...less stop signs.
- Adjust length of time at lights. Quit adding to the population!!!
- Adjust the traffic lights to flow with rush hour Traffic.
- Adjust the traffic lights, and Continue to do so.
- Better control of lights/ reduce special events & marathons.
- Better Coordination of signal lights.
- Better Light cycles.
- Better programming of the traffic signals, more turning lanes.
- Better sequencing of traffic lights. No tracks in left lane. enforce No vehicles in left lane unless passing.
- Better signal Light synchronization.
- Better TIMED lights and Better lane arrangements.
- Better timing of lights.
- Better timing on traffic lights.
- Better timing on traffic signals
- Better Traffic Light coordination.
- Better Traffic Light coordination.
- Better traffic Light regulation reduce development.
- Better traffic Light sequencing.
- build right turn lanes, Stop Multi family Less more YELLOW BLINKING lights.
- Clear bushes blocking visibility at intersections. more flashing YELLOW arrows at stoplights allowing cars to turn when No cross Traffic. QUIT Building so many large apartment complexes adding hundreds of cars the roads here.
- complete road Construction, Better timing of traffic lights. LONGER left hand turn lanes.
- Completion of the Woodlands Parkway exchange at 45. Synchronize lights on Woodlands parkway.
- Coordinate the lights
- Coordinate the traffic lights.
- Coordinate traffic lights, limit Construction, build bridges to accommodate flow.
- Coordination & sequencing of traffic lights on main streets.
- Get traffic lights to schedule to Improve traffic flow.
- Great strides have been made. more needed SUCH as having traffic lightening Adjust according to time of day and/ or traffic congestion.
- Have county work on signal timing along Woodlands Parkway from front to back.
- I think this is one area where you are doing the best you can. My only complaint here is that the stop light on Kuykendahl down to the GP are getting out of control - not your fault though.
- if the weather was not so humid and hot, more people would Get Focus on syncing lights outside. Everyone is addicted to their car/ AC.
- Improve traffic Light traffic floor. I here is No point in getting red Light at Woodlands PARKWAY when No cars are at side road of intersecting.
- Improved light synchronization & more blinking yellow lights @ left turns. More right turn only lanes. Speed limit increases on Woodlands Pkwy & Lake Woodlands.
- Increase speed limit on Wdlnd. Pkwy, Research, Lake Woodlands w/ Less lights or truly synced lights.
- Keep lights synced, no more building, love the medians- keep people from turning @ random places.
- Less Stop lights or Better timing For flow.
- Light synchronization on Research, Lake Woodlands, Woodlands Pkwy more frequent.
- Light timing on Research Forest, Better road drainage in rain.
- Make certain that traffic that is North or South bound can easily access 45 or 2978.
- Make sure stoplights are timed or sensored correctly
- more caution left turn signals.
- More dedicated u-turns, prioritize light timings over 'smart' lights. Enforce reckless driving laws, not just speeding.
- more efficient Light/ Light timing.
- More right-hand turn-lanes at red lights.
More turn lanes and flashing yellow arrows. Need yellow arrow @ Lake Woodlands shadow bend.
NEED SMART LIGHTS & RIGHT-TURN LANES- KEEP US MOVING (SAFELY).
No Bus service Other than what we currently have Better traffic lights For non major roads.
ongoing sequencing of traffic lights to change with school seasons.
Relieve congestion on entrances into woodlands in front & back (I45 & 1488) come up with a solution to improve flow on Research forest Dr- synchronizing traffic lights would be a good place to start.
remove YELLOW turning lights- time lights better.
seems to help when traffic lights are TIMED to accelerate traffic flow.
Sequence lights/ timing on lights.
some traffic signs are not making sense.
SWITCH LIGHTS TO BLINKING RED & YELLOW AFTER 8 PM.
sync lights, Sawdust crossover.
sync traffic lights.
sync traffic lights.
Synchronize lights & wider roads where NEEDED.
Synchronize lights and school zone areas.
Synchronize lights more efficiently- add more flashing yellow lights on left turn lanes!!!
synchronize lights to needs in area, such as schools arrival/departure, church services, etc
Synchronize lights. right turn lanes at High traffic areas.
Synchronize signal Light, repair potholes.
Synchronize the lights.
Synchronize traffic lights better.
Synchronize traffic lights to accommodate traffic density on all controlled roadways.
Synchronize traffic lights to minimize number of stops if travelling at posted speeds.
take out the right turn curbs. Adjust lights For school Traffic.
the last turn signals on Research Forest and Gosling need to be longer.
The light/ instruction @ Gosling & 242. The light going into the retreat (4400 College Park) needs attention. People leaving car wash do not stop for people going into retreat. And possibly adding 3 left turn lanes from 242 onto Gosling.
The stop lights are incredibly long and not timed out to keep the flow of traffic. A quick commute can be increased by 5-10 minutes just because of stop lights.
time lights Better to reduce BOTTLENECKS.
time lights better.
time the lights Better at major intersections.
time the lights, Add lanes, Add bicycle lanes.
time the stop lights - this should be a high school math problem for every 16 yr old. In high school we use to have to solve the timing issue in our math class growing up in ohio. it should be done here. so every new 16 yr driver gains some knowledge on traffic pattern and how to time flow. better planning - you cant put a bunch of stop lights / businesses or a schools leading up to major intersections. you dont want 4 lanes of the woodlands parkway leading to 4 single outcomes... this is simple math and observations. Next, when there is a traffic issue (as seen on internet map sites) police need to be dispatched to direct traffic flow and assist. I get out of 7.30 mass with the assistance of two police officers. for some reason at 5.00 on friday night a traffic issue on woodlands parkway develops and there is no sight of a cop.... planning and observations and correct reaction. basic
time traffic lights.
TIMEd lights.
TIMEd traffic lights & old rough roads repaired & fewer Stop signs.
Timer on lights/ some of lights on main road are very short, they turn for the cars coming off side roads too often and holds up traffic just so 3-5 cars can turn.
Timing of the lights, more double left turn lanes at busy intersections. Bicycles should not be taking a lane on busy streets (like research).
traffic control/ like the BLINKING YELLOW arrows.
traffic Light coordination.
Traffic lights still need improvement. Better diversion of I-45 and Hardy.
Traffic lights sync'd on Research Forest and Grogan's Mill.
- traffic lights, TIMED, synced.
- traffic signal mgmt., Increase length of turn lanes, flyovers For major E/w & N/s intersections.
- Work on lights going at out neighborhoods- specifically village of Cochran’s crossing.

**Widening OR BUILDING MORE ROADS/OVERPASSES**

- 1) ENFORCE SPEED LIMITS. 2) RELIEVE CONGESTION ON RESEARCH FOREST IN AM, WHEN H. S. IS IN SESSION. 3) ELIMINATE ROADS THAT GO FROM 2 LANES TO ONE LANE & BACK TO 2 LANES.
- 1) Kuykendahl should be 2 lanes each way with no stop signs except the stretch between Research & Woodlands pkwy over the spillway.
- 2 lanes to both Westbound & Eastbound flyover from I-45 to Woodlands parkway. Do not extend Woodlands Parkway beyond 2978.
- 3 lanes/turning lanes on Woodlands Parkway FM-2978 4 lanes near The Woodlands parkway better connection to 249.
- Add a road behind Portofino to connect to 242, make the 242 direct connectors FREE. Change the woodlands parkway overpass to reduce 45 congestion. Fix the timing on the 242 lights to reduce the parking lot on 242.
- Add more infrastructure (e.g. Kuykendahl Rd. should be 4 lanes between Lake Woodlands & Bay branch).
- Add roads on the East side of I-45 between 242 and Sawdust.
- Add turning lanes 1) Branch crossing @ May Valley.
- After construction/widening projects are completed, mobility will improve.
- Another bridge and additional lane Gosling.
- Another express road like Woodlands Pkwy near I-45. Drive time is excessive for people in Allen Bridge and further.
- Anticipate Growth and Improve/EXPAND roads accordingly.
- Better & speedier roads in & out of the Woodlands For commuters.
- Better planning For lane widening, seems like one lane is added then a year later Another lane.
- Complete expansion of roads now that the cut thru’s have taken place to accommodate increased traffic.
- Connect the Western side of the Woodlands to 99.
- CONT: widening roads.
- Continue expansion @ intersections to eliminate congestion @ peak hours.
- Continue expansion of Gosling road.
- Continue to widen roads & bridges- seek more roads that lead out of the Woodlands.
- Continue/Finish road WIDENINGS, more traffic studies.
- EXPAND roads.
- Expand roads. Also, find ways to direct passer through traffic around the woodlands.
- EXPAND streets.
- Extend right hand turn lanes; Do not oppose road bond issues.
- Finish construction of road widening :) first PARKWAY Construction
- Fix 242- add flyover to go East bound & get rid of tolls.
- Flyover at Gosling and Kuykendahl. Keep widening Woodlands Parkway.
- Focus on upgrading/increasing major arteries into/out of major centers of employment.
- Free fly away ramps from 242 to I 45.
- FULLY develop roads all at ONCE.
- Gosling bridge expansion
- Greater access to Woodlands Pkwy from I-45. Single lane in and out no longer sustainable. Too much traffic.
- I think a RING road For locals to Get from one end of the Woodlands to the other.
- if there are wider routes For park & Ride, Please notify via mail or E-mail.
- Improve ‘east-west’ access to off load Woodlands Pkwy research forest, Lake Woodlands.
- Improve roads and traffic lights, expanding roads
- Increase East/ West capacity.
- Keep up with Growth like the bridge on Gosling. SHOULD not take this long to widen it. widen roads NEEDED. left hand YELLOW arrows to turn off No one is coming.
- Keep widening the roads & turn lanes.
- Make more flyovers on Woodlands Pwy like @ Grogan’s Mill.
- More fly-overs to lessen traffic jams on I-45.
- more lanes & new streets.
- More lanes, more roads - complete the Kyurkendahl double lanes extension to 1488
- more lanes.
- more lanes.
- more passageways.
- more roads, not widening them.
- more roads.
- Need some flyover (and not ones that refuse tolls)!
- New freeway ramps at 242 and 1488.
- No bike lanes Add traffic lanes.
- Overpasses @ major intersections.
- park and Ride to Galleria.
- prevent Extension of Woodlands Parkway. widen bridges over Spring Creek.
- Reduce the amount of lane mergers & some roads merge from 3->2 or 2->1 seemingly without notice.
- Roundabouts.
- start planning 5 years ago; get gosling bridge done ASAP
- There needs to be one or two sets of public transport facilities (east-west and north-south)
- transportation to West side H10 corridor and West chase.
- Widen FM 1488 & FM 2978.
- Widen Gosling & Kuykendahl (already being done).
- widen main avenues.
- widen streets/ roads where permissible. Better synchronization of traffic lights.
- wider roads, police monitoring slow cars in fast lane.
- Woodlands PARKWAY Flyover from back of Woodlands to I-45
- work with County & state on adding new roads.

**LIMIT FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE WOODLANDS**

- Before building consider roads streets. Traffic is terrible apartments, business built before roads can handle all this traffic!
- control growth.
- CURTAIL over Development/ Synchronize traffic lights/ complete one road project at a time.
- CURTAIL POPULATION.
- GROW SLOWER.
- Keep the Woodlands the size it was initially planned.
- lease growth.
- Less home development- widen IH45N/ Woodlands Pkwy overpass.
- Less PEOPLE.
- Less urbanization smaller/ Less Tall bldgs.
- Limit growth
- limit POPULATION.
- need a complete analysis based on pop growth.
- No more rapid growth.
- No more traffic. Don’t turn us into Houston please!
- QUIT development.
- QUIT making Hughes landing type MONSTROSITIES with ACCOMPANYING congestion.
- QUIT opening up so many new areas. this is Bringing in more PEOPLE.
- QUIT overbuilding and QUIT encouraging commercialization of the Woodlands.
- reduce development.
- slow down development.
- Stop additional Development/ Synchronize traffic signals.
Stop Building & a Bus route would be nice.
Stop Building apartment complexes.
Stop Building huge apt. complexes.
Stop building.
Stop driving business in and building.
Stop excessive commercial construction. too many residents, new Growth without the infrastructure to support all those PEOPLE.
Stop Growth of shopping & restaurants & homes.
Stop OVERGROWTH.
Stop permitting- Stop Building homes.
Stop the development. Decide which road you want the magnolia folks to drive on and then make that the fast way to get to 145.
Stop the Growth, EXPAND roads.
Stop the over development.

FOCUS ON INFRASTRUCTURE FOR BICYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS

advertise ways for people to safely ride their bikes from Panther Creek/Cochran’s Crossing and other villages to the 'business district' of Anadarko/McKesson...I think it can be done, but it feels dangerous given morning and evening traffic
Better bike lanes, signage, more Buses For Woodlands express Sterling Ridge. a Bus service to the mall area.
Bike Fast lanes to connect all areas of the woodlands. Smart traffic lights to improve vehicles' flow
bike lanes on roads.
bike lanes on the road. pathway is Nice, but bike lanes would allow For Faster bike travel.
bike lanes.
bike lanes.
bike lanes.
bike lanes.
bike lanes. enforce speeding on new trails.
bike lanes that are safe- Someone SHOULD look at what Colorado does.
Bike paths
connect Woodlands bike paths to Spring Creek Greenway.
Decrease road congestion around schools with lights and turning lanes. Flashing cross walk pedestrian lights instead of four way stop signs that are never obeyed.
Don't buy ducks/ swans. Put $ into bike paths and
Finish Kuykendahl from Lake Woodlands north to 1488. Increase Kuykendahl back to 45mph. I would also like better controls around schools such that all the stupid parents that can't have their precious little snowflakes walk, to stop parking in int he roads waiting to pull into the schools. It is a real hassle trying to drive by when most of the street is being blocked by those assholes. Either make real bike lanes or use the non-existent traffic enforcement to start ticketing bicyclists who don't follow the rules. There have been sever times I've seen 50+ bikers at night riding around like complete assholes just because they could.
Focus on bike lanes to Increase aesthetics and health of community.
have bike lanes.
I wish it was Safer/ easier/ clearer to bike to work.
Improve pathways
Keep bicycles off the roadways.
make long bike paths to get the damned bicycles off of our roads. they choose when they are pedestrians and when they are vehicles.
More bike lanes on the roadways
more bike lanes.
more bike lines.
more bike paths.
More bike-friendly options...NOT the Mobike or community bikes...I mean better paths, better roadway shoulders and elimination of the cyclist-killing curbs which eliminate the shoulders at some intersections. Programs need to incentivize biking to work/school.

More bike lanes & public transit.

More sidewalks would help!

Provide more bike lanes/ enlarge main roads (2 lanes).

Provide more safety for bikes or build a road for bikers in 242.

Redesign intersections to make them safer for bike and pedestrian traffic. Traffic circles, road humps, lower speed limits on major streets (Woodlands Pkwy, Research Forest).

Remove ‘cut outs’, bike lanes.

Tell the bike riders & joggers to get off the road!

We need a bike path down Kuykendahl past St. Anthony's- Connecting Alden Bridge- Cochran’s Crossing- Sterling Ridge area.

When I was working, I drove to work. I would have loved to ride to the ExxonMobil company on my bicycle. No path from Gosling road to campus. Part of green way project! Why can’t a bicycle path be built from Gosling road to XOM campus. Just need one brid

Enhance/Promote Alternatives to Car Travel (like carpooling, taxis, Uber, etc.)

More carpool options.

More carpool/ Vanpool options.

Promote Ride share.

Ride-sharing.

Taxi service.

Uber

Woodlands Uber system with PAY.

Repair and Enhance Existing Roads and Bridges

Better streets with more lanes.

Build L-turn & R-turn lanes. Build easier access to 99. Build more N/S, E/W access- not toll roads!

Dedicated right turn lanes that veer to the right before the intersection. That way you don’t get stuck behind someone going straight thru an intersection from the far right lane. Also, roundabouts are way better than traffic signaled intersections. They move traffic way more efficiently, as demonstrated in communities our size in France. It’s just that Americans are stuck in our ways used to brainless traffic lights, but roundabouts are far superior.

Expanding turn lanes at major intersections

Finish Gosling, including bridge over Springcreek.

Fix Gosling.

Fix rough streets.

GROW/ Improve E/w & N/s major routes.

Improve Northbound Sawdust/ 545 exit.

Improve roads & limit growth.

Improve Woodlands PARKWAY Flyover ramp.

Path under Grogan’s mill needs better maintenance (mowing/ lighting).

Replace rough spots in high traveled streets (Recover rough streets/ roads).

to Keep monitoring or checking on roads that are uneven.

Control/Limit the Number of Major Throughways

Close Research & Woodlands Pkwy to 2978!

Create mobility HIGHWAYS around (OUTSIDE) of the Woodlands.

Current backlog of mobility projects about right. Prevent Woodlands Parkway from becoming regional east/west transportation corridors.

Decrease pass through Traffic.

do not Extend Woodlands Parkway.
Do not open up Woodlands parkway west of 2978.
Don’t let the main streets be race tracks for through ways.
Don’t put Woodlands parkway thru, Bike riders should not be able to ride in the street (they should stay on shoulder).
No 18 wheelers/ other big trucks.
EASE CONGESTION BY LIMITING EXTERNAL ACCESS- GOSLING / KUYKENDALL ROAD, 2978 ACCESS/ 242 ACCESS.
Establish different routes AROUND The Woodlands, DO NOT extend WOODLANDS PARKWAY outside of 2978, DO NOT cut through any remaining FOREST AREA north of 242 or 1488. Do NOT cut GOSLING through past 242.
Less pass through commuters.
Limit the lanes on our main roadways. Do not encourage any more pass - thru traffic by non-residents!!
MINIMIZE ‘CUT THRU TRAFFIC’.
more enforcement traffic & limit Connecting roads from OUTSIDE the Woodlands.
Reduce cut through roads to keep cars from ‘just passing thru’.
reduce the passing through on Research Forest & Woodlands Parkway.
reduce through Traffic.
Restrict areas OUTSIDE the Woodlands from using Woodlands Pky as a freeway.
Sounds contrary but don’t open or widen major roads, such as the defeated Woodlands parkway extension.
Stop additional development. Create right hand turn lanes at major intersections. Stop building roads that use The Woodlands as a ‘cut through’ to other places.
Stop buildings roads that cause short cuts through Woodlands.
Stop opening or even discussing opening arteries that put traffic into The Woodlands… Expanding The Woodlands Parkway past 2978 will destroy our community…
Stop widening parkways and roads.
Stop widening thru ROADS! THAT only BRINGS more Traffic. Focus on a RING road APPROACH around the Woodlands.
Stop Woodlands parkway extension!!!
To what we can to ensure we aren’t used as a through-way.
242 and I-45 South bound in AM- would love to share 5-10 mins. off time it takes me to get down to Hardy Toll road or 99.
Add a large wall so us in Tall Forest do not noise of traffic all day and night on Grogens and Woodland Pkwy.
Alleviate rush hour traffic near I45
Ask large business to fluctuate release times so not enough goes home Same time.
Avoiding traffic jams, Cleaner streets, maybe more speed limits! better enforce to not use the cell phone during driving! important.
Better civil engineer design!
Better design @ I-45 especially at Sawdust exit!
better means to get from Grogan’s Mill to 242, without crossing the highway
Cat’s out of the bag- too much traffic already.
Community has too many highway entries and exits (or they aren’t well planned). Placing a freeway entry 75 yards away from a freeway exit has a hugely negative impact on freeway flow as cars trying to enter and exit in such a small distance brings movement to a near stop. This cascades to center lanes and turns commutes in the morning and evening into a nightmare. The I45 northbound feeder at 242 is an engineering fiasco. The toll connector that goes west on 242 was not the issue although I’m certain The Woodlands was pleased to ease access going to the west. The tragedy is that going east is where the issues are - particularly from the northbound feeder. I understand a new east bound connector is planned but some effort could be made in the interim (signage, etc) to keep people moving as they head east on 242. The feeder Could function much more efficiently.
Companies allow flexible schedules where a majoring of individuals do not hit the road around 5:00.
complete road construction.
Conroe ISD police could help at Intersections, but They do not.
Construction at Gosling is very bad.
Continue to Eliminate the BOTTLENECKS. work w/ everybody possible to DEAL w/ I-45 & HARDY TOLL road congestion.
control our own road by becoming incorporated lose County control.
Decongestion of Woodlands Parkway
Decrease Congestion in & out of the Woodlands.
Destroy Stop Sign at Crosswalk on Gosling.
Difficult to say as there isn’t a mass transportation option that would be attractive or necessary, for the most part.
do a Better job of planning exit ramps to hwy. / TOLL roads.
Do not bring public transportation to The Woodlands. It brings an element we do not need here. Continue to work on traffic and roadways to increase mobility.
do the best to Keep what we have (businesses) in good shape.
Doing well continued enforcement necessary For Safety.
Dramatic improvement in traffic enforcement.
Earlier execution of planning.
Easier access to/ from 45 or faster access to 99 from backside.
EASY ACCESS TO I-45, LESS TRAFFIC LIGHTS.
Eliminate tolls asap.
ENFORCE SPEED LIMITS AND PROPER USE OF 4 WAY STOPS.
Enforce speed limits. Don’t set TW main thoroughfares as vehicles for people to pass through (e.g. residents West & East of TW).
Entrance to TWHS in the a.m- major Congestion on Research forest.
Finish the messy construction on The Woodlands Parkway.
Fix the traffic problems.
Get cars off side roads. Better planning during special events.
Get Roadwork completed Faster, Keep through traffic out.
HOV helped a lot.
I have great access to I-45, 99 &c 59 &c 2978.
I think limiting traffic peak times is important. however, I am not sure how this could be accomplished.
I would like if there was a safe way to do so to Lone Star College. The traffic on 242 is terrible in the morning so whatever could alternate that.

- Improve access/exit to I-45
- Improve North-South traffic flow.
- Improve traffic flow during peak times.
- Improve traffic flow in/out of the Woodlands.
- Improve traffic flow.
- Improved parking (town center) less flooding on I-45 feeders.
- Increase speed limit of Woodlands Pkwy to 55 m/h.
- infrastructure expansion.
- Keep doing what you’re are doing on WL Pkwy.
- Less road works.
- Less traffic. More speed control on Woodlands parkway!
- limit on street parking.
- Live closer to work
- Lower ticket price for the Woodlands express Fix congestion in school zones during morning and mid afternoon
- Lower Woodlands express $ rate.
- make it more affordable.
- many items already in process or being studied but can name more.
- More connections to the main arteries like completing David Memorial Drive to 242 to provide relief at the College Park/242 intersection.
- more exits.
- More law enforcement, more (?) Roads.
- More parking (maybe park & ride for events).
- more place to direct traffic during school entry/exit times.
- More radar!
- More road construction. Quit arguing on whether we need this Rd of tolls are mud red!
- more traffic control to Stop excess speed on roads.
- more.
- MOST DAYS & TIMES, ITS FINE, ITS M-F AT 8 & 5. THIS SHOWS TOO MANY PEOPLE WORK HERE BUT DON’T LIVE HERE. WHERE IS THE TAX BENEFIT TO THAT. I PAY THE TAXES, THEY ENJOY THE BENEFITS.
- need additional Options to Get to Magnolia, Wood Forest, growing parts of Western, Northern Montgomery county.
- No buses please!!
- not much as the community is focused on a residential development.
- not much. a Few left turn lane and some common sense flooding issues i.e. Feeder flooding at Sam Moon as a so Lets build more?
- People should have transportation when deciding to move here. If transportation is added to The Woodlands, I’m leaving The Woodlands!!!
- Priorities N/S road East 45. 242 @ 45 needs to be late 1960 @ 45.
- Quicker route to 45 from Creekside Park West.
- reduce amount of traffic going thru Creekside.
- reduce Congestion on Research Forest from Lake to I-45
- Remove the HOV only lane along the freeway in the Woodlands. Unless there is statistical evidence to prove that it increases traffic flow implementation of that lane was retarded.
- Restrict work trucks & lawn crew trailers to certain times and # per commercial entity for example 4 AC trucks at same residence, four lawns crews on Cul De Sac w/ as homes for 6 hrs. each.
- see #11 response.
- See #11.
- slow traffic down- enforce speed limits.
- so far it has worked well For me.
- Stagger school starts or develop better drop off /pick up scenarios.
- Stop blocking Woodlands PARKWAY with events. I have a job to do.
- Stop having Marathons and races.
Stop the red light runners- its irritating to wait at a green light while 4 cars continue on red especially at many lane & Woodlands Parkways.

street & road network.

traffic cams, police visual during rush hours.

Traffic control at the schools!! College Park!!

Traffic is a difficult problem to tackle, but I think the Township has been doing a great job at trying to reduce it. Widening Research Forest, for example, has helped traffic dwindle even during rush hour times.

traffic lanes are shut down without warning. I have Come across this concerning Construction, maintenance, and landscaping.

Truck lane For trucks only.

Update infrastructure

what can be done to slow transportation & mobility growth?

WHEN YOU MAKE ROADS THERE ARE ONLY 2 LANES. LATER YOU IMPROVE IT TO 4 LANES. WHY YOU ORIGINAL ROADS 6 LANES TO BEGIN WITH? YPU ARE NOT PLANING FOR THE FUTURE.

You are expanding Gosling- so that’s good.

NOTHING/DON’T KNOW

Address traffic concerns.

do not know.

Don’t know but it needs help.

Don’t know!

Don’t know.

Don’t know.

don’t know. mobility seems okay.

Don’t know; still congested getting on 45 from 1488 or 242.

good job

GOOD QUESTION, I DON’T KNOW.

Ha, Ha- not enough space or time For that.

Honestly, I don’t know because I think those decisions are being made by people who don’t care about quality of life in The Woodlands. Our roads have TONS of extra traffic of people who don’t like in The Woodlands. I don’t think we can change that unless they are given more attractive options that don’t take them through The Woodlands.

I don’t know as I am not experienced in this area, but it needs to be improved.

I don’t know, but there are traffic problems that are getting worse.

I don’t know.

I don’t know.

I don’t know.

I don’t know.

I’m a realtor & do not go to my office daily.

I’m fine w/ what exists.

In The Woodlands its great. I have no personal experience[?] with travel to Houston from outside of The Woodlands.

It’s good as it is.

leave it alone.

Love the Woodlands Express!!

N/a

N/a- Ride forum bus.

No improvements NEEDED.

No opinion.

None.

None.

not decided.

not sure but not Bus from Houston.

not sure.
QUESTION 29: IN TERMS OF IMPORTANCE TO YOU, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other (please specify)</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>like the park rangers helping to limit commercial vehicles from parking in park parking areas.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not if it means moving them onto the streets.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop the Woodlands PARKWAY Extension West.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all FINE as it is leave well enough alone.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't bring in more traffic.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more transportation handicapped.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>providing more connections in and out of the Woodlands more crime.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event congestion (traffic).</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t charge anything- Don’t add public transportation; don’t make the Woodlands easily/easier to access. Accessible.</td>
<td>Not at all important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail?</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You gave us 99 but apt. is too much to use it.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop connecting.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right turn lanes.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve school zone audience.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traffic enforcement of Aggressive drivers speeding drivers.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plan Better roadway access before you EXPAND or Get more businesses.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jogging park lights.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic cops to speed movement then intersections at rush hour like on Sundays near churches. e.g. 1488- 45.5.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not knowledgeable.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get rid of undivided &quot;highways&quot;- like on Kuykendahl &amp; Gosling.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>some Pathways are too dense with Overgrown vegetation.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to re-stripe east end of Research Forest. Lanes are very difficult to see at night!</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please build street car or Light rail.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do not open neighborhood to Other neighborhood, e.g., Extend Woodlands Pkwy.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Stop signs, Less police maintaining.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase traffic enforcement.</td>
<td>Very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood parking issue.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more control on ]?dugs on ]?lawsuit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROOT CAUSE EXCESSIVE DEVELOPMENT SENSELESS SPORTS(MARATHONS ETC.).</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other (please specify):
Putting in new bridge on Gosling so 2 lanes each direction. Rating
very important. N/A

**QUESTION 38: WHAT OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROVIDE TO THE TOWNSHIP?**

**CONTINUE TO IMPROVE PUBLIC SAFETY**
- Crime must be stopped. Provide pathways near homes that I would feel safer walking in (not covered by a blanket of trees).
- Feel safe. Keep the Pathways clear.
- Get a sidewalk in the mall ring. For safety- clean up some undergrowth by bike paths- sadly For safety there needs to be a bit more visibility.
- Invest all you can in safety and preventing crimes. If we LIVE safe we will Always choose the Woodlands to reside.
- MORE POLICE- CARS PARK ANYWHERE THEY WANT- BY FIREPLUGS- EVERY DAY- NEED POLICE CATCH/TICKET THESE. IN 6 YEARS- HAVE NOT SEEN ANY POLICE.
- More public safety regarding joggers and bikers
- Please do more to make our roads safe. I see so many reckless drivers and its terrifying.
- SHERIFF PRESENCE IMPROVEMENTS SHOULD FOCUS ON CRIME/ THEFT NOT TRAFFIC LAW ENFORCEMENT. BOTH ARE IMPORTANT, BUT I D RATHER SEE PATROL IN/ AROUND PARKING LOTS AND SHOPPING AREAS, THAN PARKED SOMEWHERE.
- Undercover bike cop.

**SUGGESTIONS ABOUT GOVERNMENT AND/OR TAX REFORMS**
- 1) TW employees are wasting taxpayers dollars and we see it- everywhere. 2) Do more to curb wasteful practices in every department.
- Address exorbitant property tax values assessed by county. I fight this every year and am still assessed ridiculous home values.
- Cannot pay any higher property taxes. TEXAS needs to find Better way than property tax- personal income tax.
- Fire department is overpaid. Replace employee 2 for 1 match saving plan with somethings similar to the private sector.
- Hire only pleasant, helpful PEOPLE.
- HOW ABOUT A TOLL ROAD THRU THE WOODLANDS WHERE RESIDENTS ARE FREE AND OUTSIDERS PAY. IT’LL NEVER HAPPEN, BUT IT WOULD REDUCE CONGESTION. I HAVE NO BENEFITS TO BEING A LONG TERM RESIDENT. BEEN A TAX PAYING HOMEOWNER FOR 39 YEARS AND I M NOT REWARDED ANY B
- I am concerned that our taxes will INCREASE if we INCORPORATE.
- Keep setting the gold standard on how to Provide efficient services by using tax revenue responsible.
- Lower property taxes! Lower school taxes for those w/ no kids, make town center/ market street pet friendly!
- Lower property taxes.
- on becoming a city- explore how to Stop paying equiv. County taxes. why double taxation when No Other city does this?
- Please, we need some flexibility in how our covenant people perform work. They are not friendly people or rational. I think They find issues to justify their existence. it drives me nuts.
- Reduce tax rate For single family homes. For single parents.
- Stop pretending that we are rich and want to waste money in superfluous things, and start saving our taxes.
- Stop raising my taxes, stop making moves/ decisions without a vote!
- Tea party BRINGS in incompetent leaders- Must stop.
- Term limits on Woodlands Township Board verifiable knowledge/ goals for Woodlands Township leadership. Actually, new leadership for all Woodlands township departments would be best!
- Use funds collection wisely.
Used to be such a great place to live. Hughes corp. has been a horrible thing to happen up here! They don’t care about anything but $!!
we are in a new era since Trump tax reform and property taxes Must go down! Not level but down.

Create policies to slow development
- Control development, better traffic intersections
- Control Growth, taxes & zoning.
- Focus more on maintaining the great things we already have and not growing too fast.
- Generally good job. Don’t allow interior new developments. Keep what green spaces remain.
- I love that I have been safe and proud to say I live in The Woodlands. Don’t get too big that you throw away why we moved here. Try to keep us safe from flooding.
- I still love living here. It is getting too crowded and too many apartments have been Built in and Just OUTSIDE of the Woodlands.
- if we Continue to build neighborhoods where the flood water used to drain to we need Better flood control or Stop overbuilding.
- Keep us a township stop connecting roadways for people to cut through they can use I-45, 2978, 242 & 99.
- No more retail.
- Please don’t destroy the very uniqueness that brought us here by over-development, congestion & increase in crime.
- Please don’t develop the wetlands @ Creekside Forest & Gosling. We don’t need more stores & walk-in ER’s.
- Preserve the Woodlands, don’t expand any more. Keep it very, very safe.
- Protect our core! Preserve the quality of life values the Mitchell’s envisioned. We are big enough; have nearly all we need in The Woodlands. Stopping the ongoing commercialization & sprawl us key. If I want ‘big city’, I’ll move to Austin, Dallas or Houston.
- Remember to embrace and continue the values set forth by George P. Mitchell and ensure that The Woodlands remains a beautiful and special place to live. Do not sell of every piece of land for the highest bid to build another storage unit or fast food restaurant. Enforce the replanting of trees when people cut down or remove their trees. This should include development placing trees in parking lots if they have too. The Woodlands is becoming more concrete and less woods. If you keep expanding, it will keep growing, then you keep expanding, and the cycle won’t stop until The Woodlands no longer resembles the place we chose to live.
- Stop developing stores and housing in new areas. Stop construction.
- Stop Growth PROMOTION & Focus on what made Woodlands in the first place.
- Thank you for asking for input from residents, but we need to take action. We are the cash cow for the entire county and that’s not right. We wanted to live in TW for a long time. Now that we are finally here, I worry about the changes that I see. I see more traffic, more crime, less attention paid to the original schools. It’s disheartening.
- the Woodlands has been a Great place to LIVE and raise our family, but the changes we are seeing w/ over Development increasing rents that push out shopkeepers etc., Increase traffic has been very disturbing.
- TW growing too much!
- We are in danger of becoming too commercialized, I don’t like what is happening to the Woodlands. Far too many businesses are going up and it is changing the feel of the place. Its not as special anymore. It’s also creating more traffic into the Woodland.
- We moved here to be in a walkable, bike-able neighborhood surrounded by trees and nature. We would like to see the Township focusing on the paths and the parks and the trees. Our hearts sink every time we pass more construction that has caused more of our precious, mature trees to be knocked down for the sake of adding more shopping, and apartment high rise buildings that will congest the area with more cars and pollution.

Change enforcement of covenants/deeds
- Hire a more diverse non political group to run and enforce covenants/deeds.
- over all this is a Great place to LIVE, we would like to See the township take more control on people who do not follow the covention empty run down houses, etc.
- The bikes in front of the house rule is the dumbest thing ever, ever, ever!!!
- The whole tree restriction thing is too restrictive. We have friends that gave up living here b/c the Architectural Review Committee would not allow them to build their house on the 10 lots he bought for trees. The trees are great, but there are plenty t
We moved here for the master plan & covenant & deed restrictions came from a place that had none would consider moving if the Township can't enforce what we all agreed to do. Especially businesses.

**Focus on Community Character and/or Beauty (Litter Pick-up, Landscaping, etc.)**

- Need a better litter program. We see a lot of trash in the trees, etc., while walking our dogs. Pick some up but there's too much. - Speed limit on Woodlands Pkwy should be 50, but enforced better.
- 1) Make better use of Lake Woodlands & property along the waterway (south side). 2) Improve the ugly mess of signs & shop along I45. 3) Expand market street by developing into the parking lot on the north side.
- A street sweeper once a month in the neighborhood.
- Can not understand how new house construction on Longspur was approved. House is not in keeping with size and style of area. Prolong construction delays unacceptable.
- Continue township improvement over immediate incorporation. Adjust traffic lights. Save the trees and keep the beauty!
- Cut leaning pines on business properties. Lower people of grass cutters.
- Encourage more stringent recycling. From elem. kids on up through water bottles in the trash instead of in the recycling can, this should not be happening. Encourage water conservation!
- History - George Mitchell Developer designed this area with future, please retain his image.
- HOA needs to focus less on normal environmental issues such as pine needles and algae. It happens.
- HOA's of town home communities do not enforce appearance standards as set forth the township. The township should oversee and enforce all homes in The Woodlands regardless of the HOA middleman. Residents have little impact on the HOA itself.
- I love living here because of all the amenities & the appearance with the trees & lack of commercialization!
- I would like to play racquetball. Clean up erosion on roadways/shoulders.
- I would like to see instead of sending letters when lawns are long, a request or offer to help. Sometimes life happens and lawn care gets away from you. I would like to see volunteers to help.
- If you keep clear-cutting trees for commercial purposes, you'll have to change the name from The Woodlands to The Concrete Prairie.
- Just my notes enclosed - the great thing about our town is how close we are to what we need. I, and many friends do not like the excessive high end feel that is pushed in every new development it's ridiculous.
- Keep junk cars out of driveway and too many cars parked in street.
- Keep Woodlands pristine - leave trees like original villages.
- Let's continue to keep up our Woodlands - it's beautiful here - let's not ruin George Mitchell's dream for 'neighborhood'.
- Located here to avoid Houston and it's culture - not to become a mini-clone of Houston.
- More originality. Less chain restaurants & cookie cutter housing.
- Need more sidewalks. Garbage issue has to be solved.
- Overall the township has done a great job making the neighborhood the gem it is today. Let's do everything we can to maintain it's desirable characteristics and improve wherever possible. Thanks you.
- Please continue to plant trees. Please keep the area clean and allow the Woodlands to remain without Creekside joining.
- Please give Hughes landing a new look. How about making it as nice as market street. Potted flowers, new shrubs etc. It is ghetto! Embarrassing!
- Please keep George Mitchell's original vision of the Woodlands as the primary purpose of your actions!
- Protect the trees.
- Small 'Mom & Pop' businesses have been forced out of the Woodlands due to high rent. I now frequent more businesses outside the Woodlands than in it. Like the private ownership vs. chains. We miss the charm of things like a village pub.
- The woodland is known for it's nature beauty and excellent maintenance of facilities. Please don't sacrifice quality for quality - we don't need to grow larger - we just want it to maintain the high standards of quality in all aspects that it's known for.
- Trim trees with branches hanging over residential streets.
- Walking paths should be cleaned more often.
- We are thinking of moving - due to the appearance of our street - to an area outside the Woodlands WH & I stricken enforcement's on covenants. Falling star court & drive - 1) Oil pans under car. 2) Covered cars. 3) Junk in front yards - mold on houses.
we have a park on our street & have to constantly complain About keeping it mowed.
we like living here- Feel safe. it Looks lovely but is Changing to Something Other than George Mitchells village plan. Looks and feels different.
Woodlands maintain common Area & small neighborhood parks Better require residents to maintain homes & landscaping. High standard of Woodlands is slipping weed, long grass, chipping paint, etc.

**IMPROVE MOBILITY (ROADS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING, PUBLIC TRANSIT, ETC.)**

- 1) Ban all residential solicitors. 2) Increase traffic enforcement to step speeding & red light summers.
- 1. INCORPORATE. 2. DEAL WITH OVER GENTRIFICATION. 3. ENFORCE SPEED LIMITS ON MAIN ROADS. 4. LOOK INTO INCONSISTENT OPERATION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS.
- A Woodlands Pkwy extension to 249 would be a disaster.
- Again, it would be Nice For Buses to take residents to the cook off, Rodeo, all sporting events and big events in Houston.
- Although I APPRECIATE the need to move traffic in and out of the Woodlands Pkwy. it has become an expressway and can be dangerous to Drive on.
- Before a dime is spent on widening 242, can they please synchronize the traffic signals? If they can’t do it - I can show them - we don’t have to re-invent the wheel.
- Better time the traffic lights. There are a few lights where it skips you 2-4 times before it gives you the light. At night, I hate waiting when there is nobody coming the other way. Make the intersections more intelligent. Make the longer roads be so that if you do the speed limit, you won't hit any red lights. When someone complains about a house that looks abandoned, actually do something about it. Do a better job about making people know that this is the WOODlands and that trees shouldn’t be cut down. I’ve seen several really large trees get removed when there was nothing wrong with them other than people trying to sell their house or new owners not really liking it. I don’t like the idea of being a dick about every little infraction, but there needs to be actual enforcement on egregious violations. Stop promoting The Woodlands as a great place for foreigners (state/country). Stop promoting marathons, ironmans, and similar.
- bike lanes.
- Bikers should not block traffic
- Bus line would help. if the HOA finds Something wrong, They SHOULD contact that individual and look into his/her situation and help, if necessary. this would be much Better than rude, demanding letters. Imagine, a home owners Association that actually care About the home owner and not petty things.
- Bus was taken away due to sale of apartment house.
- consider allowing golf carts on existing paths. would help with traffic congestion. Research Peachtree city in Georgia.
- consider lights instead Stop signs and a lane For bykers.
- Continue to maintain the Woodlands the way it was originally envisioned. do not Extend Woodlands Pkwy to the West.
- DO NOT EXPAND THE WOODLANDS PARKWAY WEST OF 2978. IT WILL DESTROY OUR COMMUNITY FOR THE GREED OF A FEW!
- Do not expand Woodlands Parkway westbound.
- Do not start running buses etc into the neighborhoods and area centers. Do NOT keep adding lanes to the roads especially lake woodlands.
- DO YOU THINK THIS IS GEORGE MITCHELL’S VISION? TRAFFIC IS HORRIBLE! I PLAN ON MOVING.
- enforce bike riders to actually use the pathways.
- Extend Woodlands Parkway to Tomball Parkway and build out the village center at Woodlands Parkway and FM 2978 on the other side on FM 2978- the back of The Woodlands is so under developed for the residence living in the area. We need more shopping and dinning options.
- Fix mobility. I used to love living here but the congestion and traffic makes it much less appealing and we live close to 45.
- Fix the traffic flashing Light in the intersection of Research Forest & Crownridge before more accidents happen.
- Gosling traffic to Creekside Park Village is a huge problem. Bridge expansion is way overdue.
- I believe great strides have been made in improving traffic (expanding lanes on The Parkway, for example). And as a growing community, this will likely always be an issue, particularly when there is no public transportation option. I am going to reiterate my earlier comment about the need for flashing lights at all school zones in The Woodlands. There are too many signs with too many different school zone times and it’s hard for cars to know when the school zone is in effect. Flashing lights are a very visual and effective way to ensure that school zone times and speed limits are followed.
I hope to move away from The Woodlands in the next few years because neighborhood streets are being used as cut throughs by outsiders who speed and are not stopped. My kids have to walk to school because Conroe ISD does not provide bus service. Lack of crossing guards makes this dangerous since no one is stopping at stop signs. When discussed with both police and CISD they indicated they don’t have enough people to cover the intersections. Additionally, construction of more strip shopping centers with tons of vacant space is not amenable to the family friendly atmosphere I expect of The Woodlands.

I think I have mentioned it but better transportation!

Improve bike safety on roadways.

Improve traffic Synchronize signals. do Something to Keep bicycles separate from car lanes.

in crossing the traffic Light cycle during rush hour (green and red), would Give people more time to react to a green Light and make Light timing more effective in alleviating congestion.

Just Get These traffic signal lights adjusted properly. very happy with the Woodlands otherwise.

Less distance between street lights. streets sweepers more OFTEN. Planting of new Trees as NEEDED.

Love Guery here. Just want it safe and pretty, but need some traffic control and we do need to be our own city. Do not want to be a part of Houston!

Make street signs bigger!

More ‘smart’ traffic lights will reduce traffic congestion. Also, stop cutting down so many trees.

More lights on streets!!!

more Restrictions For bikes.

need speed bumps- need more SHERIFFS- need Better Mass transit, clean up the non resident driving through For shortcuts.

One of stupidest things is keeping exit from I-45 on 242 (College park) as tool road. For many years accidents had trouble with traffic when exit was constructed, and now the improvement of traffic is close to none because nobody like to pay for some or

Please do not allow Other COMMUNITIES/ areas to FUNNEL their traffic through the Woodlands.

Please fix the traffic flow on Kuykendahl. It just keeps getting worse and now they are installing the 5th new light on Kuykendahl btw 99 interchange & Creekside Green since I moved here, in Aug 2016. The new Home Depot will have a light east of the 99 interchange. Every light that gets added, is done without consideration for traffic flow or timing on Kuykendahl.

Please limit public transportation inside The Woodlands. It’s for residential certainty. Some neighbors told us they wanted to move because of lanes expansion on Woodlands parkways.

Please make biking safer/ more accessible! There are too many gaps/ switches on the paths I live / work / daycare within 1/2 miles, I don’t want to drive for 5 min. everywhere.

Please make left turn light at Gosling/ Parkway longer at Mccullough dismissal and arrival (turning from Gosling to Pway).

Please make the Woodlands more bicycle friendly. Cut curbs that Extend into road shoulders, more bike racks, bike lanes.

Provide a pedestrian/ bike connection from Harper’s landing to West of I-45 (C.P. High school/ shopping).

Provide details of risks if we do not incorporate Other than a vote on mobility & disaster fund qualified.

really WONDERFUL Area to LIVE in but needs more mobility control as stated before.

slow speed within the Woodlands.

Stop expanding Woodlands Pkwy. incorporate as soon as possible.

Stop Woodlands PARKWAY extension.

the Woodlands is still a WONDERFUL place to LIVE. Just stay home during heavy traffic times.

township could Provide drivers education For residents with the goal of Better, more efficient. knowledgable drivers of all ages.

township has some difficult mobility issues ahead. but I am Almost offended by the simplistic bike lanes & WALKING nonsense. tough decisions make them?

traffic & Congestion will only Get from bad to worse. now is the time to plan For alternate means of transportation- Light rail/ street car & bike, Bus etc. as Development around the Woodlands becomes more concrete, potential flooding will Also Get from bad to worse. now is the time to plan For this future. the green Island & soil of the Woodlands will not be able to absorb the run off from surrounding areas.

traffic Save the trees.
Traffic through residential areas is a major issue with myself and neighbors. The development of the Woodlands with regard to fine dining is phenomenal. We really need a large fine arts facility not just in to display art work, but to house well known pe

Uber and taxi is the only public transportation to get around in the township - improve this!

We don’t need woodlands parkway extended west part 2978.

we have SUCH a Great place to LIVE. it would be amazing if there was a public rail, into Houston & up to Conroe that followed 145. but I know people have been talking About that For years.

we need a PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS at the PARKWAY and SIX PINES.

Why do some of the more traveled roads (e.g. Research forest) have a 45 mph speed limit? Motorists regularly speed so these roads end up being more live highway speeds. I think given the density of the area 35 or 40 mph seems more appropriate for a famil

Widening Gosling where it’s one lane each way.

work w/ all the entities government to Improve planning a Growth of Woodlands so there is Less Traffic.

would like to See the Woodlands Improve/ EMBRACE bike transport EVEN more, love our DOWNTOWN area.

You are doing overall a great job but it’s turning a nightmare driving. Thank you for all you do!!

IMPROVE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN TOWNSHIP AND RESIDENTS

Crime needs to be reported to us. We are not a crime free bubble. Not letting the public know when crime occurs isn’t helping - case in point: chase bank robbery.

I don’t think you all reach Woodlands residents well- be (?) like market street, need a brand!

I suggest that a monthly meeting of a neighborhood is held. This will resolve a lot of our issues.

I would like a personal visit from the township to care to our HOUSE and develop a relationship with residents.

I would like to know the benefit we would get from incorporation. So far all I’ve heard is that our taxes will greatly increase. This is not preferable!

Improve sound system- meetings are Almost inaudible- Gordie Bunch mumbles- speak clearly or resign. slow down incorporation efforts.

Increase communications via newsletters About finances, budget, key initiatives & Crime statistics. key education updates with schools.

HAVING NOISE PROBLEMS OR FLOODING PROBLEMS

1) Respond faster. 2) Fix bushes/ over grow trees and stagnant water. 3) Wendtwood park, sports field has no drainage- field is like a pool. Thank you.

Fix the water issues No more flooding.

I love the Woodlands and want to stay here. if changes to mitigate flooding are not initiated, I will be forced to move.

make it easier to UNDERSTAND how you can make changes at your home, exterior & trees. and Stop my neighbors dogs from barking so much.

Please Add a noise reducing wall to Grodans Mill and Woodlands Pwky that will help those in Tall Forest to enjoy our homes.

Please Fix the flooding.

the most important issue For us is drainage- improvement of it.

we need sound barriers alone the major arteries.

WANT TO SEE CHANGES TO THE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY EVENTS AND/OR AMENITIES

1) More visible presence. 2) Rally for upcoming community events. 3) More education on covenants/ deed restrictions.

A nice park 4 special occas., birthd’s, Easter, etc.

AS PER #22, MARATHON EVENTS, TRAFFIC TIE-UPS IN E PANTHER CREEK AREA IS NEGATIVELY AFFECTED EACH TIME. WHY AREN’T THESE EVENTS JUST HELD IN SAM HOUSTON FOREST/ PARK AREA?

Build extra 50 meter pool for athletes.

consider- increasing budget, staffing support parks, recreation, endorsement SERVICES.

kids have Nothing to do, shopping or eating at fancy restaurants. need Something For them.

Need to stop having so many Marathons- triathlons- ironman that shutdown & paralyzes The Woodlands on a Saturday & had poor & negative impacts on businesses not directly upfront (marked street- Hughes Landing etc.).
school and sports and churches do a good job w/ teens, but there needs to be Other things like may be a unique destination putt- putt course, or an art Barn, or an Ice-cream park, or...?
There are too many Marathons, bike races, etc. all summer long! Too much traffic! All of Houston does not have to be here every weekend!

NOTHING / TOWNSHIP ALREADY DOES A GREAT JOB

- 1- Keep up the good work. 2- More sherrifs hired. 3- Thanks for working for us.
- A beautiful place to live!
- a lovely place to LIVE.
- Appreciate you asking for feedback!
- APPRECIATE YOUR EFFORTS!
- best neighborhood ever.
- do more. thank you, hope it helped.
- Doing a Great job! We love it here.
- Don't change at all please!
- enjoy living here. safe, clean and convenient- never want to leave.
- GOD BLESS you GUYS For making the Woodlands SUCH a WONDERFUL and GODLY place to LIVE.
- Good job!
- good luck & thank you For your efforts.
- Good luck with your difficult job! Mostly it's ALL GOOD here in The Woods! Thank you for all you do!
- Great idea to send out this type of survey thanks!
- Great work so far!
- I am GLAD to be given this OPPORTUNITY to express my OPINIONS.
- I am very happy to LIVE in the Township! The township, overall, does a very good job.
- I am very satisfied with my choice to live here!!
- I appreciate that The ‘Woodlands’ is uniquely classy, comfortable, beautiful, and near everything one needs!
- I had a brief encounter with the township when I had my fence replaced last spring. Everyone I talked to was very professional and friendly and very helpful with the process. I had nothing but a positive time with them.
- I like living here, thank you for your efforts keeping The Woodlands a great place to live!
- I love it!
- I really like the library. it is Great to walk in the mall while the stores are closed.
- Jill Barnes has been a huge asset to your team at the township.
- Just keep doing the best each of you can!
- Keep up the awesome work!!
- Keep up the good work.
- Love living here - keep up the great work. I know we all complain, but deep down we know how lucky we are to live in such a wonderful, safe place. One couldn’t ask for a better location to raise a family!
- love living in the Woodlands.
- love the Woodlands, Thanks For working.
- Mostly, I am happy living here.
- N/A thank you!
- No comments. I am very happy to have lived in Woodlands for 30 years and have no plan to leave the Woodlands.
- None
- None
- NONE at this time.
None.

None. SO FAR A JOB WELL DONE. THANKS!

Nothing new. Thank you and go Astros!

Our son, daughter-in-law and their three young children just bought a house in Grogan's Mill. I hope they will have a positive view of The Woodlands, just as we did seventeen years ago.

Overall doing a good job

Overall performance of the township management is good; but we need to govern ourselves.

Overall very satisfied great job!

Overall, I think The Woodlands has been a very nice place to live, thanks in large part to the efforts of the many people that contribute to the betterment of the area as a whole, most notably those who work for the Township. So, thank you!

Sorry, not much help.

Staff does a Great job.

Thank you for all you do to make The Woodlands the most beautiful place to live!

Thank you for all you do!

Thank you for all you do! I know your job is not always easy.

Thank you for asking for feedback from the residents!

Thank you for asking for input.

thank you for asking for our input.

thank you for asking our thoughts About the Woodlands.

Thank you for doing the survey and caring what we think!

thank you for keeping communication with the residents a priority as evident by this survey.

thank you for making the Woodlands a Great place to LIVE.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. Save the trees! :)

Thank you for your hard work!

thank you for your support of the recent Woodlands Pride Festival. Please Keep working to maintain diversity in our community and foster visibility of minority groups that make this Area so rich.

Thank you!

Thanks for all the hard work and to try to build a safe community to LIVE.

Thanks for making this a Great place to LIVE.

Thanks for the opportunity.

Thanks to those who serve on the township board and to hard-working township employees.

Thanks.

the Woodlands is a Great place to LIVE.

township is Doing a Great job. I pave living in the Woodlands, thank you. Sorry For my bad English.

We are proud to call The Woodlands our home.

we both have jobs For away from the Woodlands but choose to stay in the Woodlands and commute BECAUSE the schools and Quality of life is so GOOD.

we like the Woodlands.

We love it here for the green lush & active lifestyle it provides for our family!

We love living here! (Except in Hurricane season!).

we love living in the Woodlands! Hard to move.

You guys are doing a great job!!

OTHER

1) Cost of water is out of control. 2) Flood control is excellent. 3) Loss of many good restaurants due to excessive Woodlands fees.

act on QUESTIONNAIRE.

Anchor your strategy in values.

As along standing resident of the Woodlands we have watched it value $$ over its residents. Now that our children are no longer living at home & we are not in need of CISD we are selling and moving out of the Woodlands and out of Montgomery county.

as long as the Woodlands Remain exclusive, I will be Building purchasing a home here.
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- Ban all Outdoor fires.
- Become a city get out of Hahns[?] taxes!
- build a city hall. build a court house. build a jail. INCORPORATE. too much talk- not enough action.
- Chicken ownership. Trailer parking> 48 hours on property.
- Closely Monitor each apartment complex in terms of Maintaining cleanliness and sanitation.
- community garage sales in neighborhoods on designated days.
- control this amount of RENTAL homes.
- cultural integration workshops. Welcome centers For minorities. Brochures that show important rules of transit, Emergency renters in health education, uses and customs, etc.
- Defend the identity of the Woodlands, Restrict non Woodlands access to use as freeway.
- Diversity is the future but the hometown feeling sweet and suffer[?].
- do anything to prevent annexation by Houston.
- Do not allow incorporation!
- do not have pd parking.
- do not incorporate too early. do not Get annexed by Harris county.
- Do not incorporate!!! Too expensive & not needed!!!!
- Does Hughes Co’s have too much influence on growth?
- dogs under control- not running lose esp. on golf course.
- Don’t overspend on surveys and ‘Research’. Go smart!
- Extra garbage days would be great less trash mess and bugs in summer from trash
- find a local forum to process survey.
- find a use For all the pine needles.
- Find a way to incorporate that section off Kuykendahl; east of Timarron.
- Fix current service provided by MUD 386
- Get more worker involved Less partisan PROTECTING, EVEN though there is supposed to become Eliminate signage at polling stations.
- Get rid of basketball on front lawns with only 7” from my property, shrubs, flowers & garden. My Fed taxes paid for 9 hoops one block up the street. I pay $4000 in taxes & you gave neighbors permission to use my property whenever they decide to bounce a
- good job, but Keep going forward please.
- Great job so far! However, there are things we can improve on!!
- how can we Continue to GROW & thrive without consuming our nature?
- I think you are doing a good job. ‘No annexation’ please.
- I vote ‘No’ to incorporation.
- I will leave the Woodlands as soon as my daughter graduates High school. not a good place to retire.
- if you reside in the Woodlands your children SHOULD Continue to attend schools in the Woodlands. not oak Ridge or Other areas. this is one of the main attractions of the Woodlands.
- In the short time we have been living in The Woodlands, I have witnessed several lightning strikes very close to our yard and property. The most recent damage was from 9/20 when a lightning strike hit two trees on our street. Several households had some
- incorporate the Woodlands.
- Incorporate!
- Incorporate!!!
- INCORPORATE.
- incorporation Must wait.
- internet connectivity of the Woodlands.
- it may be helpful to have community- wide garage sale in specified space.
- it would be Great to have more cultural Options like museums or THEATER productions.
- Just kill the nines growing is all trees- everywhere is The Woodlands!
- Keep the MUDs INDEPENDENT of incorporation.
- Keep thinking/ moving forward. Just because something is ‘The way it’s always been’ doesn’t mean it can’t be better. The world is not static and we can’t just pretend it will be 1985 forever.
Keep up the excellent work Mr. Bunch. Please maintain fiscal conservatism in budgeting & spending. Marketing the community to attract jobs is critical to long term prosperity. Smart investments in township infrastructure and upgrades will facilitate positive value for residents.

- Let residents add parking spaces?
- Let's become a city. Let's become better fiscal managers. Let's regain control of our covenants with enforcement. Let's not become a through city or environment - limit by correctly zoning - residential, business, school and churches. Design to share resources and optimize cost/usage. Let's consider using our local time talent and treasure correctly. We can allow access, but it doesn't mean it has to be at the full expense of those who have been here. Finally promote a concept - where do your freedoms begin at the expense of mine. Thanks for asking.

- Let's make sure we get incorporation right. No need to rush.
- Long term concerns - management planning for real estate value.
- Mailbox on Blue Fox Rd. is not bolted down to the ground.
- More soccer complete rush/[@].
- Need to actively recruit and welcome multicultural persons/families.
- No metro bus lines! <3 The Woodlands.
- No need to be spending money on incorporation studies with the need so far off! Save our tax dollars & reduce taxes!
- No to incorporation.
- Our water quality & financial oversight of the MUDs need drastic improvement. Much more transparency is necessary! Also, The Woodlands should consider creating its own broadband internet service.
- Please consider lowering rent to bring more diverse restaurants and shopping to The Woodlands.
- Please consider the enclosed letter. Thank you.
- Please have homes up to standards (mold, yard decorations, cars parked on streets).
- Please keep the township staff available for after-hours emergencies. We had a water main break in our area. I called the after-hours line and a real person was able to answer my questions. Bravo!
- Please let the people in Creekside know what has been accomplished since Harvey to improve the drainage system - and what has been done to Spring Creek debris or silt.
- Please consider and listen to residents' concerns especially those who have lived here for a long time.
- Please make all the apartments recycle.
- Please refer to comments noted in survey. Thank you.
- Please take your time to consider incorporation. We need good facts not a snow job for political purposes or personal ambition. This is a special place. Don't mess it up.
- QUIT pushing incorporation.
- RC. boat races on waterway. Xmas boat show on water way bay run. The canal needs a Bellagio style water show. Please pump water from Lake through canal to keep it moving and clean. Ask Anadarko & Exxon for help.
- Recap - it would be ideal if WM provided yard waste bins & had a dedicated true. I had this at my last house with WM - it's always missed. They yard waste carts out @ curb for drugs - every week.
- Rent is so high @ Market St. & Steves can't make it. Small restaurants are needed, make more new restaurants in back area fix 2978/ Woodlands Parkway traffic bad by Gringos.
- Rental homes need more supervision!
- Require construction contractors to keep pathways open during construction.
- Send out survey responses by mail.
- Set up partnership with similar local town in Europe or South America.
- Stop becoming more like Illinois, which we left!
- Stop blocking Woodlands PARKWAY - let them have their events off the main drag.
- The magazine's photographers may easily identify persons in group photos by handing out 3x5 cards with numbers. Subjects sign their names on the back and hold the card under their chin for photo #1. Remove them for photo #2.
- The most vocal dissatisfaction comes from people who don't volunteer, offend public meetings, village associations, neighborhood watch and have never served as a volunteer elected official.
- The Townships focus should be on the people who live here, not the people who visit. *The mud fees are way too high!
- The Woodlands need a center for the arts.
The Woodlands township needs to do additional spraying for mosquitoes besides what the county does. Many villages & areas are eaten up with them throughout the spring, summer & fall when no spraying goes on. It is very bad in Panther Creek!

There are too many rental properties in my neighborhood & I feel it er[s?] my prop. value.

To get less political in every issue!

We lived in Timarron Lakes Creekside - over development flooded the whole neighborhood! No big deal to the fat cats facing everyone's money we all know who you are! Lots of angry residents with lost value to their home investments, but no worry - we'll pro

We love TW and wouldn't want to live anywhere else. Overall ya'll do a great job that's why traffic sucks because everyone wants to live here.

we need a Woodland post office and Get rid of the Spring connection.

we need lazar Focus on incorporation and keeping our brand strong. Keep property taxes as low as possible.

We say continue to keep The Woodlands wild and as close to nature as possible. That's why we moved here.

What about collectives composters? Great idea for the planet and meetings between neighbors!

When we moved in (2001) it was against the rules to park in the street, now you have to go around parked cars inaccessible.

When you build a new shopping center you should refurbish an old one. We don't want Grogan's Mill to look like 1960! Also it's very disappointing to me that due to high rents that good stores come and go. Having good shopping is important to attracting a

zoning is important & we APPRECIATE that About TW.

DON'T KNOW

Don't know.

I am 90 years and I live at the forum. I don't feel like I can answer all the questions.
### APPENDIX F: WEIGHTED VS. UNWEIGHTED COMPARISON TABLES
The following tables display both the weighted data and unweighted data for all survey questions.

#### Table 124: Question 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you currently reside in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 125: Question 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In which village do you currently reside?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran’s Crossing</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan’s Mill</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 126: Question 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please rate your satisfaction level with the following entities that are considered part of your local government.</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Township</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County (Montgomery or Harris)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Utility District (MUDs)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School System</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 127: Question 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your level of satisfaction with the amount of influence and input you have in local Township decision-making?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 128: Question 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A very good value for the money</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A good value for the money</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A poor value for the money</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 129: Question 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic, mobility and public transportation</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much development/overpopulation</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation as a City/annexation by Houston</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes/affordability</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of natural areas</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining community character</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Infrastructure and utilities (e.g., roads, storm drainage, water utilities, etc.)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
## Table 130: Question 7 - Importance

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don’t know).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Not important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table 131: Question 7 - Quality

How would you rate each of the following services? Please rate each for importance to you (important or not important) and then rate your level of satisfaction with each service (very good, good, poor or don't know).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 132: QUESTION 8

If you answered poor to any of the above, please tell us which service and why?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Fire Department</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D: Garbage Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: Recycling Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H: Swimming Pools</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: Recreation Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J: Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K: Crime Prevention</td>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L: Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M: Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O: Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P: Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: Planning for the future</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable or not able to code</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.
**Table 133: Question 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which FOUR municipal services from the list above are most important to your household?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st choice</td>
<td>2nd choice</td>
<td>3rd choice</td>
<td>4th choice</td>
<td>1st choice</td>
<td>2nd choice</td>
<td>3rd choice</td>
<td>4th choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - Fire Department</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Garbage Collection</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E - Recycling Collection</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G - Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H - Swimming Pools</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - Recreation Programs</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J - Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K - Crime Prevention</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L - Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N - Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O - Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - Planning for the future</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 134: Question 9 - Top Four Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which FOUR municipal services from the list above are most important to your household?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Collection</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covenants/Deed Restrictions Enforcement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Programs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining medians, pathways, streetscapes, parks and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Limit and Traffic Signal Enforcement</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing growth in and around The Woodlands</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving mobility and reducing congestion</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining our trees and natural environment</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting business to create jobs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the future</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items selected as 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th choice. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*

### Table 135: Question 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you like best about living in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/beauty of area/trees/greenery</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's safe</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life/lifestyle</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/quality of education</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet/peaceful</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveniently located</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family atmosphere</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks/woods/pathways/open space</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/aesthetic/neat/clean</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping/restaurants/clean</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice/friendly neighbors/people</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.*
### Table 136: Question 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you like The Woodlands to improve in the future?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve public safety</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility and traffic flow</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/slow down growth and development</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve and enhance natural areas</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform local government/lower taxes</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain community character</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve recreation services, senior services and community events</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage more local business, economic development and affordability</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure improvements/code enforcement</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/keep things the same</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 137: Question 12 Part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you describe how safe or unsafe you feel in The Woodlands as compared to how you felt two years ago? Do you feel:</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safer</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less safe</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some level of safety</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 138: Question 12 Part 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What factors affected your answer?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime levels seem stable</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've heard about or experienced more crime recently</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase/development has led to more or similar amount of crime</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police have done a good job / I notice more police presence</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminals are coming in from surrounding communities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough police presence</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have not experienced crime/I have heard about fewer crimes</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know, N/A, I have not lived here for 2 years</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*
Table 139: Question 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What improvements should be made to Law Enforcement?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase law enforcement visibility/have more patrols</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat all residents fairly/improve law enforcement &amp; community relations</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic enforcement</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand police/sheriff force</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop own force that is separate from the County</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus more on drugs, violent crime &amp; property crime (not on traffic enforcement)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/law enforcement already does a great job</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

Table 140: Question 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The primary purpose of the covenants and deed restrictions is to maintain the property values and aesthetics of The Woodlands. How important was this when you decided to live in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 141: Question 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How effective do you feel the enforcement of these standards has been in achieving the purpose stated above?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 142: Question 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To what extent do you feel that the covenants/deed restrictions are enforced?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 143: Question 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you primarily learn or hear about activities in your neighborhood or village?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Township website (<a href="http://www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov">www.thewoodlandstownship-tx.gov</a>)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Community Magazine</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township News Releases</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk of the Township Weekly eNewsletter</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Facebook Page</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Twitter Page</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Transit Twitter Page</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township Department Blogs or Newsletters</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Villager</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Magazine</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Chronicle Woodlands Section</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe Courier</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth – Friends / Neighbors</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TV News Channels</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Associations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

### Table 144: Question 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Over the last two years, have you communicated with a member of the Township staff?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know/Unsure</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 145: Question 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you rate your overall communications with the Township? Please respond regarding the division or divisions you contacted or who contacted you.</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covenants &amp; Deeds Restrictions, including the RDRC &amp; DSC</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation, including pool and park maintenance, streetscapes and other common areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Watch</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Collection/Environmental</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Accounting</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 146: Question 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following best describes your opinion of development activity in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overdeveloped</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdeveloped</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 147: Question 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How important is continued economic development in The Woodlands to you?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 148: Question 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What other types of additional entertainment venues would you like to have in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts/performance venues</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More sports/recreational facilities, trails and open space</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More restaurants</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie theater</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum, libraries or zoos</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for specific age groups (kids, teens and seniors)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know/NA</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 149: Question 23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where do you work?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Houston area</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 150: Question 24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many members of your household work in The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 151: Question 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many members of your household work outside The Woodlands, either full-time or part-time?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.*

### Table 152: Question 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the household members who work outside The Woodlands, how many miles is the daily round-trip commute?</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 miles or less</td>
<td>51 to 100 miles</td>
<td>More than 100 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #1 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #2 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Member #3 (miles per day, round-trip)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 153: Question 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do you get to your job?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Vehicle</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands Express Park and Ride</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not work</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents had the opportunity to write-in an "other" response. These verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions. Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

### Table 154: Question 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What can be done to improve transportation and mobility?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve and/or create more public transportation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve traffic signal timing</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening or building more roads/overpasses</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit further development of The Woodlands</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on infrastructure for bicyclists and pedestrians</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance/promote alternatives to car travel (like carpooling, taxis, Uber, etc.)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and enhance existing roads and bridges</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/limit the number of major throughways</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/don’t know</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
### Table 155: Question 29

In terms of importance to you, how would you rate the importance of the following mobility improvements? Please choose Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not at all Important or Don’t Know.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing more North-South connections in addition to I-45</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing more East-West connections in and out of The Woodlands</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion outside The Woodlands</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Town Center</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing congestion in Residential areas within The Woodlands</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving signalization</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving intersection design</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding transit capabilities to connect residential areas to other destinations</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing other alternate mobility options</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pathways</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing safer ways to ride bikes</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 156: Question 30

Are you currently:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you currently:</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/Divorced</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 157: Question 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 158: Question 32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many children under the age of 18 currently reside in your household?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or more</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 159: Question 33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are the ages of the children in your household?</th>
<th>Under 5</th>
<th>5 – 9</th>
<th>10 – 14</th>
<th>15 – 17</th>
<th>Under 5</th>
<th>5 – 9</th>
<th>10 – 14</th>
<th>15 – 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child 1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child 6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 160: Question 34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following best describes your age?</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 161: Question 35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you currently own or rent your residence in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 162: Question 36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How long have you lived in The Woodlands?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than a year</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7 years</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 25 years</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 163: Question 37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following categories best describes your current total household income?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 164: Question 38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What other comments or suggestions would you like to provide to the Township?</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to improve public safety</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions about government and/or tax reforms</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create policies to slow development</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change enforcement of covenants/deeds</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on community character and/or beauty (litter pick-up, landscaping, etc.)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mobility (roads, traffic signal timing, public transit, etc.)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve communication between Township and residents</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having noise problems or flooding problems</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want to see changes to the number of community events and/or amenities</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing/Township already does a great job</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix E: Verbatim Responses to Open-ended Survey Questions.
APPENDIX G: SURVEY METHODOLOGY

SURVEY INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT

The Woodlands Township 2018 Resident Survey provided residents the opportunity to indicate what they like best about living in The Woodlands, what issues face The Woodlands, the importance of and their satisfaction with services, as well as indicating their perspectives on covenants and deed restrictions. The survey also permitted residents to share their priorities for the community’s future. The five-page survey was developed by Township staff and the Township Board of Directors. The 2018 survey represents the second time that National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) has conducted a survey of residents on behalf of the Township; the first was in 2016. The Township of The Woodlands funded this research. Please contact Nick Wolda of The Woodlands Township at Nick.Wolda@visitthewoodlands.com if you have any questions about the survey.

SELECTING SURVEY RECIPIENTS

“Sampling” refers to the method by which survey recipients are chosen. The “sample” refers to all those who were given a chance to participate in the survey. All households located in The Woodlands boundaries were eligible for the survey. Because local governments generally do not have inclusive lists of all the residences in the jurisdiction (tax assessor and utility billing databases often omit rental units), lists from the United States Postal Service (USPS), updated every three months, usually provide the best representation of all households in a specific geographic location. NRC used the USPS data to select the households that will receive a survey.

A larger list than needed was pulled so that a process referred to as “geocoding” could be used to eliminate addresses from the list that were outside the study boundaries. Geocoding is a computerized process in which addresses are compared to electronically mapped boundaries and coded as inside or outside desired boundaries. All addresses determined to be outside the study boundaries were eliminated from the potential mailing list. A stratified, systematic sampling method was used with the remaining addresses to create a mailing list of 4,500 households.

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND RESPONSE

Each selected household was contacted three times. First, a prenotification announcement was sent on September 14, 2018 informing the household members that they had been selected to participate in the survey. Approximately one week after mailing the prenotification, each household was mailed a survey containing a cover letter signed by the Chairman of the Board of Directors enlisting participation. The packet also contained a postage-paid return envelope in which the survey recipients could return the completed questionnaire to NRC. A reminder letter and survey, scheduled to arrive one week after the first survey, was the final contact. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The cover letters included a web link where respondents could complete the survey online if they preferred The survey was available in English.

Completed surveys were collected through November 2, 2018. About 4% of the 4,500 surveys were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the 4,317 households receiving a survey, 1,020 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of 24%. This method of calculating the response rate is in accordance with the AAPOR’s response rate #2 for mailed surveys of unnamed persons.1 Of the 1,020 completed surveys, 171 (17%) were completed online and 849 (83%) were completed by filling out the hard copy survey and using the postage paid return envelope.

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

The 95% confidence interval (or “margin of error”) quantifies the “sampling error” or precision of the estimates made from the survey results. A 95% confidence interval can be calculated for any sample size, and indicates that in 95 of 100 surveys conducted like this one, for a particular item, a result would be found that is within plus or minus 3% of the result that would be found if everyone in the population of interest was surveyed. The practical difficulties of conducting any resident survey may introduce other sources of error in addition to sampling error. Despite best efforts to boost participation and ensure potential inclusion of all households, some selected households will decline participation in the survey (potentially

---

1 See AAPOR’s Standard Definitions here: http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx for more information
introducing non-response error) and some eligible households may be unintentionally excluded from the listed sources for the sample (referred to as coverage error).

While the 95 percent confidence level for the survey is generally no greater than plus or minus 3% around any given percent reported for the entire sample, results for subgroups will have wider confidence intervals. Where comparisons are made between subgroups, the margins of error are less precise than the margin of error for the whole sample. Generally the 95% confidence interval ranges from plus or minus five percentage points for samples of about 400 to plus or minus 10 percentage points for samples as small as 100. For smaller sample sizes (i.e., 50), the margin of error rises to 14%.

SURVEY PROCESSING (DATA ENTRY)
Mailed surveys were submitted via postage-paid business reply envelopes. Once received, NRC staff assigned a unique identification number to each questionnaire. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and “cleaned” as necessary.

Once cleaned and numbered, all surveys were entered into an electronic dataset. This dataset was subject to a data entry protocol of “key and verify,” in which survey data were entered twice into an electronic dataset and then compared. Discrepancies were evaluated against the original survey form and corrected. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

Data from the web surveys were automatically entered into an electronic dataset and generally required minimal cleaning. The web survey data were downloaded, cleaned as necessary and then merged with the data from the mail survey to create one complete dataset.

WEIGHTING THE DATA
The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey sample reflective of the larger population of the community. This is done by: 1) reviewing the sample demographics and comparing them to the population norms from The Woodlands Demographic Report and 2) comparing the responses to different questions for demographic subgroups. A special software program using mathematical algorithms is used to calculate the appropriate weights. Several different weighting “schemes” are tested to ensure the best fit for the data. By request of the Township, the variable used for weighting was respondent age.

The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the table on the following page.
The Woodlands 2018 Survey Weighting Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Norm</th>
<th>Unweighted Data</th>
<th>Weighted Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 – 24</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 34</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 44</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 54</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 64</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 74</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-Plus</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of Children</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Household Members</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four or more</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $150,000</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $150,000</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Village</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alden Bridge</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Woods/Carlton Woods Creekside</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Park</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran’s Crossing</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grogan’s Mill</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Springs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panther Creek</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Ridge</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center / East Shore</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analyzing the Data**

The electronic dataset was analyzed by NRC staff using IBM’s Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, frequency distributions are presented in the body of the report. A complete set of frequencies for each survey question is presented in Appendix A: Complete Set of Survey Frequencies.

Also included are results by village and respondent characteristics (Appendix B: Survey Results Compared by Respondent Characteristics). Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of selected survey questions. A “p-value” of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent “real” differences among those populations. Where differences between subgroups are statistically significant, they have been marked with grey shading in the appendices.
APPENDIX H: SURVEY INSTRUMENT
The survey instrument appears on the following pages.